

a world class African city

CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY GROUP ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2015

### **General Information**

#### MAYORAL COMMITTEE

**Executive Mayor** 

Councillors

Mpho Franklin "Parks" Tau (Chairperson) (1 JUNE 2011 - 30 JUNE 2016)

(1 JUNE 2011 - 30 JUNE 2016) Constance Bapela (Speaker of Council) Geoff Makhubo (Finance) Ruby Mathang (Economic Development) Rosslyn Greeff (Development Planning and Urban Management) Christine Walters (Transportation) Matshidiso Mfikoe (Environment and Infrastructure Services) Nonceba Molwele (Health and Social Development) Mally Mokoena (Corporate and Shared Services) Sello Lemao (Public Safety) Chris Vondo (Community Development) Daniel Bovu (Housing) Prema Naidoo (Chief Whip)

## **General Information**

GRADING OF LOCAL AUTHORITY	
	The City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality is a Grade Six Local Authority in terms of Item IV of Government Notice R999 of 2 October 2001, published in terms of the Remuneration of Public Office Bearers Act, 1998.
CITY MANAGER	Trevor Fowler
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	Reggie Boqo
REGISTERED OFFICE	Metropolitan Centre, Loveday Street, Johannesburg 2001 Telephone: +27 (0)11 407 - 6111 Facsimile: +27 (0)11 339 - 5704
POSTAL ADDRESS	P O Box 1049 Johannesburg 2000
PRIMARY BANKER	Standard Bank
AUDITORS	The Office of the Auditor-General : Gauteng Registered Auditors 61 Central Street Houghton 2198 PO Box 91081 Auckland Park 2006

## Index

The reports and statements set out below comprise the Group Annual Financial Statements:

INDEX	PAGE
Municipal Manager's approval of the Group Annual Financial Statements	5
Statement of Financial Position	6 - 7
Statement of Financial Performance	8
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	9 - 10
Cash Flow Statement	11
Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts	12 - 14
Appropriation Statement	15 - 19
Accounting Policies	20 - 42
Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements	43 - 170

### Index

#### ABBREVIATIONS

AARTO	Administrative Adjudication of Road Traffic Offences
AUC	Assets Under Construction
BESA	Bond Exchange South Africa
CJMM	City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality
CMP	Corporate Media Platforms
COID	Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases
CRR	Capital Replacement Reserve
DBSA	Development Bank of Southern Africa
DMTN	Domestic Medium Term Note
EPWP	Expanded Public Works Program
GRAP	Generally Recognised Accounting Practice
IAS	International Accounting Standards
IMFO	Institute of Municipal Finance Officers
IPSAS	International Public Sector Accounting Standards
JSE	Johannesburg Stock Exchange
MEC	Member of the Executive Council
ME's	Municipal Entities
MFMA	Municipal Finance Management Act
USDG	Urban Settlement Development Grant
NDR	Non-distributable Reserve
PAYE	Pay As You Earn
PPE	Property, plant and equipment
RMB	Rand Merchant Bank
SARS	South Africa Revenue Services
SCA	Supreme Court of Appeal
SPTN	Single Public Transport Network
STD	Standard Bank
ТСТА	Trans-Caledon Tunnel Authority
UIF	Unemployment Insurance Fund
IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standards
VAT	Value Added Taxation

### Municipal Manager's approval of the Group Annual Financial Statements

I am responsible for the preparation of the Group Annual Financial Statements in terms of Section 126(1) of the Municipal Finance Management Act and which I have signed on behalf of the Municipality.

The Group Annual Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) including any interpretations, guidelines and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board.

**Accounting Officer City Manager** 

## Statement of Financial Position as at 30 June 2015

		GROUP		CJMM	
Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	2015	2014 Restated	2015	2014 Restated
ASSETS					
Current Assets					
Inventories	3	315 359	302 912	59 326	113 073
Loans to Municipal Entities	4	-	-	1 009 887	980 592
Other financial assets	5	-	186 361	-	186 361
Current tax receivable		15 556	14 667	-	-
Finance lease receivables	6	-	-	81 179	80 984
Trade and other receivables	7	1 292 972	1 093 898	4 033 761	3 404 349
Receivables from non-exchange transactions	8	137 798	190 517	112 487	171 787
VAT receivable	9	346 725	470 777	284 336	428 071
Consumer debtors	10	4 951 238	4 866 574	716 651	687 147
Financial assets at fair value - Sinking fund	11	4 190 880	2 483 151	4 190 880	2 483 151
Cash and cash equivalents	12	4 879 554	5 313 656	4 179 988	5 144 077
	-	16 130 082	14 922 513	14 668 495	13 679 592
Non-Current Assets	_				
Zoo animals	13	23 741	15 246	-	-
Investment property	14	1 015 414	1 013 179	1 014 946	1 012 688
Property, plant and equipment	15	55 010 183	47 399 971	33 233 412	28 645 466
Intangible assets	16	882 912	622 068	500 913	331 031
Heritage assets	17	583 154	578 173	581 561	576 580
Investments in Municipal Owned Entities	18	-	-	465 046	407 441
Investment in joint venture	19	49 769	43 820	-	-
Investment in associates	20	20 046	18 108	-	-
Loans to Municipal Entities	4	-	-	5 648 642	4 997 925
Other financial assets	5	63 375	65 849	63 375	65 849
Finance lease receivables	6	-	-	256 058	193 949
Financial assets at fair value - Sinking fund	11	4 588 635	2 245 558	4 588 635	2 245 558
	-	62 237 229	52 001 972	46 352 588	38 476 487
Total Assets	-	78 367 311	66 924 485	61 021 083	52 156 079

