

THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY
Annual Financial Statements
for the year ended 30 June 2012

4P~

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

General Information

Legal form of entity	District Municipality (DC19)
----------------------	------------------------------

Mayoral committee

Executive Mayor Dr. BE Mzangwa - (Elected 1 June 2011)

Councillors Ms SM Moleleki (Chief Whip)

Mr M Maduna (Speaker)

Mr CJ Makhoba Mr M Mamba Mr P Mavundla Ms M Majara

Ms M Vilakazi Ms J Komako Mr MA Nhlapo

Ms M Motloung

Ordinary councillors Mr TJ Tsotetsi (Resigned 30 September 2011)

Ms MR Naidoo (Appointed 1 December 2011)

Ms Z Tshabalala Ms N Taylor Mr K Tsoene

Ms C Msibi Mr R Ndlebe

Mr CHE Badenhorst

Mr R Mota Mr S Nkopane

Mr L Kere Ms V Mohala Ms R Bath

Ms M Nakedi Ms P Sibeko

Ms SE Tshabalala

Ms TN Masiteng

Ms Y Jacobs

Mr ME Ncwada

Mr L Mohlabi

Mr S Motaung

Ms T Zim

Ms E Mohoaladi

Ms O Tolofi

Mr T Thebe

Mr M Lebesa

Mr P Lebesana

Mr T Mosikidi

Ms L Kleynhans

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

General Information

Ms MA Mokoena Ms HE Mokoena Mr TJ Tseki Mr T Ramaele

Grading of local authority Grade 11

Accounting Officer Matiro Rebecca Ellen Mogopodi (Resigned February 2012)

TPM Lebenya (Acting Accounting Officer)

Chief Finance Officer (CFO) Hopolang Lebusa

Appointed - 1 October 2010

Registered office 1 Mampoi Street

Old Parliament Building

Witsieshoek

9870

Postal address Private Bag X810

Witsieshoek

9870

Auditors Auditor-General of South Africa

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Index

The reports and statements set out below comprise the annual financial statements presented to the provincial legislature:

Contents	Page
Accounting Officer's Responsibilities and Approval	5
Audit Committee Report	6 - 7
Report of the Auditor General	8 - 12
Accounting Officer's Report	13
Statement of Financial Position	14
Statement of Financial Performance	15
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	16
Cash Flow Statement	17
Accounting Policies	18 - 33
Notes to the Annual Financial Statements	34 - 66
The following supplementary information does not form part of the annual financial statem	nents and is unaudited:
Appendix A: Schedule of External loans	67
Appendix B: Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment	68
Appendix C: Segmental analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment	69
Appendix D: Segmental Statement of Financial Performance	70
Appendix E(1): Actual versus Budget (Revenue and Expenditure)	70
Appendix E(2): Actual versus Budget (Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment)	71
Appendix F: Disclosure of Grants and Subsidies in terms of the Municipal Finance Management Act	72

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Index

AL	hro	1124	ions
AD	ore	JBIV	เอกร

COGTA Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs (Free State)

CDF Capital Development Fund

MEC Member of Executive Council

SA GAAP South African Statements of Generally Accepted Accounting Practice

GRAP Generally Recognised Accounting Practice

GAMAP Generally Accepted Municipal Accounting Practice

IT Information Technology

IAS International Accounting Standards

IMFO Institute of Municipal Finance Officers

LED Local Economic Development

PIMSS Planning Implementation Management Support Structures

MMC Member of Mayoral Committee

MFMA Municipal Finance Management Act

MIG Municipal Infrastructure Grant (Previously CMIP)

PT Provincial Treasury (Free State)

RSC Regional Service Council

IFRS International Financial Reporting Standards

PPPFA Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Officer's Responsibilities and Approval

The accounting officer is required by the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act 56 of 2003), to maintain adequate accounting records and is responsible for the content and integrity of the annual financial statements and related financial information included in this report. It is the responsibility of the accounting officer to ensure that the annual financial statements fairly present the state of affairs of the municipality as at the end of the financial year and the results of its operations and cash flows for the period then ended. The external auditors are engaged to express an independent opinion on the annual financial statements and was given unrestricted access to all financial records and related data.

The annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) including any interpretations, guidelines and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board.

The annual financial statements are based upon appropriate accounting policies consistently applied and supported by reasonable and prudent judgements and estimates.

The accounting officer acknowledges that she is ultimately responsible for the system of internal financial control established by the municipality and place considerable importance on maintaining a strong control environment. To enable the accounting officer to meet these responsibilities, the accounting officer sets standards for internal control aimed at reducing the risk of error or deficit in a cost effective manner. The standards include the proper delegation of responsibilities within a clearly defined framework, effective accounting procedures and adequate segregation of duties to ensure an acceptable level of risk. These controls are monitored throughout the municipality and all employees are required to maintain the highest ethical standards in ensuring the municipality's business is conducted in a manner that in all reasonable circumstances is above reproach. The focus of risk management in the municipality is on identifying, assessing, managing and monitoring all known forms of risk across the municipality. While operating risk cannot be fully eliminated, the municipality endeavours to minimise it by ensuring that appropriate infrastructure, controls, systems and ethical behaviour are applied and managed within predetermined procedures and constraints.

The accounting officer is of the opinion, based on the information and explanations given by management, that the system of internal control provides reasonable assurance that the financial records may be relied on for the preparation of the annual financial statements. However, any system of internal financial control can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance against material misstatement or deficit.

The accounting officer has reviewed the municipality's cash flow forecast for the year to 30 June 2013 and, in the light of this review and the current financial position, she is satisfied that the municipality has or has access to adequate resources to continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future.

The municipality is wholly dependent on the Government Grants for continued funding of operations. The annual financial statements are prepared on the basis that the municipality is a going concern and that the Government of the Republic has neither the intention nor the need to liquidate or curtail materially the scale of the municipality.

Although the accounting officer is primarily responsible for the financial affairs of the municipality, they are supported by the municipality's external auditors.

The external auditors are responsible for independently reviewing and reporting on the municipality's annual financial statements. The annual financial statements have been examined by the municipality's external auditors and their report is presented on page 8.

The annual financial statements set out on page 14 to 66, which have been prepared on the going concern basis, were approved by the accounting officer on 31 August 2012 and were signed on its behalf by:

Takatso Philliant Maureen Lebenya Accounting Officer

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Audit Committee Report

We are pleased to present our report for the financial year ended 30 June 2012.

Audit committee members and attendance

The audit committee consists of the members listed hereunder and should meet at least four times per annum as per its approved terms of reference. During the current year six meetings were held.

Name of member	Number of meetings attended		
Mr. E. M. Mohlahlo (Chairperson)	1		
Mrs. L. M. Sefako	1		
Mrs. S. D. Lebeko	6		
Mr. G. A. Ntsala	5		

Audit committee responsibility

The audit committee reports that it has complied with its responsibilities arising from section 166(2)(a) of the MFMA.

The audit committee also reports that it has adopted appropriate formal terms of reference as its audit committee charter, has regulated its affairs in compliance with this charter and has discharged all its responsibilities as contained therein.

The effectiveness of internal control

The system of internal control was not entirely effective for the year under review. During the year under review, several deficiencies in the system of internal control and/or deviations from there were reported by the internal auditors and the Auditor-General South Africa. In certain instances, the matters reported previously have not been fully and satisfactorily addressed.

The quality of monthly/quarterly reports submitted in terms of the MFMA and the Division of Revenue Act.

The audit committee is not satisfied with the content and quality of monthly and quarterly reports prepared and issued by the Accounting Officer of the municipality during the year under review. There were no internal audit reports prepared and submitted for the first three quarters of the year.

Evaluation of annual financial statements

The audit committee has:

- reviewed and discussed the audited annual financial statements to be included in the annual report, with the Auditor-General and the Accounting Officer;
- reviewed the Auditor-General of South Africa's management report and management's response thereto;
- reviewed changes in accounting policies and practices;
- reviewed the entities compliance with legal and regulatory provisions;
- reviewed significant adjustments resulting from the audit.

The audit committee concur with and accept the Auditor-General of South Africa's report on the annual financial statements, and are of the opinion that the audited annual financial statements should be accepted and read together with the report of the Auditor-General of South Africa.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Audit Committee Report

Internal audit
The audit committee is not satisfied that the internal audit function is operating effectively and that it has addressed the risks pertined
to the municipality and its audits



To the Provincial Legislature of THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY

Report on the financial statements

I have audited the accompanying annual financial statements of the THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY which comprise the statement of financial position as at 30 June 2012, statement of financial performance, statement of changes in net assets and cash flow statement for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory notes, and the [directors' / accounting officer's / accounting authority's] report, as set out on pages 13 to 66.

Responsibility of the Accounting Officer for the annual financial statements

The accounting officer is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these annual financial statements in accordance with [the applicable reporting framework/basis of accounting] [and in the manner required by the [Public Finance Management Act, 1999 (Act No. 1 of 1999) (PFMA)] [Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003) (MFMA)] [Auditor-General audit circular 1 of 2005], and in the manner required by the Companies Act of South Africa [any applicable enabling legislation]. This responsibility includes:

- designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of annual financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error;
- selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies; and
- · making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances.

Responsibility of the Auditor-General

As required by [section 188 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 read with section 4 of the Public Audit Act, 2004 (Act No. 25 of 2004) (PAA)] [and section XX of any applicable legislation], my responsibility is to express an opinion on these annual financial statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with the International Standards on Auditing. Those standards require that I comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the annual financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the annual financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgement, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the annual financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the annual financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control.

An audit also includes evaluating the:

- · appropriateness of accounting policies used;
- reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management; and
- overall presentation of the financial statements.

Paragraph 11 et seq. of the Statement of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice, GRAP 1 Presentation of Financial Statements requires that financial reporting by entities shall provide information on whether resources were obtained and used in accordance with the legally adopted budget. As the budget reporting standard is not yet effective, I have determined that my audit of any disclosures made by [name of entity] in this respect will be limited to reporting on non-compliance with this disclosure requirement.

I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinion.

Basis of accounting

The entity's policy is to prepare annual financial statements on [the basis of accounting determined by the National Treasury] [entity-specific basis of accounting] as set out in [accounting policy note] [note to the financial statements].

Appreciation

The assistance rendered by the staff of THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY during the audit is sincerely appreciated.

Auditor-General of South Africa

31 August 2012

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Officer's Report

The accounting officer submits her report for the year ended 30 June 2012.

1. Review of activities

Main business and operations

The municipality is engaged in rendering support to the local municipalities within the district and operates principally In South Africa.

The operating results for the year were satisfactory as the financial position of the municipality is stable.

2. Going concern

The annual financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting policies applicable to a going concern. This basis presumes that funds will be available to finance future operations and that the realisation of assets and settlement of liabilities, contingent obligations and commitments will occur in the ordinary course of business.

3. Subsequent events

The accounting officer is not aware of any matter or circumstance arising since the end of the financial year.

4. Accounting policies

The annual financial statements prepared in accordance with the prescribed Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practices (GRAP) issued by the Accounting Standards Board as the prescribed framework by National Treasury.

5. Accounting Officer

The accounting officer of the municipality during the year and to the date of this report is as follows:

Name Takatso Philliant Maureen Lebenya Changes

Appointed 01 March 2012

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Statement of Financial Position

Figures in Rand	Notes	2012	2011 Restated
Assets			
Current Assets			
Receivables from non-exchange transactions	4	851 767	1 983 221
Receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC Levies	5	7 212	7 212
VAT receivable	6	2 237 775	2 859 880
Cash and cash equivalents	7	41 535 826	17 248 509
		44 632 580	22 098 822
Non-Current Assets			
Property, plant and equipment	8	1 888 716	2 141 806
Intangible assets	9	992 364	10 183
		2 881 080	2 151 989
Total Assets		47 513 660	24 250 811
Liabilities			
Current Liabilities			
Finance lease obligation	10	359 880	225 597
Operating lease liability	11	3 200	12 700
Payables from exchange transactions	12	25 994 380	27 311 565
Unspent conditional grants and receipts	13	1 066 722	1 354 671
		27 424 182	28 904 533
Non-Current Liabilities			
Finance lease obligation	10	141 792	501 668
Total Liabilities		27 565 974	29 406 201
Net Assets		19 947 686	(5 155 390)
Net Assets			
Accumulated surplus/(deficit)		19 947 686	(5 155 390)

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Statement of Financial Performance

Figures in Rand	Notes	2012	2011 Restated
Revenue			
Government grants & subsidies	15	94 082 121	64 886 178
Sundry income		23 200	10 916
Interest received - investments	16	2 098 728	993 779
Gains on disposal of assets	8	61 137	-
Total income		96 265 186	65 890 873
Expenditure			
Depreciation and amortisation		(1 362 417)	(787 477)
Debt impairment		(1 862 027)	(221 586)
Finance costs	17	(335 618)	(316 607)
General expenses	18	(30 831 242)	(24 911 974)
Loss on disposal of assets		-	(23 628)
Repairs and maintenance		(1 445 161)	(1 020 551)
Remuneration of staff	19	(35 325 645)	(28 980 057)
Total Expenditure		(71 162 110)	(56 261 880)
Surplus for the year		25 103 076	9 628 993

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Figures in Rand	Notes	Accumulated surplus/(deficit)	Total net assets
Opening balance as previously reported Adjustments		(10 147 837)	(10 147 910)
Change in accounting policy	36	(4 520 111)	(4 520 075)
Correction of prior period error	37	(116 434)	(116 397)
Balance at 01 July 2010 as restated Changes in net assets		(14 784 382)	(14 784 382)
Surplus/(deficit) for the period		11 036 847	11 036 847
Total changes		11 036 847	11 036 847
Opening balance as previously reported Adjustments		(3 747 535)	(3 747 535)
Change in accounting policy	36	(965 155)	(965 119)
Correction of prior period error	37	(442 700)	(442 663)
Balance at 01 July 2011 as restated Changes in net assets		(5 155 390)	(5 155 390)
Surplus/(deficit) for the period		25 103 076	25 103 076
Total changes		25 103 076	25 103 076
Balance at 30 June 2012		19 947 686	19 947 686

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Cash Flow Statement

Figures in Rand	Notes	2012	2011 Restated
Cash flows from operating activities			
Receipts			
Grants		93 085 106	64 886 178
Interest income received		2 098 728	993 779
Other receipts		23 200	10 916
		95 207 034	65 890 873
Payments			
Employee costs		(35 325 645)	(28 980 057)
Finance costs		(335 618)	(316 607)
Other payments		(32 666 869)	(28 753 807)
		(68 328 132)	(58 050 471)
Net cash flows from operating activities	22	26 878 902	7 840 402
Cash flows from investing activities			
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	8	(641 708)	(689 064)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	8	97 238	-
Purchase of other intangible assets	9	(1 485 896)	(101 748)
Net cash flows from investing activities		(2 030 366)	(790 812)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Finance lease payments		(561 211)	(731 371)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		24 287 325	6 318 219
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		17 248 509	10 930 290
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	7	41 535 826	17 248 509

TP N

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1. Presentation of Annual Financial Statements

The annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) including any interpretations, guidelines and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board.