## Statement of Financial Position as at 30 June 2015

		GRO	P CJN		ЛМ	
Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	2015	2014 Restated	2015	2014 Restated	
LIABILITIES						
Current Liabilities						
Loans and borrowings	23	1 574 094	971 179	1 573 418	970 551	
Current tax payable		-	2 822	-	-	
Finance lease obligations	24	127 470	87 253	102 830	76 193	
Financial liabilities at fair value - Sinking fund	30	137 930	1 081 896	137 930	1 081 896	
Trade and other payables	25	12 417 170	10 331 302	11 619 272	10 294 890	
VAT payable	9	352 342	396 036	-	-	
Obligations arising from conditional grants and receipts	26	253 054	870 173	210 894	814 381	
Provisions	27	29 163	94 226	-	25 537	
Deferred income	29	8 966	10 909	-	-	
Other financial liabilities at fair value - Swap	31	6 856	7 816	6 856	7 816	
	_	14 907 045	13 853 612	13 651 200	13 271 264	
Non-Current Liabilities						
Liabilities from municipal entities	33	-	-	411 802	411 113	
Loans and borrowings	23	14 109 897	12 398 911	14 093 244	12 381 580	
Finance lease obligations	24	242 284	281 489	237 899	267 866	
Financial liabilities at fair value - Sinking fund	30	4 881 893	413 553	4 881 893	413 553	
Employee benefits obligations	28	1 764 455	1 842 379	1 404 610	1 431 948	
Obligations arising from conditional grants and receipts	26	41 206	39 440	-	-	
Deferred tax	21	1 669 783	1 450 598	-	-	
Provisions	27	659 630	665 770	99 987	96 084	
Deferred income	29	45 637	53 581	45 637	48 879	
Other financial liabilities at fair value - Swap	31	38 361	46 297	38 361	46 297	
Consumer deposits	32	722 678	506 862	17 288	25 320	
	_	24 175 824	17 698 880	21 230 721	15 122 640	
Total Liabilities	_	39 082 869	31 552 492	34 881 921	28 393 904	
Net Assets	_	39 284 442	35 371 993	26 139 162	23 762 175	
NET ASSETS						
Reserves						
Hedging reserve		(19 570)	(37 721)	(19 570)	(37 721)	
Accumulated surplus		39 304 012	35 409 714	26 158 732	23 799 896	

## **Statement of Financial Performance**

		GROUP		CJMM	
Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	2015	2014 Restated	2015	2014 Restated
Revenue					
Revenue from exchange transactions					
Income from agency services		204 111	193 643	204 111	193 643
Interest received		595 753	527 708	1 077 784	1 116 503
Licences and permits		1 121	1 170	1 121	1 170
Other income	35	1 401 211	1 328 634	822 896	665 994
Rental facilities and equipment		226 735	208 237	96 195	94 647
Service charges	37	21 772 609	20 115 991	380 840	315 255
Total revenue from exchange transactions	-	24 201 540	22 375 383	2 582 947	2 387 212
Revenue from non-exchange transactions					
Taxation revenue					
Property rates	38	7 622 758	7 215 938	7 622 800	7 320 954
Transfer revenue					
Fines		585 951	1 008 530	585 951	1 008 530
Government grants and subsidies	39	8 914 005	7 789 582	8 815 763	7 701 203
Public contributions, donated and contributed property, plant and equipment	,	323 563	36 981	322 379	36 981
Total revenue from non-exchange transactions	-	17 446 277	16 051 031	17 346 893	16 067 668
Total revenue	-	41 647 817	38 426 414	19 929 840	18 454 880
Expenditure					
Employee related costs	40	(8 582 979)	(7 942 566)	(4 883 914)	(4 546 470)
Remuneration of councillors	41	(127 499)	(120 639)	(127 499)	(120 639)
Depreciation and amortisation	42	(2 410 979)	(2 115 700)	(1 667 941)	(1 487 480)
Impairment losses	43	(2 382)	(8 647)	(142 664)	(58 579)
Finance costs		(1 580 486)	(1 440 800)	(1 647 786)	(1 562 106)
Allowance for impairment of current receivables	44	(3 819 051)	(2 977 382)	(1 323 689)	(1 513 857)
Repairs and maintenance		(1 024 782)	(1 011 046)	(312 122)	(286 682)
Bulk purchases	45	(12 562 745)	(11 792 735)	-	-
Contracted services	46	(2 601 371)	(2 051 565)	(1 877 017)	(1 298 187)
Grants and subsidies paid	47	(569 071)	(309 839)	(3 083 614)	(2 548 017)
General expenses	48	(4 547 881)	(4 051 215)	(2 753 394)	(2 750 036)
Total expenditure	-	(37 829 226)	(33 822 134)	(17 819 640)	(16 172 053)
Operating surplus		3 818 591	4 604 280	2 110 200	2 282 827
(Loss)/gain on disposal assets		(22 065)	(615 208)	(11 129)	(610 070)
Reversal of impairment	40	-	-	-	190 927
Fair value adjustments	49	325 124	157 113	259 765	111 973
Gain as a result of donated animals and new births		5 901	2 922	-	-
Income from equity accounted investments	-	8 436	11 032	-	-
	-	317 396	(444 141)	248 636	(307 170)
Surplus before taxation		4 135 987	4 160 139	2 358 836	1 975 657
Taxation	-	241 689	391 248	-	-
Surplus for the year		3 894 298	3 768 891	2 358 836	1 975 657

## **Statement of Changes in Net Assets**

Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	Cashflow hedge reserve	Accumulated surplus	Total equity
GROUP				
Balance at 01 July 2013		(54 9	28)31 208 932	31 154 004
Changes in net assets Net income recognised directly in net assets Land Recognition		17 2	207 6 934 - 424 957	
Net revenue (expenditure) recognised directly in equity Surplus for the year		17 2	207 431 891 - 3 768 891	
Total recognised revenue and expenditure for the year		17 2	207 4 200 782	4 217 989
Total changes		17 2	207 4 200 782	4 217 989
Opening balance as previously reported Adjustments		(37 7	21)35 676 710	35 638 989
Prior period restatement	53		- (266 996	) (266 996)
Balance at 01 July 2014 as restated Changes in net assets		(37 7	21)35 409 714	35 371 993
Net income recognised directly in net assets		18 1	51 -	18 151
Net revenue (expenditure) recognised directly in equity Surplus for the year		18 1	51 - - 3 894 298	18 151 3 894 298
Total recognised revenue and expenditure for the year		18 1	51 3 894 298	3 912 449
Total changes		18 1	51 3 894 298	3 912 449
Balance at 30 June 2015		(19 5	70)39 304 012	39 284 442

## **Statement of Changes in Net Assets**

Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	Cashflow hedge reserve	AccumulateTotal equity d surplus
СЈММ			
Opening balance as previously reported		(54 928	)21 105 019 21 050 091
Adjustments		(0+ 020	21 100 010 21 000 001
Prior year adjustments	53	-	294 263 294 263
Balance at 01 July 2013 as restated Changes in net assets		(54 928	)21 399 282 21 344 354
Net income recognised directly in net assets		17 207	
Land recognition		-	424 957 424 957
Net revenue (expenditure) recognised directly in equity Surplus for the year		17 207 -	424 957 442 164 1 975 657 1 975 657
Total recognised revenue and expenditure for the year		17 207	2 400 614 2 417 821
Total changes		17 207	2 400 614 2 417 821
Opening balance as previously reported Adjustments		(37 721	)24 020 923 23 983 202
Prior period errors	53	-	(221 027) (221 027)
Balance at 01 July 2014 as restated Changes in net assets		(37 721	)23 799 896 23 762 175
Net income recognised directly in net assets		18 151	- 18 151
Net revenue (expenditure) recognised directly in equity		18 151	- 18 151
Surplus for the year		-	2 358 836 2 358 836
Total recognised revenue and expenditure for the year		18 151	2 358 836 2 376 987
Total changes		18 151	2 358 836 2 376 987
Balance at 30 June 2015		(19 570	)26 158 732 26 139 162