These annual financial statements have been prepared on an accrual basis of accounting and are in accordance with historical cost convention unless specified otherwise. They are presented in South African Rand.

A summary of the significant accounting policies, which have been consistently applied, are disclosed below.

These accounting policies are consistent with the previous period.

1.1 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty

In preparing the annual financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts represented in the annual financial statements and related disclosures. Use of available information and the application of judgement is inherent in the formation of estimates. Actual results in the future could differ from these estimates which may be material to the annual financial statements. Significant judgements include:

Receivables from non-exchange transactions

The municipality assesses its trade receivables and loans and receivables for impairment at the end of each reporting period. In determining whether an impairment loss should be recorded in surplus or deficit, management makes judgements as to whether there is observable data indicating a measurable decrease in the estimated future cash flows from a financial assets.

Impairment testing

The recoverable amounts of cash-generating units and individual assets have been determined based on the higher of value-in-use calculations and fair values less costs to sell. These calculations require the use of estimates and assumptions. It is reasonably possible that the assumptions may change which may then impact our estimations and may then require a material adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill and tangible assets.

The municipality reviews and tests the carrying value of assets when events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. In addition, goodwill is tested on an annual basis for impairment. Assets are grouped at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of cash flows of other assets and liabilities. If there are indications that impairment may have occurred, estimates are prepared of expected future cash flows for each group of assets.

Impairment of receivables

On debtors an impairment loss is recognised in surplus and deficit when there is objective evidence that it is impaired. The impairment is measured as the difference between the debtors carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate, computed at initial recognition.

1.2 Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are tangible non-current assets (including infrastructure assets) that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, rental to others, or for administrative purposes, and are expected to be used during more than one period.



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.2 Property, plant and equipment (continued)

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is recognised as an asset when:

- it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the municipality; and
- the cost of the item can be measured reliably.

Property, plant and equipment is initially measured at cost.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is the purchase price and other costs attributable to bring the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Trade discounts and rebates are deducted in arriving at the cost.

Where an asset is acquired at no cost, or for a nominal cost, its cost is its fair value as at date of acquisition.

Where an item of property, plant and equipment is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or monetary assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the asset acquired is initially measured at fair value (the cost). If the acquired item's fair value was not determinable, its deemed cost is the carrying amount of the asset(s) given up.

When significant components of an item of property, plant and equipment have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of property, plant and equipment.

Costs include costs incurred initially to acquire or construct an item of property, plant and equipment and costs incurred subsequently to add to, replace part of, or service it. If a replacement cost is recognised in the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment, the carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognised.

The initial estimate of the costs of dismantling and removing the item and restoring the site on which it is located is also included in the cost of property, plant and equipment, where the entity is obligated to incur such expenditure, and where the obligation arises as a result of acquiring the asset or using it for purposes other than the production of inventories.

Recognition of costs in the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment ceases when the item is in the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

Major spare parts and stand by equipment which are expected to be used for more than one period are included in property, plant and equipment. In addition, spare parts and stand by equipment which can only be used in connection with an item of property, plant and equipment are accounted for as property, plant and equipment.

Major inspection costs which are a condition of continuing use of an item of property, plant and equipment and which meet the recognition criteria above are included as a replacement in the cost of the item of property, plant and equipment. Any remaining inspection costs from the previous inspection are derecognised.

Property, plant and equipment is depreciated on the straight line basis over their expected useful lives to their estimated residual value.

Property, plant and equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses.

The useful lives of items of property, plant and equipment have been assessed as follows:

ItemAverage useful lifeProperty, plant and equipment3 - 7 years• IT Equipment3 - 7 years• Furniture and fixtures7 years• Motor vehicles5 years

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.2 Property, plant and equipment (continued)

The residual value, useful life and depreciation method of each asset are reviewed at the end of each reporting date. If the expectations differ from previous estimates, the change is accounted for as a change in accounting estimate.

Reviewing the useful life of an asset on an annual basis does not require the entity to amend the previous estimate unless expectations differ from the previous estimate.

Each part of an item of property, plant and equipment with a cost that is significant in relation to the total cost of the item is depreciated separately.

The depreciation charge for each period is recognised in surplus or deficit unless it is included in the carrying amount of another asset.

Items of property, plant and equipment are derecognised when the asset is disposed of or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset.

The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an item of property, plant and equipment is included in surplus or deficit when the item is derecognised. The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of an item of property, plant and equipment is determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount of the item.

Assets which the municipality holds for rentals to others and subsequently routinely sell as part of the ordinary course of activities, are transferred to inventories when the rentals end and the assets are available-for-sale. These assets are not accounted for as non-current assets held for sale. Proceeds from sales of these assets are recognised as revenue. All cash flows on these assets are included in cash flows from operating activities in the cash flow statement.

1.3 Intangible assets

An asset is identified as an intangible asset when it:

- is capable of being separated or divided from an entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or together with a related contract, assets or liability; or
- arises from contractual rights or other legal rights, regardless whether those rights are transferable or separate from the municipality or from other rights and obligations.

An intangible asset is recognised when:

- it is probable that the expected future economic benefits or service potential that are attributable to the asset will flow to the municipality; and
- the cost or fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

Intangible assets are initially recognised at cost.

An intangible asset acquired at no or nominal cost, the cost shall be its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Expenditure on research (or on the research phase of an internal project) is recognised as an expense when it is incurred.

Intangible assets are carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and any impairment losses.

An intangible asset is regarded as having an indefinite useful life when, based on all relevant factors, there is no foreseeable limit to the period over which the asset is expected to generate net cash inflows or service potential. Amortisation is not provided for these intangible assets, but they are tested for impairment annually and whenever there is an indication that the asset may be impaired. For all other intangible assets amortisation is provided on a straight line basis over their useful life.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.3 Intangible assets (continued)

The amortisation period and the amortisation method for intangible assets are reviewed at each reporting date.

Reassessing the useful life of an intangible asset with a finite useful life after it was classified as indefinite is an indicator that the asset may be impaired. As a result the asset is tested for impairment and the remaining carrying amount is amortised over its useful life.

Internally generated brands, mastheads, publishing titles, customer lists and items similar in substance are not recognised as intangible assets.

Amortisation is provided to write down the intangible assets, on a straight line basis, to their residual values as follows:

ItemUseful lifeComputer software3 years

intangible assets are derecognised:

- on disposal; or
- when no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its use or disposal.

1.4 Financial instruments

Classification

The municipality classifies financial assets and financial liabilities into the following categories:

- Loans and receivables
- · Financial liabilities measured at amortised cost

Classification depends on the purpose for which the financial instruments were obtained / incurred and takes place at initial recognition.

Initial recognition and measurement

Financial instruments are recognised initially when the municipality becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instruments.

The municipality classifies financial instruments, or their component parts, on initial recognition as a financial asset, a financial liability or an equity instrument in accordance with the substance of the contractual arrangement.

Financial instruments are measured initially at fair value, except for equity investments for which a fair value is not determinable, which are measured at cost and are classified as available-for-sale financial assets.

For financial instruments which are not at fair value through surplus or deficit, transaction costs are included in the initial measurement of the instrument.

Regular way purchases of financial assets are accounted for at settlement date.

Subsequent measurement

Financial liabilities at amortised cost are subsequently measured at amortised cost, using the effective interest method.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.4 Financial instruments (continued)

Fair value determination

The fair values of quoted investments are based on current bid prices. If the market for a financial asset is not active (and for unlisted securities), the municipality establishes fair value by using valuation techniques. These include the use of recent arm's length transactions, reference to other instruments that are substantially the same, discounted cash flow analysis, and option pricing models making maximum use of market inputs and relying as little as possible on entity-specific inputs.

Impairment of financial assets

At each end of the reporting period the municipality assesses all financial assets, other than those at fair value through surplus or deficit, to determine whether there is objective evidence that a financial asset or group of financial assets has been impaired.

For amounts due to the municipality, significant financial difficulties of the debtor, probability that the debtor will enter bankruptcy and default of payments are all considered indicators of impairment.

In the case of equity securities classified as available-for-sale, a significant or prolonged decline in the fair value of the security below its cost is considered an indicator of impairment. If any such evidence exists for available-for-sale financial assets, the cumulative loss - measured as the difference between the acquisition cost and current fair value, less any impairment loss on that financial asset previously recognised in surplus or deficit - is removed from equity as a reclassification adjustment and recognised in surplus or deficit.

Impairment losses are recognised in surplus or deficit.

Impairment losses are reversed when an increase in the financial asset's recoverable amount can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognised, subject to the restriction that the carrying amount of the financial asset at the date that the impairment is reversed shall not exceed what the carrying amount would have been had the impairment not been recognised.

Reversals of impairment losses are recognised in surplus or deficit except for equity investments classified as available-for-sale.

Where financial assets are impaired through use of an allowance account, the amount of the loss is recognised in surplus or deficit within operating expenses. When such assets are written off, the write off is made against the relevant allowance account. Subsequent recoveries of amounts previously written off are credited against operating expenses.

Payables from exchange transactions

Trade payables are initially measured at fair value, and are subsequently measured at amortised cost, using the effective interest rate method.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash on hand and demand deposits, and other short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to a known amount of cash and are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value. These are initially and subsequently recorded at fair value.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.4 Financial instruments (continued)

Gains and losses

A gain or loss arising from a change in a financial asset or financial liability is recognised as follows:

- A gain or loss on a financial asset or financial liability classified as at fair value through surplus or deficit is recognised in surplus or deficit;
- A gain or loss on an available-for-sale financial asset is recognised directly in net assets, through the statement
 of changes in net assets, until the financial asset is derecognised, at which time the cumulative gain or loss
 previously recognised in net assets is recognised in surplus or deficit; and
- For financial assets and financial liabilities carried at amortised cost, a gain or loss is recognised in surplus or deficit when the financial asset or financial liability is derecognised or impaired, and through the amortisation process.

Derecognition

Financial assets

A financial asset (or, where applicable a part of a financial asset or part of a group of similar financial assets) is derecognised where:

- the rights to receive cash flows from the asset have expired;
- the municipality retains the right to receive cash flows from the asset, but has assumed an obligation to pay them in full without material delay to a third party under a 'pass-through' arrangement; or
- the municipality has transferred its rights to receive cash flows from the asset and either
 - has transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of the asset, or
 - has neither transferred nor retained substantially all the risks and rewards of the asset, but has transferred control of the asset.

Where the municipality has transferred its rights to receive cash flows from an asset and has neither transferred nor retained substantially all the risks and rewards of the asset nor transferred control of the asset, the asset is recognised to the extent of the municipality's continuing involvement in the asset. Continuing involvement that takes the form of a guarantee over the transferred asset is measured at the lower of the original carrying amount of the asset and the maximum amount of consideration that the municipality could be required to repay. Where continuing involvement takes the form of a written and/or purchased option (including a cash-settled option or similar provision) on the transferred asset, the extent of the municipality's continuing involvement is the amount of the transferred asset that the municipality may repurchase, except that in the case of a written put option (including a cash-settled option or similar provision) on an asset measured at fair value, the extent of the municipality's continuing involvement is limited to the lower of the fair value of the transferred asset and the option exercise price.

Financial liabilities

A financial liability is derecognised when the obligation under the liability is discharged, cancelled or expires. Where an existing financial liability is replaced by another from the same lender on substantially different terms, or the terms of an existing liability are substantially modified, such an exchange or modification is treated as a derecognition of the original liability and the recognition of a new liability, and the difference in the respective carrying amounts is recognised in surplus or deficit.

1.5 Leases

A lease is classified as a finance lease if it transfers substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership. A lease is classified as an operating lease if it does not transfer substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.5 Leases (continued)

When a lease includes both land and buildings elements, the entity assesses the classification of each element separately.

Finance leases - lessee

Finance leases are recognised as assets and liabilities in the . at amounts equal to the fair value of the leased property or, if lower, the present value of the minimum lease payments. The corresponding liability to the lessor is included in the . as a finance lease obligation.

The discount rate used in calculating the present value of the minimum lease payments is the interest rate implicit in the lease.

Minimum lease payments are apportioned between the finance charge and reduction of the outstanding liability. The finance charge is allocated to each period during the lease term so as to produce a constant periodic rate on the remaining balance of the liability.

Any contingent rents are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

Operating leases - lessee

Operating lease payments are recognised as an expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The difference between the amounts recognised as an expense and the contractual payments are recognised as an operating lease asset or liability.

1.6 Impairment of cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are those assets held by the municipality with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientated entity, it generates a commercial return.

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation (amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the . after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Recoverable amount of an asset or a cash-generating unit is the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

(a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the municipality; or

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.6 Impairment of cash-generating assets (continued)

(b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the municipality.