## **Cash Flow Statement**

		GRO	UP	CJM	M
Figures in Rand thousand	Note(s)	2015	2014 Restated	2015	2014 Restated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Receipts					
Cash receipts from customers		28 252 347	26 992 986	7 939 642	6 376 471
Grants		8 016 205	7 260 372	8 050 568	7 159 446
Interest income	-	595 753	561 816	1 079 182	944 772
	-	36 864 305	34 815 174	17 069 392	14 480 689
Payments					
Cash paid to suppliers and employees		(27 826 145)	(26 896 107)	(11 584 069)	(9 880 505)
Finance costs		(1 580 486)	(1 413 858)	(1 480 651)	(1 530 487)
Taxes on surpluses	_	(26 215)	(34 086)	-	-
	_	(29 432 846)	(28 344 051)	(13 064 720)	(11 410 992)
Net cash flows from operating activities	50	7 431 459	6 471 123	4 004 672	3 069 697
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Purchase of capital assets		(9 818 846)	(7 300 353)	(6 032 515)	(3 636 697)
Investment in sinking fund		(266 667)	(533 333)	(266 667)	(533 333)
Loans redeemed from Municipal Entities		-	-	970 093	924 657
Finance lease receivables		-	-	(62 304)	(83 812)
Investment in Municipal Etities		-	-	(57 605)	(67 858)
Other financial assets		199 534	61 950	199 534	61 950
Loans to Municipal Entities	-	-	-	(1 792 769)	(1 001 111)
Net cash flows from investing activities	-	(9 885 979)	(7 771 736)	(7 042 233)	(4 336 204)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from borrowings		3 276 000	2 083 961	3 276 000	2 066 000
Repayment of borrowings		(971 553)	(662 571)	(970 295)	(662 571)
Finance lease obligation		(98 953)	(56 004)	(85 186)	(68 562)
Liabilities from Municipal Entities		-	-	(6 449)	-
Repayment of post retirement benefits		(140 436)	(151 963)	(115 061)	(117 802)
Provisions	-	(44 640)	-	(25 537)	
Net cash flows from financing activities	-	2 020 418	1 213 423	2 073 472	1 217 065
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(434 102)	(87 190)	(964 089)	(49 442)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		5 313 656	5 400 846	5 144 077	5 193 519
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	-		0 100 0 10	0111011	0 100 010

## Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts

Budget on Cash Basis						
	Approved budget (YTD)	Adjustments	Final Budget	Actual amounts on comparable basis	Difference between final budget and	Reference
Figures in Rand thousand					actual	
GROUP						
Statement of Financial Perform	nance					
REVENUE						
REVENUE FROM EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS						
Service charges	22 433 382	93 328	22 526 710	21772 000	(754 101)	
Rental facilities and equipment	293 594	(24 409)	269 185	220100	(42 450)	1
ncome from agency services	237 345	(20 000)	217 345	201111	(13 234)	
_icences and permits	707	-	707	1 12 1	414	
Other income	1 562 989	463 686	2 026 675 529 846	1401211	(625 464) 65 907	2
nterest received	527 803	2 043				3
Fotal revenue from exchange ransactions	25 055 820	514 648	25 570 468	24 201 540	(1 368 928)	
REVENUE FROM NON- EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS						
TAXATION REVENUE						
Property rates	7 610 948	-	7 610 948	1 022 100	11 810	
Government grants	8 808 699	193 684	9 002 383	8 914 005	(88 378)	
TRANSFER REVENUE						
Public contributions, Donated and contributed property, plant and equipment	-	-	-	323 563	323 563	4
Fines	466 534	879 436	1 345 970	585 951	(760 019)	5
Total revenue from non- exchange transactions	16 886 181	1 073 120	17 959 301	17 446 277	(513 024)	
Total revenue	41 942 001	1 587 768	43 529 769	41 647 817	(1 881 952)	

## Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts

Budget on Cash Basis						
	Approved budget (YTD)	Adjustments	Final Budget	Actual amounts on comparable basis	budget and	Reference
Figures in Rand thousand				-	actual	
EXPENDITURE						
Employee related costs	(8 740 592)	(235 390)	(8 975 982)	(8 582 979)	393 003	
Remuneration of councillors	(134 301)	-	(134 301)		6 802	
Depreciation and amortisation	(2 795 813)	-	(2 795 813)	, ,		6
mpairment loss/ Reversal of mpairments	-	-	-	(2 382)	(2 382)	
Finance costs	(1 809 644)	38 948	(1 770 696)	(1 580 486)	190 210	7
Allowance for impairment of current receivables	(1 481 233)	(1 420 183)	(2 901 416)	(3 819 051)	(917 635)	8
Repairs and maintenance	(1 200 305)	(90 522)	(1 290 827)	(1 024 782)	266 045	9
Bulk purchases	(12 477 870)	140 316	(12 337 554)	(12 562 745)	(225 191)	
Contracted services	(3 850 659)	(517 072)	(4 367 731)	(= • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 766 360	10
Grants and subsidies paid	(299 689)	(65 787)	(365 476)	(,	(203 595)	11
General expenses	(3 993 015)	(357 024)	(4 350 039)	(4 547 881)	(197 842)	
Fotal expenditure	(36 783 121)	(2 506 714)	(39 289 835)	(37 829 226)	1 460 609	
Operating surplus	5 158 880	(918 946)	4 239 934	3 818 591	(421 343)	
oss on disposal of assets and abilities	20 000	(20)	19 980	(22 065)	(42 045)	
Fair value adjustments	-	-	-	325 124	325 124	12
Gain on biological assets and agricultural produce	-	-	-	5 901	5 901	
Share of (deficit)./surplus of associate accounted for under he equity method	-	-	-	8 436	8 436	
	20 000	(20)	19 980	317 396	297 416	
Surplus before taxation	5 178 880	(918 966)	4 259 914	4 135 987	(123 927)	
Taxation	528 805	(170 368)	358 437	241 689	(116 748)	
Actual Amount on Comparable Basis as Presented in the Budget and Actual Comparative Statement	4 650 075	(748 598)	3 901 477	3 894 298	(7 179)	

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

### Statement of Comparison of Budget and Actual Amounts

Budget on Cash Basis					
Figures in Rand thousand	Approved budget (YTD)	Adjustments	Final Budget	Actual amounts on comparable basis	Reference

Management considers a variance of 10% or more as material. The detailed explanations of the variances are provided below:

- 1. **Rental facilities:** There are currently expired contracts for rental facilities that needed to be renewed and this has taken longer than expected. This has had a negative impact in the occupancy rate and therefore resulting in performance below budget.
- 2. **Other Income:** The under budget in other income is due to a decrease in demand for other goods and services provided by the City to the public resulting in a performance below budget.
- 3. Interest Received: The budget for interest income is driven by the expected cash reserves available for short term investment at an estimated rate. The interest income is over budget as the City had higher cash reserves than expected to invest in short term investment.
- 4. **Public contributions donated and contributed property, plant and equipment:** Public contributions are based on voluntary donations from the public therefore cannot be accurately budgeted for unless there is a commitment to donate by the public at the budgeting stage. The Gauteng Provincial Government has donated land to the value of R300m.
- 5. **Traffic Fines revenue:** The under budget in traffic fines is due to a decrease in traffic offences by the public resulting in a performance below budget.
- 6. **Depreciation and Amortisation:** The under budget in depreciation is due to assets being bought and capitalised at different times during the financial year, with a sharp bias to purchases made towards the end of the year.
- 7. **Finance Cost:** The borrowing rate used to project the finance cost was higher than the actual borrowing rate for the year. There was also a timing difference between when the borrowing was scheduled to take place compared to when it actual took place. This has resulted in lower finance cost accrued for the year.
- 8. Allowance for impairment of current receivables: The allowance for impairment of consumer debtors was above budget. The allowance for impairment is based on collection rates, the collection rates were lower than what was anticipated therefore resulting in a higher impairment amount.
- 9. **Repairs and maintenance:** The budget for repairs and maintenance takes into account the routine, planned maintenance and also allows for the emergency repairs, there were less emergency repairs required in the current period than what was budgeted for.
- 10. Contracted Services: Contracted services below target due to some projects that are still in the planning phase.
- 11. **Grants and Subsidies:** The over budget in grants paid is due to the increase in the top structure expenditure and the grants paid to Non-Profit Organisations.
- 12. Fair Value Adjustments: The fair value adjustments are not budgeted and is mainly attributable to the fair value changes in the interest rate swap and the sinking fund .

ires in Rand Isand	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final adjustments budget	Shifting of funds (i.t.o. s31 of the MFMA)	Virement (i.t.o. council approved policy)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Unauthorised expenditure	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
GROUP - 2015											
Financial Perform						_			_		
Property rates	7 610 948		1 010 010		-	7 610 948			11 810		
Service charges	22 433 382				-	22 526 710			(754 101	,	• • •
Investment revenue	420 118	(			-	419 785			175 968		
Transfers recognised - operational	5 690 916	290 236	5 981 152		-	5 981 152	6 092 745		111 593		6 107
Other revenue	2 688 854	1 301 089	3 989 943		-	3 989 943	2 728 032		(1 261 911	) 68 %	6 101
Total revenue (excluding capital transfers and contributions)	38 844 218	1 684 320	40 528 538			40 528 538	38 811 897		(1 716 641	) 96 %	6 100
Employee costs	(8 740 592	(235 390	) (8 975 982	)	-	- (8 975 982	) (8 582 979	) -	393 003	96 %	6 98
Remuneration of councillors	(134 301	) -	(134 301	)	-	- (134 301	) (127 499	) -	6 802	95 %	6 95
Depreciation and asset impairment	(2 795 813	5) -	(2 795 813	)		(2 795 813	) (2 414 902	) -	380 911	86 %	6 86
Finance charges	(1 809 644	) 38 948	(1 770 696	)	-	- (1 770 696	) (1 580 486	) -	190 210	89 %	6 87
Materials and bulk purchases	(12 522 815	ý) 140 316	(12 382 499	)	-	- (12 382 499	) (12 562 745	) -	(180 246	5) 101 %	6 100
Transfers and grants	(299 689	) (65 787	) (365 476	)	-	- (365 476	) (569 071	) -	(203 595	5) 156 %	6 190
Other expenditure	(10 480 267	<sup>'</sup> ) (2 384 821	) (12 865 088	)	-	- (12 865 088	) (11 991 544	) -	873 544	93 %	6 114
Total expenditure	e (36 783 121	) (2 506 734	) (39 289 855	)	-	- (39 289 855	) (37 829 226	) -	1 460 629	96 %	6 103
Surplus/(Deficit)	2 061 097	(822 414	) 1 238 683		-	1 238 683	982 671		(256 012	?) <b>79</b> %	6 79