Identification

When the carrying amount of a cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable amount, it is impaired.

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable amount of the asset.

Irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, the municipality also test a cash-generating intangible asset with an indefinite useful life or a cash-generating intangible asset not yet available for use for impairment annually by comparing its carrying amount with its recoverable amount. This impairment test is performed at the same time every year. If an intangible asset was initially recognised during the current reporting period, that intangible asset was tested for impairment before the end of the current reporting period.

Value in use

Value in use of a cash-generating asset is the present value of the estimated future cash flows expected to be derived from the continuing use of an asset and from its disposal at the end of its useful life.

When estimating the value in use of an asset, the municipality estimates the future cash inflows and outflows to be derived from continuing use of the asset and from its ultimate disposal and the municipality applies the appropriate discount rate to those future cash flows.

Recognition and measurement (individual asset)

If the recoverable amount of a cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount. This reduction is an impairment loss.

An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the cash-generating asset to which it relates, the municipality recognises a liability only to the extent that is a requirement in the Standard of GRAP.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Reversal of impairment loss

The municipality assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the entity estimates the recoverable amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.6 Impairment of cash-generating assets (continued)

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit is allocated to the cash-generating assets of the unit pro rata with the carrying amounts of those assets. These increases in carrying amounts are treated as reversals of impairment losses for individual assets. No part of the amount of such a reversal is allocated to a non-cash-generating asset contributing service potential to a cash-generating unit.

In allocating a reversal of an impairment loss for a cash-generating unit, the carrying amount of an asset is not increased above the lower of:

- its recoverable amount (if determinable); and
- the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of amortisation or depreciation) had no
 impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

The amount of the reversal of the impairment loss that would otherwise have been allocated to the asset is allocated pro rata to the other assets of the unit.

1.7 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets

Cash-generating assets are those assets held by the municipality with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientated entity, it generates a commercial return.

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation (amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the . after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation (Amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Recoverable service amount is the higher of a non-cash-generating asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

TPW

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.7 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets (continued)

- (a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the municipality; or
- (b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the municipality.

Identification

When the carrying amount of a non-cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable service amount, it is impaired.

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a non-cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable service amount of the asset.

Irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, the entity also test a non-cash-generating intangible asset with an indefinite useful life or a non-cash-generating intangible asset not yet available for use for impairment annually by comparing its carrying amount with its recoverable service amount. This impairment test is performed at the same time every year. If an intangible asset was initially recognised during the current reporting period, that intangible asset was tested for impairment before the end of the current reporting period.

Recognition and measurement

If the recoverable service amount of a non-cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable service amount. This reduction is an impairment loss.

An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

When the amount estimated for an impairment loss is greater than the carrying amount of the non-cash-generating asset to which it relates, the municipality recognises a liability only to the extent that is a requirement in the Standards of GRAP.

After the recognition of an impairment loss, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Reversal of an impairment loss

The municipality assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset may no longer exist or may have decreased. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable service amount of that asset.

An impairment loss recognised in prior periods for a non-cash-generating asset is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset's recoverable service amount since the last impairment loss was recognised. The carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable service amount. The increase is a reversal of an impairment loss. The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of depreciation or amortisation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior periods.

A reversal of an impairment loss for a non-cash-generating asset is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Any reversal of an impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation increase.

After a reversal of an impairment loss is recognised, the depreciation (amortisation) charge for the non-cash-generating asset is adjusted in future periods to allocate the non-cash-generating asset's revised carrying amount, less its residual value (if any), on a systematic basis over its remaining useful life.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.8 Employee benefits

Short-term employee benefits

The cost of short-term employee benefits, (those payable within 12 months after the service is rendered, such as paid vacation leave and sick leave, bonuses, and non-monetary benefits such as medical care), is recognised in the period in which the service is rendered and is not discounted.

The expected cost of compensated absences is recognised as an expense as the employees render services that increase their entitlement or, in the case of non-accumulating absences, when the absence occurs.

The expected cost of surplus sharing and bonus payments is recognised as an expense when there is a legal or constructive obligation to make such payments as a result of past performance.

Defined contribution plans

Payments to defined contribution retirement benefit plans are charged as an expense as they fall due.

Payments made to industry-managed (or state plans) retirement benefit schemes are dealt with as defined contribution plans where the entity's obligation under the schemes is equivalent to those arising in a defined contribution retirement benefit plan.

1.9 Provisions and contingencies

Provisions are recognised when:

- the municipality has a present obligation as a result of a past event;
- it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation; and
- a reliable estimate can be made of the obligation.

The amount of a provision is the best estimate of the expenditure expected to be required to settle the present obligation at the reporting date.

Where the effect of time value of money is material, the amount of a provision is the present value of the expenditure expected to be required to settle the obligation.

The discount rate is a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability.

Where some or all of the expenditure required to settle a provision is expected to be reimbursed by another party, the reimbursement is recognised when, and only when, it is virtually certain that reimbursement will be received if the municipality settles the obligation. The reimbursement is treated as a separate asset. The amount recognised for the reimbursement does not exceed the amount of the provision.

Provisions are reviewed at each reporting date and adjusted to reflect the current best estimate. Provisions are reversed if it is no longer probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required, to settle the obligation.

Where discounting is used, the carrying amount of a provision increases in each period to reflect the passage of time. This increase is recognised as an interest expense.

A provision is used only for expenditures for which the provision was originally recognised.

Provisions are not recognised for future operating deficits.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.9 Provisions and contingencies (continued)

If an entity has a contract that is onerous, the present obligation (net of recoveries) under the contract is recognised and measured as a provision.

A constructive obligation to restructure arises only when an entity:

- has a detailed formal plan for the restructuring, identifying at least:
 - the activity/operating unit or part of a activity/operating unit concerned;
 - the principal locations affected;
 - the location, function, and approximate number of employees who will be compensated for services being terminated;
 - the expenditures that will be undertaken; and
 - when the plan will be implemented; and
- has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.

A restructuring provision includes only the direct expenditures arising from the restructuring, which are those that are both:

- necessarily entailed by the restructuring; and
- not associated with the ongoing activities of the municipality

No obligation arises as a consequence of the sale or transfer of an operation until the municipality is committed to the sale or transfer, that is, there is a binding arrangement.

After their initial recognition contingent liabilities recognised in entity combinations that are recognised separately are subsequently measured at the higher of:

- the amount that would be recognised as a provision; and
- the amount initially recognised less cumulative amortisation.

Contingent assets and contingent liabilities are not recognised. Contingencies are disclosed in note 24.

1.10 Revenue from exchange transactions

Revenue is the gross inflow of economic benefits or service potential during the reporting period when those inflows result in an increase in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

An exchange transaction is one in which the municipality receives assets or services, or has liabilities extinguished, and directly gives approximately equal value (primarily in the form of goods, services or use of assets) to the other party in exchange.

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged, or a liability settled, between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

Measurement

Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable, net of trade discounts and volume rebates.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.10 Revenue from exchange transactions (continued)

Sale of goods

Revenue from the sale of goods is recognised when all the following conditions have been satisfied:

- the municipality has transferred to the purchaser the significant risks and rewards of ownership of the goods;
- the municipality retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor effective control over the goods sold;
- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality; and
- the costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

Rendering of services

When the outcome of a transaction involving the rendering of services can be estimated reliably, revenue associated with the transaction is recognised by reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. The outcome of a transaction can be estimated reliably when all the following conditions are satisfied:

- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality:
- the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date can be measured reliably; and
- the costs incurred for the transaction and the costs to complete the transaction can be measured reliably.

When services are performed by an indeterminate number of acts over a specified time frame, revenue is recognised on a straight line basis over the specified time frame unless there is evidence that some other method better represents the stage of completion. When a specific act is much more significant than any other acts, the recognition of revenue is postponed until the significant act is executed.

When the outcome of the transaction involving the rendering of services cannot be estimated reliably, revenue is recognised only to the extent of the expenses recognised that are recoverable.

Service revenue is recognised by reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. Stage of completion is determined by the proportion that costs incurred to date bear to the total estimated costs of the transaction.

Interest received

Revenue arising from the use by others of entity assets yielding interest, royalties and dividends is recognised when:

- It is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality, and
- The amount of the revenue can be measured reliably.

Interest is recognised, in surplus or deficit, using the effective interest rate method.

Royalties are recognised as they are earned in accordance with the substance of the relevant agreements.

Dividends, or their equivalents are recognised, in surplus or deficit, when the municipality's right to receive payment has been established.

Service fees included in the price of the product are recognised as revenue over the period during which the service is performed.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.11 Revenue from non-exchange transactions

Revenue comprises gross inflows of economic benefits or service potential received and receivable by an municipality, which represents an increase in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

Conditions on transferred assets are stipulations that specify that the future economic benefits or service potential embodied in the asset is required to be consumed by the recipient as specified or future economic benefits or service potential must be returned to the transferor.

Control of an asset arise when the municipality can use or otherwise benefit from the asset in pursuit of its objectives and can exclude or otherwise regulate the access of others to that benefit.

Exchange transactions are transactions in which one entity receives assets or services, or has liabilities extinguished, and directly gives approximately equal value (primarily in the form of cash, goods, services, or use of assets) to another entity in exchange.

Expenses paid through the tax system are amounts that are available to beneficiaries regardless of whether or not they pay taxes.

Fines are economic benefits or service potential received or receivable by entities, as determined by a court or other law enforcement body, as a consequence of the breach of laws or regulations.

Non-exchange transactions are transactions that are not exchange transactions. In a non-exchange transaction, an municipality either receives value from another municipality without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange, or gives value to another municipality without directly receiving approximately equal value in exchange.

Restrictions on transferred assets are stipulations that limit or direct the purposes for which a transferred asset may be used, but do not specify that future economic benefits or service potential is required to be returned to the transferor if not deployed as specified.

Stipulations on transferred assets are terms in laws or regulation, or a binding arrangement, imposed upon the use of a transferred asset by entities external to the reporting municipality.

Transfers are inflows of future economic benefits or service potential from non-exchange transactions, other than taxes.

Recognition

An inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset is recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is also recognised in respect of the same inflow. As the municipality satisfies a present obligation recognised as a liability in respect of an inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset, it reduces the carrying amount of the liability recognised and recognises an amount of revenue equal to that reduction.

Measurement

Revenue from a non-exchange transaction is measured at the amount of the increase in net assets recognised by the municipality. When, as a result of a non-exchange transaction, the municipality recognises an asset, it also recognises revenue equivalent to the amount of the asset measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition, unless it is also required to recognise a liability. Where a liability is required to be recognised it will be measured as the best estimate of the amount required to settle the obligation at the reporting date, and the amount of the increase in net assets, if any, recognised as revenue. When a liability is subsequently reduced, because the taxable event occurs or a condition is satisfied, the amount of the reduction in the liability is recognised as revenue.



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.12 Comparative figures

Where necessary, comparative figures have been reclassified to conform to changes in presentation in the current year.

1.13 Unauthorised expenditure

Unauthorised expenditure means:

- overspending of a vote or a main division within a vote; and
- expenditure not in accordance with the purpose of a vote or, in the case of a main division, not in accordance
 with the purpose of the main division.

All expenditure relating to unauthorised expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

1.14 Fruitless and wasteful expenditure

Fruitless expenditure means expenditure which was made in vain and would have been avoided had reasonable care been exercised.

All expenditure relating to fruitless and wasteful expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

1.15 Irregular expenditure

Irregular expenditure as defined in section 1 of the MFMA is expenditure other than unauthorised expenditure, incurred in contravention of or that is not in accordance with a requirement of any applicable legislation, including - (a) this Act; or

- (b) which has not been condoned in terms of section 170;
- (c) expenditure incurred by a municipality or municipal entity in contravention of, or that is not in accordance with, a requirement of Municipality Systems Act, and which has not been condoned in terms of the Act;
- (d) expenditure incurred by a municipality in contraversion of, or that is not in accordance with, a requirement of the Public Office-Bearers Act, 1998 (Act No.20 of 1998); or
- (e) expenditure inurred by a municipality or municipal entity in contravention of, or that is not in accordance with a requirement of the supply chain management policy of the municipality or entity or any of the municipality's by-laws giving effect to such policy, and which has not been condoned in terms of such policy or by-laws but excludes expenditure by a municipality which falls within the definition of "unauthorised expenditure

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial and which was condoned before year end and/or before finalisation of the financial statements must also be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. In such an instance, no further action is also required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and for which condonement is being awaited at year end must be recorded in the irregular expenditure register. No further action is required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Accounting Policies

1.15 Irregular expenditure (continued)

Where irregular expenditure was incurred in the previous financial year and is only condoned in the following financial year, the register and the disclosure note to the financial statements must be updated with the amount condoned.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and which was not condoned by the National Treasury or the relevant authority must be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. If liability for the irregular expenditure can be attributed to a person, a debt account must be created if such a person is liable in law. Immediate steps must thereafter be taken to recover the amount from the person concerned. If recovery is not possible, the accounting officer or accounting authority may write off the amount as debt impairment and disclose such in the relevant note to the financial statements. The irregular expenditure register must also be updated accordingly. If the irregular expenditure has not been condoned and no person is liable in law, the expenditure related thereto must remain against the relevant programme/expenditure item, be disclosed as such in the note to the financial statements and updated accordingly in the irregular expenditure register.

Irregular expenditure is expenditure that is contrary to the Municipal Finance Management Act (Act No.56 of 2003), the Municipal Systems Act (Act No.32 of 2000), and the Public Office Bearers Act (Act No. 20 of 1998) or is in contravention of the economic entity's supply chain management policy. Irregular expenditure excludes unauthorised expenditure. Irregular expenditure is accounted for as expenditure in the Statement of Financial Performance and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the Statement of Financial Performance.

1.16 Use of estimates

The preparation of annual financial statements in conformity with Standards of GRAP requires the use of certain critical accounting estimates. It also requires management to exercise its judgement in the process of applying the municipality's accounting policies. The areas involving a higher degree of judgement or complexity, or areas where assumptions and estimates are significant to the annual financial statements are disclosed in the relevant sections of the annual financial statements. Although these estimates are based on management's best knowledge of current events and actions they may undertake in the future, actual results ultimately may differ from those estimates.

1.17 Presentation of currency

These annual financial statements are presented in South African Rand.

1.18 Offsetting

Assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses have not been offset except when offsetting is required or permitted by a Standard of GRAP.

1.19 Conditional grants and receipts

Revenue received from conditional grants, donations and funding are recognised as revenue to the extent that the municipality has complied with any of the criteria, conditions or obligations embodied in the agreement. To the extent that the criteria, conditions or obligations have not been met a liability is recognised.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
		Restated

2. New standards and interpretations

GRAP 23: Revenue from Non-exchange Transactions (Taxes and Transfers)

Revenue from non-exchange transactions arises when an entity receives value from another entity without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange. An asset acquired through a non-exchange transaction shall initially be measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

This revenue will be measured at the amount of increase in net assets recognised by the municipality.

An inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset shall be recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is recognised for the same inflow. As an entity satisfies a present obligation recognised as a liability in respect of an inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognised as an asset, it will reduce the carrying amount of the liability and recognise an amount equal to that reduction as revenue.

Anticipated Impact on annual financial statements

GRAP 23 contains additional guidance on conditions, restrictions and stipulations which may result in revenue being recognised at a different stage as under GAMAP 9.

Due to the nature of the non-exchange revenue received by the municipality, the impact of implementing GRAP 23 is not expected to be significant.

GRAP 21: Impairment of non-cash-generating assets

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

When the carrying amount of a non-cash-generating asset exceeds its recoverable service amount, it is impaired.

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that a non-cash-generating asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, an entity estimates the recoverable service amount of the asset.

The present value of the remaining service potential of a non-cash-generating asset is determined using one of the following approaches:

- Depreciated replacement cost approach
- Restoration cost approach
- Service units approach

If the recoverable service amount of a non-cash-generating asset is less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable service amount. This reduction is an impairment loss. An impairment loss is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit. Any impairment loss of a revalued non-cash-generating asset is treated as a revaluation decrease.

Anticipated impact on the annual financial statements

No material impact is expected. The requirements of GRAP 21 are similar to the requirements of IAS 36 Impairment of assets and IPSAS 21 Impairment of non cash-generating assets applied by the municipality during the 2012/13 financial year.



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

2. New standards and interpretations (continued)

2.1 Standards issued and not yet effective

The following standards expected to be applicable to the municipality have been issued, but are not yet effective:

The GRAP standards below will be applied by the municipality from the effective date determined by the Minister of Finance. The effective dates are currently unknown. International Financial Reporting Standards will be applied from the effective date of the Standard as indicated below.

GRAP 24: Presentation of Budget Information

The municipality is required to present a comparison of the budget amounts for which it is held publicly accountable and actual amounts either as a separate additional financial statement or as additional budget columns in the financial statements currently presented in accordance with Standards of GRAP. The comparison of budget and actual amounts shall present separately for each level of legislative oversight:

- the approved and final budget amounts;
- the actual amounts on a comparable basis; and
- by way of note disclosure, an explanation of material differences between the budget for which the
 municipality is held publicly accountable and actual amounts, unless such explanation is included in other
 public documents issued in conjunction with the financial statements, and a cross reference to those
 documents is made in the notes.

Where the municipality prepares its budget and annual financial statements on a comparable basis, it is required to include the comparison as an additional column in the primary annual financial statements.

Where the budget and annual financial statements are not prepared on a comparable basis, a separate statement is prepared called the 'Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts'. This statement compares the budget amounts with the amounts in the annual financial statements adjusted to be comparable to the budget.

Anticipated impact on annual financial statements

Although the municipality currently presents budget information in terms of legislation, additional disclosure is required in terms of GRAP 24.

The standard will however not impact the measurement of figures presented in the annual financial statements and will only result in additional detail being disclosed in relation to the budget.

IGRAP 7: The Limit on a Defined Benefit Asset, Minimum Funding Requirements and their Interaction

This Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP applies to all post-employment defined benefits and other long-term employee defined benefits.

For the purpose of this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP, minimum funding requirements are any requirements to fund a post-employment or other long-term defined benefit plan.

The issues addressed in this Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP are:

- When refunds or reductions in future contributions should be regarded as available in accordance with paragraph .68 of the Standard of GRAP on Employee Benefits.
- How a minimum funding requirement might affect the availability of reductions in future contributions

The Interpretation of the Standards of GRAP addresses accounting by the entity that grants award credits to its customers.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

2. New standards and interpretations (continued)

The effective date of the interpretation is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2013.

The municipality expects to adopt the interpretation for the first time in the 2014 annual financial statements.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's annual financial statements.

GRAP 106: Transfers of functions between entities not under common control

The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer in a transfer of functions between entities not under common control. It requires an entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting to apply this Standard to a transaction or other event that meets the definition of a transfer of functions. It includes a diagram and requires that entities consider the diagram in determining whether this Standard should be applied in accounting for a transaction or event that involves a transfer of functions or merger.

It furthermore covers Definitions, Identifying a transfer of functions between entities not under common control, The acquisition method, Recognising and measuring the difference between the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the consideration transferred, Measurement period, Determining what is part of a transfer of functions, Subsequent measurement and accounting, Disclosure, Transitional provisions as well as the Effective date of the standard.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2014.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2015 annual financial statements.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's annual financial statements.

GRAP 107: Mergers

The objective of this Standard is to establish accounting principles for the acquirer in a transfer of functions between entities not under common control. It requires an entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting to apply this Standard to a transaction or other event that meets the definition of a transfer of functions. It includes a diagram and requires that entities consider the diagram in determining whether this Standard should be applied in accounting for a transaction or event that involves a transfer of functions or merger.

It furthermore covers Definitions, Identifying a transfer of functions between entities not under common control, The acquisition method, Recognising and measuring the difference between the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the consideration transferred, Measurement period, Determining what is part of a transfer of functions, Subsequent measurement and accounting, Disclosure, Transitional provisions as well as the Effective date of the standard.

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2014.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2015 annual financial statements.

It is unlikely that the amendment will have a material impact on the municipality's annual financial statements.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

2. New standards and interpretations (continued)

GRAP 20: Related parties

The objective of this standard is to ensure that a reporting entity's annual financial statements contain the disclosures necessary to draw attention to the possibility that its financial position and surplus or deficit may have been affected by the existence of related parties and by transactions and outstanding balances with such parties.

An entity that prepares and presents financial statements under the accrual basis of accounting (in this standard referred to as the reporting entity) shall apply this standard in:

- a) identifying related party relationships and transactions;
- b) identifying outstanding balances, including commitments, between an entity and its related parties;
- c) identifying the circumstances in which disclosure of the items in (a) and (b) is required; and
- d) determining the disclosures to be made about those items.

This standard requires disclosure of related party relationships, transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, in the consolidated and separate financial statements of the reporting entity in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements. This standard also applies to individual annual financial statements.

Disclosure of related party transactions, outstanding balances, including commitments, and relationships with related parties may affect users' assessments of the financial position and performance of the reporting entity and its ability to deliver agreed services, including assessments of the risks and opportunities facing the entity. This disclosure also ensures that the reporting entity is transparent about its dealings with related parties.

The standard states that a related party is a person or an entity with the ability to control or jointly control the other party, or exercise significant influence over the other party, or vice versa, or an entity that is subject to common control, or joint control. As a minimum, the following are regarded as related parties of the reporting entity:

- a) A person or a close member of that person's family is related to the reporting entity if that person:
 - (i) has control or joint control over the reporting entity;
 - (ii) has significant influence over the reporting entity;
 - (iii) is a member of the management of the entity or its controlling entity.
- b) An entity is related to the reporting entity if any of the following conditions apply:
 - (i) the entity is a member of the same economic entity (which means that each controlling entity, controlled entity and fellow controlled entity is related to the others);
 - (ii) one entity is an associate or joint venture of the other entity (or an associate or joint venture of a member of an economic entity of which the other entity is a member);
 - (iii) both entities are joint ventures of the same third party;
 - (iv) one entity is a joint venture of a third entity and the other entity is an associate of the third entity;
 - (v) the entity is a post-employment benefit plan for the benefit of employees of either the entity or an entity related to the entity. If the reporting entity is itself such a plan, the sponsoring employers are related to the entity;
 - (vi) the entity is controlled or jointly controlled by a person identified in (a); and
 - (vii) a person identified in (a)(i) has significant influence over that entity or is a member of the management of that entity (or its controlling entity).

The standard furthermore states that related party transaction is a transfer of resources, services or obligations between the reporting entity and a related party, regardless of whether a price is charged.

The standard elaborates on the definitions and identification of:

- Close member of the family of a person;
- Management;
- Related parties;

TOM

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

2. New standards and Interpretations (continued)

- Remuneration; and
- Significant influence

The standard sets out the requirements, inter alia, for the disclosure of:

- Control;
- Related party transactions; and
- Remuneration of management

The effective date of the standard is for years beginning on or after 01 April 2013.

The municipality expects to adopt the standard for the first time in the 2014 annual financial statements.

It is unlikely that the standard will have a material impact on the municipality's annual financial statements.

3. Financial assets by category

The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied to the line items below:

2012

		Loans and receivables	Total
	Receivables from non-exchange transactions	851 767	851 767
	Cash and cash equivalents	41 535 826	41 535 826
	Vat receivable	2 237 775	2 237 775
	Receivable from non-exchange transaction - RSC levies	7 212	7 212
		44 632 580	44 632 580
	2011		
		Loans and	Total
		receivables	
	Receivables from non-exchange transactions	1 983 221	1 983 221
	Cash and cash equivalents	17 248 509	17 248 509
	VAT receivable	2 859 880	2 859 880
	Receivable from non-exchange transaction - RSC levies	7 212	7 212
		22 098 822	22 098 822
4.	Receivables from non-exchange transactions		
	Operating lease prepayment	-	13 427
	Sundry debtors	352 990	300 674
	Suspense account - unidentified payment	304 143	304 143
	Seconded councillor's salaries overpayment	1 784 288	1 784 288
	Councillors over payments	109 436	126 818
	Motheo District funds receivable	709 066	-
	Impairment provision	(2 408 156)	(546 129)
		851 767	1 983 221
			TPM

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
		Restated

4. Receivables from non-exchange transactions (continued)

Suspense account - unidentified payment

Payment relates to a transaction against the bank account and cashbook on 14 May 2009. No supporting documentation can be traced to identify the nature and the depositors of this batch payment processed.

Credit quality of receivables from non-exchange transactions

The credit quality of receivables from non-exchange transactions that are neither past nor due nor impaired can be assessed by reference to external credit ratings (if available) or to historical information about counterparty default rates.

Receivables from non-exchange transactions impaired

As of 30 June 2012, R 2 408 156 (2011: R 546 129) sundry receivables with a carrying amount of R3 259 923 (2011: R2 529 350) were impaired and provided for.

The ageing of these receivables are as follows:

0 to 3 months	758 216	15 688
3 to 6 months	48 154	19 200
Over 6 months	45 397	1 948 333
Reconciliation of impairment of receivables from non-exchange transactions		
Opening balance	546 129	324 544
Impairment - current year	1 862 027	221 585
	2 408 156	546 129

The maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date is the fair value of each class of receivables mentioned above. The municipality does not hold any collateral as security.

5. Receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC Levies

RSC levies	49 087 074	49 087 074
Impairment	(49 079 862)	(49 079 862)
	***************************************	***************************************
	7 212	7 212

Receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC Levies impaired

As of 30 June 2012, receivables from non-exchange transactions - RSC levies with a carrying amount of

R 49 087 074 (2011: R 49 087 074) were impaired and provided for.

The amount of the provision was R 49 079 862 as of 30 June 2012 (2011: R 49 079 862).

The ageing of these loans is as follows:

Over 12 months 49 087 074 49 087 074

TPM

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figu	ures in Rand					2012	2011 Restated
5.	Receivables from non-exch	nange transactio	ons - RSC Levies	(continued)			
	Reconciliation of provision	for impairmen	t of receivables	from non-exch	ange transaction	ons - RSC levies	
	Opening balance					49 079 862	
	Provision for impairment						49 079 862
						49 079 862	49 079 862
	The maximum exposure to above. The municipality do				e of each class o	of receivables m	entioned
6.	VAT receivable						
	VAT receivable					2 237 775	2 859 880
	The municipality accounts f	or VA T on the p	ayments basis.				
7.	Cash and cash equivalents						
	Cash and cash equivalents	consist of:					
	Bank balances					1 239 156	1 74 7 403
	Short-term deposits					40 296 670	15 501 106
						41 535 826	17 248 509
	The municipality had the fo	ollowing bank a	ccounts				
	Account number / description	Bank	statement bala	ances	C	ash book balanc	es
		30 June 2012	30 June 2011	30 June 2010	30 June 2012	30 June 2011	30 June 2010
	ABSA Bank - Cheque Account - 770-150-841	1 239 156	1 747 403	6 129 983	1 239 156	1 747 403	6 164 983
	ABSA Bank - Call Account - 921-300-0832	613 747	613 131	610 492	613 747	613 131	610 492
	ABSA Bank - Fixed Deposit Account - 206-206-4985	36 389 828	11 748 844	1 177 950	36 389 828	11 748 844	1 177 950
	FNB Bank - Fixed Deposit Account - 712-733-40-226	3 293 095	3 139 131	2 976 864	3 293 095	3 139 131	2 976 864
	Total	41 535 826	17 248 509	10 895 289	41 535 826	17 248 509	10 930 289

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Figures in Rand	2012	2011
		Restated

8. Property, plant and equipment

_	2012		2011			
_	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and impairment losses	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and impairment losses	Carrying value
Furniture and fixtures	956 688	(339 012)	617 676	929 403	(205 475)	723 928
Motor vehicles	870 628	(870 628)	-	1 034 984	(828 662)	206 322
IT equipment	2 766 609	(1 495 571)	1 271 038	2 159 683	(948 127)	1 211 556
Non-current assets held for sale	2	-	2	-	-	-
Total	4 593 927	(2 705 211)	1 888 716	4 124 070	(1 982 264)	2 141 806

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - 2012

	Opening balance	Additions	Classified as held for sale	Depreciation	Disposals	Total
Furniture and fixtures	723 928	27 285	-	(133 537)	-	617 6 7 6
Motor vehicles	206 322	-	(2)	(176 441)	(29 879)	-
IT equipment	1 211 556	614 423		(548 719)	(6 222)	1 2 7 1 038
Non-current assets held	-	-	2	-	-	2
for sale						
	2 141 806	641 708	-	(858 697)	(36 101)	1 888 716

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - 2011

	Opening balance	Additions	Depreciation	Total
Furniture and fixtures	563 553	272 000	(111 625)	723 928
Motor vehicles	413 177	~	(206 855)	206 322
IT equipment	1 162 946	417 064	(368 454)	1 211 556
	2 139 676	689 064	(686 934)	2 141 806

Other information

Proceeds for property, plant and equipment that were impaired, lost or given up and is included in surplus or deficit

IT equipment

97 238

Motor vehicles with a zero book value are still in use at 30 June 2012.