gures in Rand ousand	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final adjustments budget	Shifting of funds (i.t.o. s31 of the MFMA)	Virement (i.t.o. council approved policy)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Unauthorised V expenditure	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
Transfers recognised - capital	2 654 718	3 101 597	2 756 315			2 756 315	2 821 260	,	64 945	5 102 %	6 106 %
Contributions recognised - capital and contributed assets	463 065 s	5 (198 149	) 264 916			264 916	323 563		58 647	۲ 122 % ۱22 %	% 70 %
Surplus/(Deficit) after capital transfers and contributions	5 178 880	) (918 966	i) 4 259 914		-	4 259 914	4 127 494		(132 420	97 %	<u> </u>
Share of surplus (deficit) of associate Taxation	528 805	 5 (170 368	 	-		358 437	(8 493 241 689		(8 493)		
Surplus/(Deficit) for the year		,			-	3 901 477			(7 179	,	

ires in Rand Isand	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final adjustments budget	Shifting of funds (i.t.o. s31 of the MFMA)	Virement (i.t.o. council approved policy)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Unauthorised expenditure	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
Capital expenditu	ire and funds s	sources									
Total capital expenditure <b>Sources of</b>	10 875 150	) (47 201	) 10 827 949		-	10 827 949	10 234 476		(593 473	3) 95 %	% 94 %
capital funds Transfers recognised - capital	2 654 718	101 597	2 756 315		-	2 756 315	2 555 445		(200 870	)) 93 %	%      96 %
Public contributions and donations	463 065	6 (198 149	) 264 916			264 916	323 563		58 647	7 122 %	% 70 %
Borrowing	3 276 000	) –	3 276 000		-	3 276 000	3 276 000			- 100 %	6 100 %
Internally generated funds	4 481 367				-	4 530 718			(447 856		
Total sources of capital funds	10 875 150	(47 201	) 10 827 949		-	10 827 949	10 237 870		(590 079	9) 95 %	<b>√</b> 94 %

ires in Rand isand	Original budget		Final adjustments budget	Shifting of funds (i.t.o. s31 of the MFMA)	Virement (i.t.o. council approved policy)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Unauthorised expenditure	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
CJMM - 2015											
Financial Perform	nance										
Property rates Rendering of Services	7 610 948 409 661		7 610 948 405 661	-		7 610 948 405 661	7 622 800 380 840		11 852 (24 821)	100 % 94 %	
Investment revenue	1 341 441	(9 656)		-		1 331 785	1 077 784		(254 001)		
Transfers recognised - operational	5 808 427	483 287	6 291 714	-		6 291 714	6 092 745		(198 969)	- %	-
Other own revenue	1 752 805	832 809	2 585 614	-		2 585 614	1 970 040		(615 574)	76 %	112
Total revenue (excluding capital transfers and contributions)	16 923 282	1 302 440	18 225 722	-		18 225 722	17 144 209		(1 081 513)	94 %	5 101
Employee costs Remuneration of councillors	(4 972 431 (134 301		(4 909 572 (134 301			(4 909 572) (134 301)			25 658 6 802	99 % 95 %	
Debt impairment Depreciation and asset impairment	(376 311 (2 058 139					(1 356 747) (2 002 587)				98 % 90 %	
Finance charges Transfers and grants	(1 873 843 (2 674 232		(1 832 048 ) (2 866 117		-	(1 832 048) (2 866 117)			184 262 (217 497)	90 % 108 %	
Other expenditure	(5 163 052	) (628 075)	) (5 791 127	) -	-	(5 791 127)	) (4 953 662)	) -	837 465	86 %	96
Total expenditure	e (17 252 309	) (1 640 190)	(18 892 499	) -		(18 892 499)	(17 830 769)	-	1 061 730	94 %	103
Surplus/(Deficit)	(329 027	) (337 750)	(666 777	) -		(666 777)	(686 560)		(19 783)	103 %	209