IT equipment with a carrying value of R287 809 (2011: R518 056) is leased under a finance lease. Refer to note 10.

TPM

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
		Restated

8. Property, plant and equipment (continued)

In the prior year the municipality disclosed their property, plant and equipment as well as intangible assets using directive 4. In the 2011/2012 annual financial statements the municipality made use of directive 7 to account for their property, plant and equipment and intangible assets in terms of GRAP 17 and GRAP 102. Below is a summary of the directive 7.

Directive 7

When an entity initially recognises an asset using the Standards of GRAP, it measures such assets using either cost or fair value at the date of acquisition (acquisition cost). Where the acquisition cost of an asset is not available on the adoption of the Standards of GRAP, acquisition cost is measured using a surrogate value (deemed cost) at the date an entity adopts the Standards of GRAP (measurement date). Deemed cost is determined as the fair value of an asset at the measurement date.

9. Intangible assets

		2012		2011		
	Cost / Valuation	Cost / Accumulated Carrying value Valuation amortisation and accumulated impairment		Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Computer software, other	1 602 044	(609 680)	992 364	116 146	(105 963)	10 183

Reconciliation of Intangible assets - 2012

Computer software, other	Opening balance 10 183	Additions 1 485 896	Amortisation (503 715)	Total 992 364
Reconciliation of intangible assets - 2011				
	Opening balance	Additions	Amortisation	Total
Computer software, other	9 644	101 748	(101 209)	10 183

Other information

In the prior year the municipality disclosed their property, plant and equipment as well as intangible assets using directive 4. In the 2011/2012 annual financial statements the municipality made use of directive 7 to account for their property, plant and equipment and intangible assets in terms of GRAP 17 and GRAP 102. Below is a summary of the directive 7.

Directive 7

When an entity initially recognises an asset using the Standards of GRAP, it measures such assets using either cost or fair value at the date of acquisition (acquisition cost). Where the acquisition cost of an asset is not available on the adoption of the Standards of GRAP, acquisition cost is measured using a surrogate value (deemed cost) at the date an entity adopts the Standards of GRAP (measurement date). Deemed cost is determined as the fair value of an asset at the measurement date.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011 Restat e d
10. Finance lease obligation		
Minimum lease payments due		
- within one year	635 397	556 248
- in second to fifth year inclusive	162 249	79 7 641
	797 646	1 353 889
less: future finance charges	(295 974)	(626 624)
Present value of minimum lease payments	501 672	727 265
Present value of minimum lease payments due		
- within one year	359 880	225 597
- in second to fifth year inclusive	141 792	501 668
	501 672	727 265
Non-current liabilities	141 792	501 668
Current liabilities	359 880	225 597
	501 672	727 265

It is the municipality's policy to lease certain office equipment under finance leases.

The average lease term is between 3-5 years and the average effective borrowing rate was between 9% and 15.5% (2011: 10 to 15%).

Interest rates are linked to prime at the contract date. All leases escalate at 15% p.a and no arrangements have been entered into for contingent rent.

11. Operating lease asset (accrual)

(3 200) (3 200) 2 365 974	(12 700) (12 700)
2 365 974	2 415 442
2 365 974	2 415 442
	2 413 442
782 682	1 107 594
1 152 791	-
224 069	224 069
191 563	191 563
5 535	5 535
16 725 354	17 073 255
496 395	-
2 533 856	4 777 946
1 516 161	1 516 161
25 994 380	27 311 565
	1 152 791 224 069 191 563 5 535 16 725 354 496 395 2 533 856 1 516 161

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
rigules in rand	2012	2011
		0
		Restated

12. Payables from exchange transactions (continued)

Unallocated deposits relate to RSC levies receipts received from unidentifed levy payers. These amounts are to be transferred to the municipal attorney to be held in trust until all legal matters pending between the RSC levy payers association and the municipality are resolved.

13. Unspent conditional grants and receipts

Unspent conditional grants and receipts comprises of:

Department of Public Works Programme COGTA - Finance support grant Department of Transport - Rural Development Municipal System Improvement Grant (MSIG) Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG)	961 000 - - 105 722	566 855 6 904 - 780 912
	1 066 722	1 354 671
Movement during the year		
Balance at the beginning of the year	1 354 671	3 993 705
Received during the year	5 001 000	2 113 599
Income recognition during the year	(4 501 133)	(3 752 633)
Deducted from equitable share grant	(787 816)	(1 000 000)
	1 066 722	1 354 671

The nature and extent of government grants and their conditions, restrictions and other contingencies attached to these government grants have not been fulfilled and hence recognised in the annual financial statements as unspent conditional grants.

Significant decreases in the level of government grants received is as a result of the municipality no longer receiving Municipal Infrastructure Grants (MIG).

See note 15 for the reconciliation of other grants from National/Provincial Government.



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figu	res in Rand	2012	2011 Restated
14.	Financial liabilities by category		
	The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied	to the column items below:	
	2012		
		Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
	Finance lease - non current	141 792 359 880	141 792 359 880
	Finance lease - current		
	Other financial liabilities - current	1 066 722 22 845 724	1 066 722 22 845 724
	Payables from exchange transactions Operating leases	3 200	3 200
	Operating reases	24 417 318	24 417 318
	2011		
		Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Total
	Finance lease - non current	501 668	501 668
	Finance lease - non-current	225 597	225 597
	Other financial liabilities - current	1 354 6 7 1	1 354 671
	Payables from exchange transactions	23 788 526	23 788 526
	Operating leases	12 700	12 700
		25 883 162	25 883 162
15.	Government grants and subsidies		
	Equitable share	70 308 000	59 867 545
	MIG Grant	-	740 923
	Other government grants and subsidies	-	190 000
	Department of Public Works Programme	-	266 000
	Department of Transport	152 505	336 551
	LG Seta skills development grant	152 595 1 250 000	173 599 1 000 000
	Finance Management Grant Municipal Systems Improvement Grant	684 278	878 415
	COGTA Grant - Legal fees assistance	2 000 000	1 000 000
	COGTA Grant - Legal rees assistance COGTA - Finance support grant	566 855	433 145
	Motheo District Grant	18 332 577	.55 145
	Conditional grants deducted from equitable share	787 816	
		94 082 121	64 886 178

TPM

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

gu	res in Rand	2012	2011 Restat e d
5.	Government grants and subsidies (continued)		
	MIG Grant		
	Balance unspent at beginning of year	780 911	1 521 834
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue		(740 923
	Deducted from equitable share	(780 911)	<u></u>
			780 911
	COGTA - Finance support grant		
	Balance unspent at beginning of year	566 855	1 000 000
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(566 855)	(433 145
			566 855
	Department of Transport		
	Balance unspent at beginning of year	6 904	343 455
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue	•	(336 551
	Deducted from equitable share	(6 904)	-
			6 904
	Equitable share		
	Amount receivable per DORA 2012	72 399 000	59 867 545
	Amount actually received 2012	(70 308 000)	(59 867 545
	Deducted from outstanding conditional grants Overdeduction on current year equitable share per DORA	(787 816) (1 303 184)	-
	Overdeduction on current year equitable share per DOKA	(1 303 184)	
	Department of Public Works Programme		
	Current-year receipts	961 000	266 000
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue	-	(266 000
		961 000	-
	Conditions still to be met - remain liabilities (see note 13)		
	Financial Management Grant		
	Current-year receipts	1 250 000	1 000 000
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(1 250 000)	(1 000 000
		1 gran ergi a siya a kepi delapera a maka yaranga apak a dalambak	-

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figu	res in Rand	2012	2011 Restated
15.	Government grants and subsidies (continued)		
	Municipal Systems Improvement Grant (MSIG)		
	Balance unspent at beginning of year	-	128 415
	Current-year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	790 000	750 000
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(684 278)	(878 415
		105 722	-
	Conditions still to be met - remain liabilities (see note 13)		
	COGTA - Legal support grant		
	Current-year receipts	2 000 000	1 000 000
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(2 000 000)	(1 000 000
		-	····
		-	
	Industrial Development Corporation grant		
	Current-year receipts	-	190 000
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue	<u></u>	(190 000
		•	
	LG Seta - skills development grant		
	Current-year receipts		173 599
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue		(173 599)
		_	_
	Motheo District Grant		
	Current-year receipts	18 332 577	_
	Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(18 332 577)	-
			-
16.	Investment revenue		
	Finance income		
	Bank Interest on VAT denosit	2 098 314	993 779
	Interest on VAT deposit	414	-
		2 098 728	993 779

The amount included in Investment revenue arising from non-exchange transactions amounted to R 2 098 728.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figu	ures in Rand	2012	2011 Restated
17.	Finance costs		
	Finance leases	335 618	316 607
18.	General expenses		
	Advertising	539 855	218 912
	Auditors remuneration	1 214 487	1 409 779
	Bank charges	31 601	31 546
	Capacity building councillors	-	237 085
	Cleaning	349 904	330 708
	Community development and training	208 160	654 614
	Computer expenses	2 751 493	547 412
	Conferences and delegations	-	10 000
	Consulting and professional fees	4 564 675	5 917 621
	District audit committee	25 102	48 056
	District municipality community projects	1 403 662	
	Entertainment	209 251	251 989
	Finalisation of creditable IDP	46 810	181 700
	Grants and subsidies paid	13 556 851	•
	Infrastructure project expenditure	468 315	1 077 364
	Insurance	160 838	117 239
	Lease rentals on operating lease	133 500	132 400
	Magazines, books and periodicals	58 529	109 760
	Marketing and promotions	359 3 7 3	352 889
	Mayoral special projects	•	6 023 640
	MFMA support program	334 932	
	Motor vehicle expenses	696 932	1 021 534
	Policy development	-	1 352 355
	Placement fees	1 120	108 549
	Printing and stationery	325 885	192 056
	Public participation	144 164	1 078 555
	Royalties and license fees	12 418	
	Sampling of food and water	184 581	-
	Security	208 333	500 000
	Subscriptions and membership fees	408 849	240 959
	Team building	-	190 680
	Telephone and fax	1 042 309	487 542
	Tourism development	18 800	60 395
	Training	45 210	48 538
	Travel and subsistence	1 325 303	1 978 097
		30 831 242	24 911 974

TPM

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figur	es in Rand	2012	2011 Restated
19.	Remuneration related costs		
	Basic	26 400 796	20 7 24 101
	Bonus	794 979	1 117 136
	Car allowance	4 358 315	3 528 717
	Cellphone allowance	129 558	134 639
	Housing benefits and allowances	318 454	951 9 7 4
	Leave pay provision charge	(49 468)	(512 012
	Leave redemption	270 882	-
	Long-service awards	•	25 108
	Medical aid - company contributions	2 453 781	1 964 006
	Other payroll levies	2 727	271 189
	Overtime payments	12 571	-
	SDL	284 433	233 008
	Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances	243 948	284 245
	UIF contributions	104 669	25 7 946
		35 325 645	28 980 057

The above total remuneration cost includes the cost to company of officials and councillors for the period ended 30 June 2012.

The details of remuneration paid to councillors are disclosed in note 19.

Remuneration paid to key personnel are disclosed below.

Remuneration of former municipal manager - Mr. MP Moloi

Other	-	155 323
	-	•

Mr. MP Moloi terminated his services with the municipality on 30 September 2009.

Outstanding leave balance was paid to Mr. MP Moloi during the previous financial period in accordance to the Main Collective Agreement.

Remuneration of Acting Accounting Officer - Ms. TPM Lebenya

Annual Remuneration	465 476	520 616
Car Allowance	169 264	177 964
Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development	7 881	-
Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances	41 440	20 594
Cellphone allowance	14 400	7 200
Acting allowance	38 119	-
	736 580	726 374



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

434 779 129 666 33 993 113 321 37 160	355 370 97 249 20 969
129 666 33 993 113 321	97 24
129 666 33 993 113 321	97 24
33 993 113 321	
113 321	20 96
37 160	
	37 07
-	67 09
748 919	577 75
432 712	454 35
-	21 61
6 264	
	33 99
115 228	
-	2 40
-	
-	48 24 3 51
	Acting Corporate Service Address of the Address of

Annual Remuneration	432 712	408 589
Car Allowance	138 363	125 693
Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development	124 171	-
Cellphone	12 000	12 000
Annual bonuses	36 059	33 992
Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances	50 369	90 148
Other	3 600	3 600
	797 274	674 022

Mr. B Mphahlele appointed as the manager of infrastructure was appointed as the Acting executive manager LED & Tourism on 1 May 2010 to 30 November 2010. No acting allowance is paid to him as he falls into the remuneration bracket of a full time executive manager.