Figures in Rand thousand	Original budget	Budget adjustments (i.t.o. s28 and s31 of the MFMA)	Final adjustments budget	Shifting of funds (i.t.o. s31 of the MFMA)	Virement (i.t.o. council approved policy)	Final budget	Actual outcome	Unauthorised expenditure	Variance	Actual outcome as % of final budget	Actual outcome as % of original budget
Transfers recognised - capital Contributions recognised - capital and contributed assets	2 297 307	101 597	2 398 904	-		2 398 904	2 723 018 322 379		324 114 322 379		
Surplus/(Deficit) after capital transfers and contributions	1 968 280	(236 153	) 1 732 127	-		1 732 127	2 358 837		626 710	136 %	5 120 %
Surplus/(Deficit) for the year	1 968 280	(236 153	) 1 732 127	-		1 732 127	2 358 837		626 710	136 %	5    120 %

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

#### 1. Statement of compliance

Basis of Preparation and Presentation

The Group Annual Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with the Standards of Generally Recognised Accounting Practice (GRAP) and the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) including any interpretations, guidelines and directives issued by the Accounting Standards Board.

These Group Annual Financial Statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting and are in accordance with the historical cost convention as the basis of measurement, unless specified otherwise.

#### 1.1 Presentation currency

These Group Annual Financial Statements are presented in South African Rand, which is the functional currency of the group.

#### 1.2 Going concern assumption

These Group Annual Financial Statements have been prepared based on the expectation that the group will continue to operate as a going concern for at least the next 12 months.

#### 1.3 Consolidation

#### Basis of consolidation

The consolidated Group Annual Financial Statements comprise of the annual financial statements of CJMM and all entities controlled by CJMM, including special purpose entities, presented as those of a single entity.

Control exists when CJMM has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of another entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities.

The results of the controlled entities, are included in the consolidated group annual financial statements from the effective date of acquisition or date when control commences to the effective date of disposal or date when control ceases.

An investment in an entity is accounted for in accordance with the Standards of GRAP on Financial Instruments from the date that it ceases to be a controlled entity, unless it becomes an associate or a jointly controlled entity, in which case it is accounted for as such. The fair value of any investment retained in the former controlled entity at the date when control is lost shall be regarded as the fair value on initial recognition of a financial asset in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Financial Instruments or, when appropriate, the cost on initial recognition of an investment in an associate or jointly controlled entity.

All intra-entity transactions, balances, revenues and expenses are eliminated in full on consolidation.

#### Investments in Municipal Entities

In the municipality's separate annual financial statements, investments in municipal entities are carried at cost less any accumulated impairment.

#### Investment in associates

The CJMM consolidate associates when it has significant influence.

Significant influence is the power of CJMM to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of an activity, but is not control or joint control over those policies.

An investment in associate is accounted for using the equity method, except when the investment is classified as heldfor-sale in accordance with Standard of GRAP on Non-current Assets Held-For-Sale and Discontinued Operations. Under the equity method, investments in associates are carried in the consolidated statement of financial position at cost adjusted for post acquisition changes in the group's share of net assets of the associate, less any impairment losses.

#### Interests in joint ventures

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Accounting Policies

#### 1.2 Consolidation (continued)

The CJMM consolidate joint ventures when there is a binding arrangement between itself and another party(s) committed to undertake an activity that is subject to joint control.

Joint control is the agreed sharing of control over an activity by a binding arrangement, and exists only when the strategic financial and operating decisions relating to the activity require the unanimous consent of the parties sharing control.

An interest in a jointly controlled company is accounted for using the equity method, except when the investment is classified as held-for-sale in accordance with Standard of GRAP on non-current assets held-for-sale and discontinued operations. Under the equity method, interests in jointly controlled entities are carried in the consolidated statement of financial position at cost adjusted for post-acquisition changes in the company's share of net assets of the company, less any impairment losses. Surpluses and deficits on transactions between the company and a joint venture are eliminated to the extent of the company's interest therein.

#### 1.4 Transfer of functions between entities under common control

#### Determining the transfer date

The acquirer and the transferor identify the transfer date, which is the date on which the acquirer obtains control and the transferor loses control of that function.

All relevant facts and circumstances are considered in identifying the transfer date.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Accounting Policies

#### **1.4** Transfer of functions between entities under common control (continued)

#### Accounting by the entity as acquirer

#### Initial recognition and measurement

As of the transfer date, the group recognises the purchase consideration paid to the transferor and all the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transfer of functions. The assets acquired and liabilities assumed are measured at their carrying amounts.

If, prior to the transfer of functions, the transferor was not applying the accrual basis of accounting, the transferor changes its basis of accounting to the accrual basis of accounting prior to the transfer.

The consideration paid by the group can be in the form of cash, cash equivalents or other assets. If the consideration paid is in the form of other assets, the group de-recognises such assets on the transfer date at their carrying amounts.

The difference between the carrying amounts of the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and the consideration paid to the transferor, is recognised in accumulated surplus or deficit.