TPM

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Remuneration of Acting executive manager Governance - Mr. T Motaung Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Annual bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Back pay Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Acting allowance Mr. T Motaung was appointed as the Acting executive manager Governance on 1 April 2010 Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone Ms. MRE Mogopodi was appointed as the Municipal Manager and commenced her term of	439 989	412 618 128 978 29 472 9 466 108 675 65 555 754 764
Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Annual bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Back pay Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Acting allowance Mr. T Motaung was appointed as the Acting executive manager Governance on 1 April 2010 Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	119 976 31 264 6 609 52 620 - 589 509	128 978 29 472 9 466 108 675 65 555 754 764
Car Allowance Annual bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Back pay Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Acting allowance Mr. T Motaung was appointed as the Acting executive manager Governance on 1 April 2010 Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	119 976 31 264 6 609 52 620 - 589 509	128 978 29 472 9 466 108 675 65 555 754 764
Annual bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Back pay Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Acting allowance Mr. T Motaung was appointed as the Acting executive manager Governance on 1 April 2010 Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	31 264 6 609 52 620 589 509	29 472 9 466 108 675 65 555 754 764
Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Back pay Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Acting allowance Mr. T Motaung was appointed as the Acting executive manager Governance on 1 April 2010 Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	6 609 52 620 589 509 0.	9 466 108 675 65 555 754 764
Back pay Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Acting allowance Mr. T Motaung was appointed as the Acting executive manager Governance on 1 April 2010 Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	52 620 - 589 509).	108 675 65 555 754 764
Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Acting allowance Mr. T Motaung was appointed as the Acting executive manager Governance on 1 April 2010 Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	589 509). 439 989	108 675 65 555 754 764
Mr. T Motaung was appointed as the Acting executive manager Governance on 1 April 2010 Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	589 509). 439 989	65 555 754 764
Mr. T Motaung was appointed as the Acting executive manager Governance on 1 April 2010 Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	439 989	754 764
Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	439 989	
Former Municipal Manager - Ms. MRE Mogopodi Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	439 989	620 214
Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone		620 214
Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone		620 214
Car Allowance Housing allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	CO C7C	
Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	69 676	186 453
Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	40 142	46 573
Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances Leavepay Cellphone	132 472	30 000
Cellphone	35 915	63 786
Cellphone	46 492	•
Ms. MRF Mogopodi was appointed as the Municipal Manager and commenced her term of	11 469	19 500
Ms. MRE Moganodi was appointed as the Municipal Manager and commenced her term of	776 155	966 526
14 June 2010. She resigned at the end of February 2012.	employment	on
Remuneration of Chief Financial Officer - Mr. HI Lebusa		
Annual Remuneration	572 100	402 408
Car Allowance	180 000	135 000
Housing allowance	47 900	35 925
Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development	9 281	
Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances	60 026	14 775
Cellphone	14 400	10 800
	883 707	598 908

Mr HI Lebusa was appointed as the Chief Financial Officer and commenced his term of office from 1 October 2010.

TPUT

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand		in Rand 2012	2011 Restated
19.	Remuneration related costs (continued)		
	Remuneration of executive manager Corporate Service - Ms P Moloi		
	Annual Remuneration	484 000	339 666
	Car Allowance	156 000	117 000
	Contributions to UIF, Medical, Pension Funds and Skills Development	92 019	60 001
	Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances	31 779	8 301
	Cellphone	14 400	10 800
		778 198	535 768

Ms. P Moloi was appointed as the Executive manager Corporate Service and commenced her term of office from 1 October 2010.

Acting executive manager LED & Tourism - S Lengoabala

Annual Remuneration Car Allowance	-	286 590 68 797
Performance and other bonuses	_	23 887
Acting allowance	-	115 335
Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances	~	30 956
Cellphone	-	7 200
Other	-	17 514
	-	550 279

Mr. S Lengoabala manager in the Executive Mayor's office was appointed as the Acting executive manager LED & Tourism on 1 December 2010.

20. Remuneration of councillors

Executive Mayor - Her Majesty Queen Mathokoana	-	606 570
Executive Mayor - Dr BE Mzangwa	797 548	-
Mayoral Committee Members	3 911 645	4 037 649
Speaker - Ms. AM Nthedi	-	452 177
Councillors	1 977 414	1 578 055
Chief Whip - Mr. PH Motsoeneng	-	46 984
Seconded councillors overpayments - to be recovered	~	(196 668)
Speaker - Mr. MS Maduna	370 939	**
Chief Whip - Ms. MS Moleleki	534 009	-
	7 591 555	6 524 767

In-kind benefits

The Executive Mayor, Deputy Executive Mayor, Speaker and Mayoral Committee Members are full-time. The Executive Mayor, Deputy Executive Mayor, Speaker and Chief whip is provided with an office and secretarial support at the cost of the Council. The Mayoral Committee Members are provided with pool secretarial support and offices at the cost of the Council.

The Executive Mayor has the use of separate Council owned vehicles for official duties.

Tong

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Band	2012	2011
Figures in Rand	2012	2011
		Restated
		nestateu

21. Operating lease

Operating lease payments represent rentals payable by the municipality for the premises at 154 Cambridge Street, Bethlehem, Free State.

The lease was negotiated for a period of three years. The rentals escalate at 10% on an annual basis. Currently monthly rentals repayments are R13 794 including VAT.

The municipality has the option of renewing the lease for a further period of 3 years at the end of the current lease period on the same terms and conditions as the original lease agreement, at a rental to be agreed upon amongst the parties on termination of the current lease agreement. Should the lessee not give the lessor notice of his intention not to exercise the said option by registered post, not later than 6 months before expiry of the first period of the lease, the option will be deemed to have been automatically renewed on a month to month basis.

Operating lease payments represent rentals payable by the municipality for the mayoral vehicle leased from the Department of Roads and Transport.

The lease was negotiated for a period of three years. The daily and kilometer tariff is reviewed annually. The tariff charged to the Municipality will at all times be on standard tariff scales applicable to other government departments. Currently monthly rentals repayments are R33 203 including VAT.

22. Cash generated from operations

25 103 076	9 628 993
1 362 417	787 477
(61 137)	23 628
335 618	316 607
1 862 027	221 586
(9 500)	3 400
(730 573)	97 503
(1 317 182)	(8 544 369)
622 105	7 944 611
(287 949)	(2 639 034)
26 878 902	7 840 402
	1 362 417 (61 137) 335 618 1 862 027 (9 500) (730 573) (1 317 182) 622 105 (287 949)



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figu	es in Rand 2012		2011 Restated	
23.	Commitments			
	23.1 Commitments in respect of operating expenditure			
	Approved and contracted for- Budget rolled forward			
	Gravel Road - Hasethunya	2 599 010	787 057	
	Upgrade Gravel Road - Rosendal	149 889	-	
	Upgrade Gravel Road - Arlington	83 768	-	
	Oxidation Ponds - Memel / Zamani	1 445 543	-	
	Upgrade Gravel Road - Megheleng	1 658 559	-	
	Brick Block Project - Ladybrand	947 085	-	
	Oxidation Ponds - Thaba Patchoa	1 085 000	-	
	Installation - Solar Spotlights	8 982 526	-	
	Installation of toilet in Platberg	2 500 000	-	
	Compilation of GRAP compliant fixed asset register	12 919	-	
	E-venus financial management system	631 179	-	
		20 095 478	787 057	

This committed expenditure relates to Infrastructure support in various areas and will be financed by available bank facilities. The project is expected to be completed by the 2nd quarter of the 2012/2013 financial period.

23.2 Operating leases - as lessee (expense)

Minimum lease payments due		
- within one year	434 736	141 900
- in second to fifth year inclusive	531 248	36 300
	965 984	178 200

24. Contingencies

RSC levy payers association vs Municipality

The municipality has a contingent liability of R80 million as a result of a legal dispute between the municipality and levy rate payers. The background and details of this contingent liability are provided below.

According to the now abolished RSC Levies Act all entities doing business within the jurisdiction of the District Municipality must pay levies to that municipality. This legislation was terminated on 30 June 2006, and the 13 555 levy payers had until 30 June 2008 to settle their outstanding levies.

During 2007/08, the municipality appointed Podbielski Mhlambi Inc attorneys to assist with the levies debt collection of the amount owed by rate payers. The RSC legislation stipulated that the amount to be recovered should be calculated as a percentage of the levy payer's revenue and salaries cost.

The total value of these levy debtors (both registered and unregistered) was originally estimated at R49 million before impairment (refer note 5).



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
•		Restated

24. Contingencies (continued)

Some of the levy rate payers opposed the method of calculation of the levies in court and the municipality lost the first case. The municipality is currently preparing its case for application for leave to appeal the previous Court judgment, which commenced in August 2010 and is still currently proceeding. Should the municipality be unsuccessful in their appeal, it is estimated that legal costs of R80 million will be payable to the attorneys acting for the levy payers. An accurate valuation and possible outcome cannot be determined due to the unique nature of the case

Additional details on the legal fees incurred and paid to date as well as levy debtors recovered are provided below.

To date only R4 697 667 was recovered from levy rate payers by Podbielski Mhlambi Inc and an additional R1 516 161 was paid directly to the municipality. The total levy debtors amount recovered to date is therefore R6 213 828. The legal fee billed to date is R41 798 650 of which R25 505 893 has been paid to Podbielski Mhlambi Inc attorneys.

The reconciliation below shows the legal fees billed and paid and debt collection to date per financial year.

Financial year	Legal fees billed	Legal fees paid	Fees outstanding	Debt Collected**
Prior 2009	21 976 005	-	8 932 073	3 178 053
2010	13 954 357	(6 500 000)	7 454 357	1 195 207
2011	4 648 786	(3 961 961)	686 825	221 930
2012	1 219 502	(2 000 000)	(780 498)	102 477
Total	41 798 650	(25 505 893)	<u>16 292 757</u>	4 697 667

^{**}Debt collected to date excludes the additional amount of R1 516 161 paid directly to the municipality (Refer to note 11).

The outstanding legal fees of R16 725 354 has been raised as a liability (refer to note 11). R16 292 757 relates to the RSC levies. R432 597 relates to two other court cases that Podbielski Mhlambi attorneys is representing the municipality, i.e. Thakangoaha Investments vs Municipality and Infrastructure Finance Corporation vs Municipality. The municipality estimates that a further R7 million in legal fees to its attorneys, Podbielski Mhlambu will be incurred to bring all the matters to completion.

It is estimated by Podbielski Mhlambu that the recovery process will be finalised by the end of 2012 pending the decision of the Supreme Court of Appeal.

RSC levies received by Podbielski Mhlambi Attorneys on behalf of council

RSC levies collected to date amount to R4 697 667 as disclosed above, this money was received by Podbielski Mhlambi Attorneys acting on behalf of the municipality. This money is kept in a trust account pending the legal outcome on the RSC levy dispute between the municipality and the levy payers, should the court rule against Council, Podbielski Mhlambi Attorneys will be obliged to refund the levy payers all monies received.

Podbielski Mhlambi Attorneys have off-set the RSC levies received against the legal fees owed to the firm, thus mean if the legal outcome is against Council, the debt owed to Podbielski Mhlambi Attorneys will increase by the amount RSC levies collected.

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
		Restated

24. Contingencies (continued)

MSMM Attorneys vs Municipality

The municipality has a contingent liability of R2 000 000 as a result of a legal dispute between the municipality and MSMM Attorneys. The background and details of this contingent liability are provided below.

MSMM attorneys have issued summons for an amount of R2 000 000 against the municipality. According to MSMM Attorneys this amount is for legal services they rendered for collecting outstanding levy debt for the municipality based on their arrangement with Thakangoaga Investment CC. The municipality has appointed Podbielki Mhlambi Inc attorneys to defend the matter.

When the legislation on RSC levies was terminated on 30 June 2006, the municipality appointed Thakangoaha Investments CC in November 2007 to identify the outstanding levy payers and recover the outstanding levies due to the municipality. For this purpose, Thakangoaha appointed MSMM Attorneys to take the necessary legal action against these outstanding levy payers. Thakangoaha was to receive R150 for each of the then 13 000 outstanding cases, and also 20% of the total amount to be recovered from the levy payers.

As at February 2008, in an attempt to recover outstanding levies, MSMM issued 6 000 summons to levy payers. The municipality received numerous counter claims from levy payers. According to levy payers, summons issued did not comply with legal requirements. The municipality then sought legal opinion, which confirmed that summons issued did not comply with legal requirements.

MSMM Attorneys are now suing the municipality for not paying fees for legal services rendered by them based on instruction from Thakangoaha Investment CC. The municipality will defend this R2 000 000 claim by MSMM Attorneys based on legal advice received. It is expected that the court hearing for this matter will take place in 2012.

The municipality estimates to incur legal cost of R150 000 to defend the above matter.

Warden resident vs Municipality

The municipality has a contingent liability of R130 000 as a result of a legal dispute between the municipality and a Warden resident. The background and details of this contingent liability are provided below.

A Warden resident is suing the municipality for an amount of R130 000. The resident's house burnt down and he had no household insurance. There is no fire station in Warden, and therefore the resident had to phone the fire station in Harrismith. Warden is +/- 80km from Harrismith, the fire truck did not arrive in time to extinguish the fire and the house burned down. The damage to his house and household content is estimated to be R130 000. There are no details available about the cause of this fire.

The Warden resident is holding the municipality accountable for the loss of his house and the household content due to non existence of a fire station in Warden. According to the Warden resident, the municipality is responsible for providing disaster recovery services and they have failed to provide such a service to Warden residents. This matter will be proceeding to trial on 31 July 2012.

The municipality estimates to incur R150 000 in legal cost to defend the above matter.

Infrastructure Finance Corporation vs Municipality

The municipality has a contingent liability of R2 269 693 as a result of a legal dispute between the municipality and Infrastructure Finance Corporation. The background and details of this contingent liability are provided below.

The municipality purchased copiers from Nashua Bethlehem. Nashua Bethlehem erroneously made use of two



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
·		Restated

24. Contingencies (continued)

financing houses to finance the purchase of these office machines. Subsequently the municipality paid both financing houses for these copiers. It was only after a year that they realised payments were being made in duplicate. The municipality stopped all payments (to both financing houses) after the fraudulent nature of the transaction was discovered.

Podbielski Mhlambi Inc was appointed by the municipality and identified that the one financing house produced falsified documentation. The copiers delivered to the municipality also differed from the goods purchased as per the supporting documentation. It was later determined that the goods delivered were stolen goods.

The Municipality instructed its attorney to recover the overpayment from the financing house and it was established that they have been liquidated. The Municipality's attorney advised the municipality not to attempt recovering the over payments as there were no sufficient funds available in the estate.

Infrastructure Finance Corporation is suing the municipality for the outstanding payments, which amount to R2 million.

The Municipality is currently defending the matter in the Free State High Court. It's expected that it will probably proceed to trial towards the end of 2012. It is expected that a further R200 000 legal costs will be incurred to bring this matter to completion.

Thakangoaha Investments vs Municipality

Thakangoaha Investments was originally awarded the tender relating to the collection of outstanding RSC levies in 2006/2007. Due to the unsuccessful attempts at collection of levies, incorrect legal practices followed and the legal nature of the RSC levies matter, the municipality had to terminate their contract and appoint Phodbielski Mhlambi Law Firm to correct the unlawful and unsuccessful attempts at collection made by Thakangoaha Investments and to proceed to defend the RSC levies matter in court.

Thakangoaha issued summon against the Municipality for an amount of R3 500 000 including interest and cost. The Municipality at that time failed to defend the matter. When the Deputy Sheriff attached the Municipality's property as a result of the judgement, the Municipality instructed Podbielski Mhlamni to apply for a Rescission of Judgement.

This application was processed and the matter placed on the court-role.

The municipality has also filed a counter claim of R2 000 000 against Thakangoaha investments.

The expected legal cost to be incurred to finalise this matter which is expected to be towards the end of 2012 is

R150 000.

Housing guarantees

A contingent liability exists for the municipality to pay the guarantee amount in the event of the employee not being able to settle their bond / default on payment.

The Municipality has provided housing guarantee's to the employees listed below. The maximum amount each qualifying employee may receive is R85 000.



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
		Restated

24. Contingencies (continued)

Name of employee	Effective date	Guarantee amount
Mazibuko Mwelase	25/05/2005	17 000
Mollo Ngobese	22/03/2006	17 000
Motloung Mohoabadi	04/10/2006	17 000
Moloi Khesa	25/05/2005	17 000
Motloung Sylvia	30/01/2007	17 000
Moloi Materonko	08/10/2002	13 000
Mthombeni Sthembiso	01/10/2004	14 000
Dusse Ronald	01/07/2006	17 000
Majoro Matsiliso	01/07/2006	17 000
Swart Pierre	01/07/2006	17 000
Viljoen Johannes	01/07/2006	17 000
Du toit Pieter	01/07/2006	17 000
Malan M.P	01/07/2006	17 000
Oosthuizen Corrie	01/07/2006	17 000
Mani Koahela	01/07/2009	17 000
	Total guarantees issued	248 000

South African Revenue Services.

The South African Revenue Services instituted a VAT audit on the municipality during the financial year. The municipality could not provide the Receiver with some of the documentation that were requested for audit. As a result, SARS could not determine the validity of the VAT claimed by the municipality. Consequently, should it surface that the VAT claimed by the municipality was not valid, the municipality will be obliged to refund the VAT claimed together with interest and penalties. An estimate of the interest and penalties levied by SARS cannot be made.

Tru

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
		Restated

25. Related parties

Parties are considered to be related if one party has the ability to control the other party or exercise significant influence over the other party in making financial and operating decisions or if the related party entity and another entity are subject to common control.

Related parties include:

- entities that are directly or indirectly controlled by the municipality;
- associates;
- joint ventures and management;
- key management personnel, and close members of the family of key management personnel;
- entities in which a substantial ownership interest is held, directly or indirectly, by key management personnel or entities over which such a person is able to exercise significant influence.
- entities that control or exert significant influence over the municipality

The economic entity's key management personnel includes the Municipal Manager, Chief Financial Officer and all other managers reporting directly to the Municipal Manager or as designated by the Municipal Manager.

In terms of the MFMA, the municipality may not grant loans to its councillors, management, staff and public with effect from 1 July 2004.

There were no related parties for the current financial year.

26. Risk management

Financial risk management

The municipality's activities expose it to a variety of financial risk, market risk, credit risk and liquidity risk.

Credit risk

Credit risk consists mainly of cash deposits, cash equivalents, derivative financial instruments and trade debtors. The municipality only deposits cash with major banks with high quality credit standing and limits exposure to any one counter-party.

Financial assets which expose the economic entity to credit risk at year end were as follows:

Financial instrument	2012	2011
ABSA Bank - Cheque account	1 239 156	1 747 403
ABSA Bank - Call account	613 747	613 131
ABSA Bank - Fixed deposit	36 389 828	11 748 844
First National Bank - Fixed deposit	3 293 095	3 139 131

These balances represent the maximum exposure to credit risk.



__._

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
·		Restated

26. Risk management (continued)

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the economic entity will encounter difficulty in meeting the obligations associated with its financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset.

At 30 June 2012	Less than 1	Between 1 and	Between 2 and	Over 5 years
	year	2 years	5 years	
Payables from exchange transactions	22 845 724	-	-	-
Gross finance lease obligations	635 393	162 248	-	-
Other current liabilities	1 066 722	-	•	-
At 30 June 2011	Less than 1	Between 1 and	Between 2 and	Over 5 years
	year	2 years	5 years	
Payables from exchange transactions	23 788 526	-	-	-
Gross finance lease obligations	556 245	635 393	162 248	<u></u>
Other current liabilities	1 354 671	-	-	-

Market risk

Cash flow and fair value interest rate risk

The municipality's interest rate risk arises from finance lease liabilities and short term investments. Finance leases and short term investments at variable rates expose the municipality to cash flow interest rate risk. The sensitivity analysis for cash flow and fair value interest rate risk to which the municipality is exposed at the end of the reporting period is not considered material on profit and loss and were therefore not disclosed. During 2011 and 2012, the municipality's borrowings and investments at variable rates were denominated in the Rand.

27. Going concern

The annual financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting policies applicable to a going concern. This basis presumes that funds will be available to finance future operations and that the realisation of assets and settlement of liabilities, contingent obligations and commitments will occur in the ordinary course of business.

28. Events after the reporting date

The were no material events to report after the reporting date.



Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figu	res in Rand	2012	2011 Restated
29.	Unauthorised expenditure		
	Reconciliation of unauthorised expenditure		
	Opening balance	-	31 919 235
	Approval by Council or Condoned	-	(31 919 235)
		-	-

Management performed a review of transactions and identified the above to be unauthorised expenditure in accordance to the guidelines set per Chapter 1 of the MFMA.

Upon the recommendation of an appointed council committee, council had condone the unauthorsied expenditure awaiting council's approval reflected above on 29 September 2011.

30. Fruitless and wasteful expenditure

Reconciliation of fruitless and wasteful expenditure

Opening balance	1 263 201	4 865 799
Fruitless and wasteful expenditure - current year	60 078	29 947
Fruitless and wasteful expenditure condoned	-	(3 632 545)
	1 323 279	1 263 201

Management performed a review of transactions and identified the above to be fruitless and wasteful expenditure.

A special Council Committee was elected to address the fruitless and wasteful expenditure identified by management. Upon the recommendation of the council committee, council condoned the above fruitless and wasteful expenditure on 29 September 2011.

Fruitless and wasteful expenditure for the current period consists of penalties and interest on Employee taxes submitted late for the 2012/01 period. Declaration was made in time, but payment was only made on 10 February 2012.

31. Irregular expenditure

	43 497 045	40 356 093
Incorrect classification of irregular expenditure - prior periods	-	(52 852 076)
Irregular expenditure condoned	-	(103 446 583)
Transfer to receivables for recovery - current period not condoned	-	196 668
Irregular Expenditure - current year	3 140 952	8 233 930
Opening balance	40 356 093	188 224 154

During management's analysis of the prior year's irregular expenditure disclosed, it was identified that expenditure totalling RS2 852 076 was erroneously disclosed as irregular expenditure. The expenditure identified does not meet the definition of irregular expenditure as prescribed by the MFMA.

A council committee was established to analyse irregular expenditure incurred, upon the recommendations provided by the committee to council, a council resolution was passed on 29 September 2011 condoning irregular expenditure stated above as waiting to be condoned.

TPM

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figu	res in Rand		2012	2011 Restated
31.	Irregular expenditure (continued)			
	Further instances of irregular expenditure is be	eing investigated.		
	Details of irregular expenditure – current yea	r		
		Details		
	Procurement without three written price quotations	Deviation from procurement processes		197 789
	Procurement where tax clearance	Non compliance to supply chain		541 969
	certificates were not obtained	management policy		
	Procurement awarded to person or partner	Non compliance to supply chain		174 006
	in service of the state	management policy		
	Procurement of legal services without scm process	Deviation from procurement process		2 227 188
				3 140 952
	Details of irregular expenditure - prior period			
		Details		
	Tansactions with less then three quotations	Non compliance to supply chain management policy		1 682 230
	Procurement of accomodation without the required three quotations	Non compliance to supply chain management policy		451 585
	Procurement of advertising with one quotation	Non compliance to supply chain management policy		290 725
	Procurement of contratced services without signed contracts	Non compliance to supply chain management policy		747 076
	Suppliers without valid tax clearance certificates	Deviation from procurement guidelines		414 700
	Goods and services procured not advertised	Non compliance to supply chain management policy		1 071 960
	Procurement of legal services	Deviation from procurement process		3 575 654
	Overpayment of councillors salaries	Deviation from Treasury guidelines		196 668
				8 430 598
	Details of irregular expenditure recoverable	not condoned -		
	Overpayment of councillors salaries		**	196 668
	Deviations from SCM process			
	Deviations for the current year		365 727	

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figure	es in Rand	2012	2011 Restated
31.	Irregular expenditure (continued)		
	Details of deviation		
	Procurement of advertising	365 727	_
	Non-compliance to SCM regulation section 13c and PPPFA regulation 14 Procurement where supplier declarations were not obtained	1 444 910	-
32.	Presentation of budget information		
32.1	Reconciliation between budget and statement of financial performance		
	Reconciliation of budget surplus with the surplus in the statement of financi	al performance:	
	Net surplus per the statement of financial performance Adjusted for:	25 103 076	9 628 993
	Government grants underbudgeted	100 879	-
	Investment revenue	(583 723)	-
	Other income	10 993 663	-
	Employee costs	179 645	-
	Depreciation, amortisation and impairment	3 224 444	-
	Finance charges	289 618	-
	Grants and subsidiies	(18 930 149)	-
	Other expenditure	(17 377 448)	23 628
	Net surplus per approved budget	3 000 005	9 652 621
33.	Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Management Act		
	Contributions to organised local government		
	Opening balance	213 319	212 984
	Current year subscription / fee	400 000	213 319
	Amount paid - previous years	(217 119)	(212 984
	· ,	396 200	213 319
	Material losses through criminal conduct		
	The municipality incurred no material losses through criminal conduct durin	ig the reporting period.	
	There was however an incident of alleged fraud. The case is currently still be therefore no further information can be given.	eing investigated by the SAPD	and
	Audit fees		
	Opening balance	3 801	69 205
	Prior year fees	1 212 630	1 403 714
	Amount paid - previous years	(1 214 315)	(1 469 118

63

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figu	res in Rand	2012	2011 Restated
33.	Additional disclosure in terms of Municipal Finance Manageme	nt Act (continued)	
	PAYE and UIF		
	Opening balance	•	4 307 217
	Current year subscription / fee	5 928 306	5 306 031
	Amount paid - current year	(5 431 911)	(5 306 031
	Amount paid - previous years	-	(4 307 217
		496 395	-
	Pension and Medical Aid Deductions Opening balance Current year subscription / fee Amount paid - current year Amount paid - previous years	7 267 962 (7 267 962) -	142 507 5 674 917 (5 674 917 (142 507)
			-
	VAT		
	VAT receivable	2 237 775	2 859 880
	Supply chain management regulations		
	In terms of section 36 of the Municipal Supply Chain Manageme Management Policy needs to be approved/condoned by the City incurred as listed hereunder have been submitted to council to	Manager and noted by Council. The exp	
	Incident		

34. Actual operating expenditure versus budgeted operating expenditure

Procurement of Water Tanker Truck - Setsoto Local Municipality support

Refer to Appendix (E1) for the comparison of actual operating expenditure versus budgeted expenditure.

Refer to Appendix (E2) for the comparison of actual capital expenditure versus budgeted expenditure.

35. Municipal office occupation

Rental of Executive Mayoral vehicle

The Municipal head office situated at 1 Mampoi Street, Old Parliament Building, Wistsieshoek is leased from the Free State Department of Public Works for no rental consideration.



630 107

787 287

1 417 394

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand	2012	2011
1.84.65		
		Restated

36. Changes in accounting policy

The annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice on a basis consistent with the prior year except for the adoption of the following new or revised standards.

- GRAP 17
- GRAP 102
- GRAP 13

The aggregate effect of the changes in accounting policy for the adoption of GRAP 17 on the annual financial statements for the year ended 30 June 2011 is as follows:

Property, plant and equipment		(6 029 240)
Decrease in Property, plant and equipment Increase in depreciation	-	592 124
Decrease in Accumulated surplus	•	(5 437 116)
The aggregate effect of the changes in accounting policy for the adoption of GRAP 1 statements for the year ended 30 June 2011 is as follows:	02 on the annual fin	ancial
Intangible assets		(422.442)
Decrease in intangible assets Increase in Amortisation and General expenses	-	(122 413) 116 810
Decrease in Accumulated surplus	-	(5 603)
Leases		
Decrease in finance lease obligation	-	444 350
Increase in finance costs	-	161 411
Increase in Accumulated surplus	*	605 761
Leases		
Increase in Property, plant and equipment	~	222 037 94 810
Increase in Depreciation	-	
Increase in Accumulated surplus		316 847
•		
Decrease in Property, plant and equipment	-	(5 807 203)
Decrease in Intangible assets	<u></u>	(122 413)
Decrease in Finance lease obligation		444 350
Decrease in Accumulated surplus	-	(5 485 266)
		TPM

Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2012

Decrease in Remuneration of staff

Notes to the Annual Financial Statements

gu	res in Rand	2012	2011 Restated
7.	Prior period errors		
	. Increase in Receivables from non-exchange transactions	-	15 688
	Decrease in VAT receivable		(1 149 510
	Decrease in payables from exchange transactions	-	374 292
	Decrease in finance lease obligation	•	200 39
	Total changes to Accumulated Surplus or Deficit		(559 134
	The accrued interest received on investments during the 2011 financial year, we resulted in the restatement of the prior year figures of te following line items:	ere not accounted for.	This correction
	Increase in Receivables from non-exchange transactions		15 688
	Increase in interest received - investments		(15 688)
	As a result of the erroneous addition journals processed in the 2009 financial yn provided for with the inclusion of VAT, thus overstating the liability. This correct the prior year figures of the following line items: Decrease in finance lease obligation Decrease in accumulated surplus Decrease in VAT receivable During the SARS investigation, it was noted that input VAT was incorrectly claim municipality was not in possession of documentary proof to substantiate the minput tax. This resulted in the restatement of the prior year figures of the follows.	tion resulted in the res ned on invoices for wh unicipality's entitleme	200 396 116 434 (316 830)
	Downson in MAT manifolds		(832 680)
	Decrease in VAT receivable Increase in General expenses		(832 680) 811 680
	Increase in Repairs and maintenance		21 000
	The accrued telephone costs during the 2011 financial year, were not accounte restatement of the prior year figures of the following line items:	d for. This correction r	esulted in the
	Increase in Payables from exchange transactions		(34 518)
	Increase in General expenses		34 518
	UIF was incorrectly provided for on councillors' remuneration and the PAYE bal financial year. This resulted in the restatement of the prior year figures of the fo		uring the 2011
	Decrease in Payables from exchange transactions		408 810
	Decrease in Parameters of staff		(408 810)

Par

(408 810)

THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Appendix A June 2012

Schedule of external loans as at 30 June 2012

	Loan Number	Redeemable	Balance at 30 June 2011	Received during the period	Redeemed written off during the period	Balance at 30 June 2012	Carrying Value of Property, Plant &	Other Costs in accordance with the
			Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Equip	MFMA Rand
Lease liability								
Kyocera KM C2525E full colour	L02.01		122 355	,	51 346	71 009	18 304	í
copier Kyocera KM C2525E full colour	L02.02		155 133	ı	65 102	90 031	18 304	
copier Kyocera KM C3232 full colour	L02.03		168 739	t	70 812	97 927	16 171	
copier Riso HC 5500	L02.04		314 569	•	132 009			
Riso HC 5500	L02.05		314 569	•	132 009	•	47 004	•
Kyocera KM3060	L02.06		83 442	,	35 018	48 424		1
Samsung CLX3160FN Multi functional copier	L02.07		12 808	•	5 375	7 433	t .	t.
			1 171 615	•	491 671	679 944	160 583	
Total external loans								
Lease liability			1 171 615	1	491 671	679 944	160 583	
			1 171 615	,	491 671	679 944	160 583	

Appendix B

Impairment loss Rand Accumulated depreciation (176 443) (133 537) (523 300) Depreciation Analysis of property, plant and equipment as at 30 June 2012 Rand Transfers Rand 1 275 134 477 Disposale Rand (828 662) (205 475) (696 578) Opening Belance Rand 670 628 964 388 2 641 167 Closing Balance Rand Other changes, movements Rand Revaluations Rand Cost/Revaluation Transfers Rand (164 356) (2 500) Disposals Rand 24 985 962 569 Additions

Rand

Opening Balance Rand

615 376

(870 628) (338 012) (1 218 603)

Carrying value Rand

Closing Balance Rand

2 037 942

(2 428 243)

(833 280)

136 782

(1 730 715)

4 466 185

(171 866)

987 554

3 660 485

Total property plent and equipment

General vehicles Furniture & Fittings Office Equpment Non-current assets held for sale

Other assets

Other assets	3 650 485	987 554	(171 856)	2	*	٠	4 466 185	(1 730 715)	135 752	•	(833 280)	4	(2 428 243)	2 037 942
	3 650 485	987 564	(171 856)	2			4 466 185	(1 730 715)	136 762	٠	(833 280)		(2 428 243)	2 037 842
intangible assets														
Computers - software & programming	132 406	1 693 924	ŗ	,	,	٠	1 826 330	(120 798)	,	*	(1 686 745)	,	(1 808 543)	16 787
	132 406	1 693 924	•	.	•		1 826 330	(120 786)	•	•	(1 88# 745)	•	(1 808 643)	18 787
Total														
Other assets intangible assets	3 650 485 132 406	987 554 1 683 924	(171 856)	. 2	. ,		4 466 185	(1 730 715) (120 798)	135 752		(833 280) (1 68 <u>8</u> 745)		(2 428 243) (1 809 643)	2 037 942 16 787
	3 782 891	2 681 478	(171 856)	2	•	٠	6 292 515	(1 851 513)	135 752		(2 622 025)	,	(4 237 786)	2 064 728

IA

THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Appendix C

Segmental analysis of property, plant and equipment as at 30 June 2012 Cost/Revaluation

	Hitons	Disposais	Transfera	Additions Disposals Transfers Revaluations	Other changes,	Closing	Opening	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Impairment deficit	Closing	Cerrying
	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand	Rand
2000	,	(956.358)	į		•	1.457.695	(1 097 492)	134 477	•	(289 399)	,	(1 252 414)	205 281

Municipality														
Comography as well as	1 622 051		(164 356)	*			1 457 695	(1 097 492)	134 477		(388 388)	٠	(1 262 414)	205 281
Fourth beath	48 708	52 550	•		,		101 268	(14 793)		•	(19 028)		(33 821)	67 437
Finance	544 417	817 565	(7 500)		٠		1 354 482	(108 438)	1 277		(242 432)		(349 693)	1 004 889
Local economic development and	36 987	7 500		•		٠	44 487	(8 441)			(7 187)	•	(16 628)	28 859
tourism													1	
Mayco and Council	246 871	15 000	٠		•		261 871	(108 132)			(45 617)	•	(163 748)	108 122
Office of Municipal Manager	210 588	7 500	1	•	•		218 088	(77 194)		,	(37 708)	•	(114 902)	103 186
Office of Executive Mayor	334 068	27 390			•		361 458	(81 693)		r	(73 240)	•	(164 933)	196 525
Office of the Speaker	105 721				,		105 721	(46 228)			(18 856)	•	(65 084)	40 637
Pinne of and opened	25 189	30,000		,	,		55 189	(5 623)			(9.947)	•	(15 570)	39 619
Disperse Dolog and Strategy	25.304			•	•	•	25 304	(5 106)			(4 041)	•	(9 147)	16 157
Tachoros caparas	414 646	30.050		·		•	444 696	(157 314)		,	(80 697)	٠	(238 011)	206 685
Whippery office	35 935	3	,	ſ			35 935	(10 260)	,	ı	(5 130)		(16 390)	20 545
	3 650 485	987 555	(171 858)			.	4 466 184	(1 730 714)	135 754		(833 282)	٠	(2 428 242)	2 037 942
Municipal Owned Entities Total														
Municipality	3 650 485	987 555	(171 856)	•	•	•	4 466 154	(1 730 714)	135 754	1	(833 282)	٠	(2 428 242)	2 037 942

135 754

(1 730 714)

4 466 184

(171 858)

987 555

3 650 485

5

Description						2011/2012					
) bossends	Original Budges	Budget Adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s3t of the NF MA)	Final adjustments budget	Shifting of funds (i.to. 131 of the LETAA)	Virament (i.t.o. Council approved policy)	Fitral Budget	Actual Outcome	Urauthorlead	Variance	Actual Outcome Actual Outcome as % of Final as % of Original Budget Budget	Actival Outcome Actival Outcome as % of Final as % of Original Budget Budget
		1	3	-		-	,	80	•	90 91	=
Particular Particularies					•						
Property rades	1	-	•			•	,		•	89	8
Service charges	()	1 }	1			1 ;	1				
Hrvenstrant revenue	8	8 8	1515			1515	8 20 70		3	-	
Other own revenue	78.373	67 296	301			1 078	£ 35		(10 804)	20	3 3
	201.62	1	108 778			106 778	28.28				
otal Revenue (excluding capital transfers and contributions)									(110 511)		
Employee costs	37 664		880 8 2			28 068	27.734		(366)		
Remuneration of councilions	. 282 ~		7.067			188	285		28		
Debt imperment	1	1	1			1	2 9		282	88	8 8
Equato chames			. \$. \$	2 22		8		
Molernets and built purchases	,		١,		_	٠,	ı		,		
Transfers and grants	,	32.467	13 A		_	32 487	13 557		(18 930)	41.73	80
Other expenditure	30.326	8 788	36.087			.28 08°	200		(17.377)		£ 19
otal Expenditure	3	18 18	#T 231			ta 7.8	71 162		£ 50 E	-	
Purphase(Deffect)	000 #1	(11 000)	3			900 c	25 25		z ž	200	157.88
Immaner recognised - capital	(arm cu)	100	(appe 1)			(000 ()					
coordinated by the capture of the property of the contributions and the capture of the capture o	000	98	000			•	The second secon				
Share of eurphas/ (deficit) of estocation	•		1			,					
Surplus/(Deficit) for the year	000 1	8	(1 500)								
Capital expenditure & hands sparote											
Capital expenditure	13 000	(11 500)	1 500			98	2 128		829	2 2	3
Transfers recognised - capital	865		200			985	2 128		82	2	4
Public controvations & donations											
internally converted thresh	_					1 6				_	
otal sources of capital Amde	13 000	(11 300)	1,500			1300	2128		\$	20.25	4.60
Carl force	800	100211	5			ş	024 *		×	1701.07	₹ 2
The case is the first of the case of the c	300	3	3			365	- Walter 1		659		
Ame count from (count) from					_		1				
The same of the sa	-							_			

Holes
3 = sun of column 1 and 2
4 = sun of column 1 and 2
4 = sun of column 2 and 2
5 = sun of column 3 at and 5
6 = sun of column 3 at and 5
8 = sun of column 3 at and 5
8 = 3 = sun of column 3 at and 5
8 = 3 = sun of column 3 at and 5
9 = 3 - 3
9 = 3 - 3
9 = 3 - 4

10 = (7/8)/100 11 = (5/1)/100 14 × 13 · 12

15 in revenue aquate Audited Outscrine plus funds actually recovered 15 in expenditure equates Audited Outscrine less funds actually recovered 15 in Ceth From equate Audited Outscrine plus Annts recovered 15 in Ceth From equate Audited Outscrine plus Aunts recovered

This achoche must be part of the functional administration of the municipality (all other subsidies, A2 - A3, abound from part of the announces to the financial administration from part of the must operated operated by the substitution of the sub

THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Appendix E(2) June 2012

Budget Analysis of Capital Expenditure as at 30 June 2012

	Additions	Budget	Variance	Variance	Explanation of significa
	Rand	Rand	Rand	<u>%</u>	variances from budget
Municipality					
Enviromental health	52 550	_	(52 550)	-	
Finance	817 565	-	(817 565)		
Local economic development and tourism	7 500	-	(7 500)	-	
Mayco and Council	15 000	_	(15 000)	-	
Office of Municipal Manager	7 500	-	(7 500)	-	
Office of Executive Mayor	27 390	-	(27 390)	-	
Pimms	30 000	-	(30 000)	-	
Technical services	30 050	_	(30 050)		
	987 555	-	(987 555)	•	

THABO MOFUTSANYANA DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY Appendix F Disclosures of Grants and Subsidies in terms of Section 123 MFMA, 56 of 2003 June 2012

Reason for delay/withholding of funds				961 000 To incentivise municipalities to increase job creation efforts in infrastructure, environment and	culture programmes through the use expansion of job creation in line with the Expanded Public Works Programme guidelines. To assist municipalities in building functions and stabilise institutional and governance systems as required in the Municipal Systems Act and related legislation, policies and boal government turnaround strategory.						
Grants and Subsidies delayed / withheld	Jun	E P	ī	961 000 T	105 722	•	,	ī	,	ı	1 066 722
Quarterly Expenditure	Jun	566 855	ŧ	l	684 728	ı	ı	1	ı	•	1 251 583
Quarterly Receipts	Jun	1 1	1	961 000	790 000	1 250 000	70 308 000	2 000 000	17 623 511	152 595	93 085 106
Name of organ of state or municipal entity		Department of Corporative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Corporative Governance and Traditional Affairs	Department of Transport	Department of Public Works	Department of Corporative Governance and Traditional Affairs	Department of Treasury	Department of Treasury	Department of Corporative Governance and Traditional Affairs	Motheo District Municipality	Department of labour	•
Name of Grants		COGTA Finance Management Grant Municipal Infrastructure Grant	Department of Transport - Rural	EPWP Grant	Municipal Systems Improvement Grant	Financial Management Grant	Equitable share	COGTA Legal Costs Grant	Motheo District Municipality Discotration	Skills Development Grant	

Note: A municipality should provide additional information on how a grant was spent per Vote. This excludes allocations from the Equitable Share.