At the transfer date, the municipality classifies or designates the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as necessary to apply other Standards of GRAP subsequently. The municipality makes those classifications or designations on the basis of the terms of the binding arrangement, economic conditions, its operating or accounting policies and other relevant conditions that exist at the transfer date. An exception is that the municipality classifies the following contracts on the basis of the contractual terms and other factors at the inception of the contract (or, if the terms of the contract have been modified in a manner that would change its classification, at the date of that modification, which might be the transfer date):

• Classification of a lease contract as either an operating lease or a finance lease in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Leases; and

• Classification of a contract as an insurance contract in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standard on Insurance Contracts.

#### Measurement period

If the initial accounting for a transfer of functions is incomplete by the end of the reporting period in which the transfer occurs, the group reports in its Group Annual Financial Statements provisional amounts for the items for which the accounting is incomplete. During the measurement period, the group retrospectively adjust the provisional amounts recognised at the transfer date to reflect new information obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the transfer date and, if known, would have affected the measurement of the amounts recognised as of that date. The measurement period ends as soon as the group receives the information it was seeking about facts and circumstances that existed as of the transfer date or learns that more information is not obtainable. However, the measurement period does not exceed two years from the transfer date.

The group considers all relevant factors in determining whether information obtained after the transfer date should result in an adjustment to the provisional amounts recognised or whether that information results from events that occurred after the transfer date.

The group recognises an increase (decrease) in the provisional amount recognised for an asset (liability) by means of decreasing (increasing) the excess of the purchase consideration paid over the carrying amount of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed previously recognised in accumulated surplus or deficit. However, new information obtained during the measurement period may sometimes result in an adjustment to the provisional amount of more than one asset or liability.

During the measurement period, the group recognises adjustments to the provisional amounts as if the accounting for the transfer of functions had been completed at the transfer date. Thus, the group revises comparative information for prior periods presented in Group Annual Financial Statements as needed, including making any change in depreciation, amortisation or other income effects recognised in completing the initial accounting.

After the measurement period ends, the group revises the accounting for a transfer of functions only to correct an error in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors.

#### Acquisition-related costs

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Accounting Policies

#### **1.4** Transfer of functions between entities under common control (continued)

Acquisition-related costs are costs that the group incurs to affect the transfer of functions. These costs include advisory, legal, accounting and other professional or consulting fees, general administrative costs, and costs of registering and issuing debt and equity securities. The entity accounts for acquisition-related costs as expenses in the period in which the costs are incurred and the services are received, with the exception of the costs incurred to issue debt or equity securities, which are recognised in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Financial Instruments.

#### Subsequent measurement

The group subsequently measure any assets acquired and any liabilities assumed in a transfer of functions in accordance with the applicable Standards of GRAP.

#### 1.5 Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty

In preparing the Annual Financial Statements in conformity with GRAP, management is required to make judgements, estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts represented in the Annual Financial Statements and related disclosures. Use of available information and the application of judgement is inherent in the formation of estimates. Actual results in the future could differ from these estimates which may be material to the Annual Financial Statements. These estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis.

Significant judgements include:

#### Financial instruments at amortised cost

If there is objective evidence that an impairment loss on financial assets measured at amortised cost has been incurred, the amount of the loss is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows (excluding future credit losses that have not been incurred) discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate (i.e. the effective interest rate computed at initial recognition).

The carrying amount of the asset shall be reduced either directly or through the use of an allowance account. The amount of the loss shall be recognised in surplus or deficit.

#### Allowance for slow moving, damaged and obsolete stock

Management makes an estimate of the selling price and direct cost to sell to determine the net realisable value of inventory items. An allowance is made to write inventory down to the lower of cost or net realisable value. The write down is included in the surplus or deficit.

#### Fair value estimation

The fair value of financial instruments traded in active markets is based on quoted market prices at the end of the reporting period. The quoted market price used for financial assets held by the group is the current bid price.

The fair value of financial instruments that are not traded in an active market (for example, over-the counter derivatives) is determined by using valuation techniques. The group uses a variety of methods and makes assumptions that are based on market conditions existing at the end of each reporting period. Quoted market prices or dealer quotes for similar instruments are used for long-term debt. Other techniques, such as estimated discounted cash flows, are used to determine fair value for the remaining financial instruments.

The carrying value less impairment provision of trade receivables and payables are assumed to approximate their fair values. The fair value of financial liabilities for disclosure purposes is estimated by discounting the future contractual cash flows at the current market interest rate that is available to the group for similar financial instruments.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Accounting Policies

#### **1.5** Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty (continued)

#### Impairment testing

The recoverable amounts of cash-generating units and individual assets are determined based on the higher of valuein-use calculations and fair values less costs to sell. These calculations require the use of estimates and assumptions. It is reasonably possible that the assumption may change which may then impact our estimations and may then require a material adjustment to the carrying value of cash-generating units and individual assets.

The group reviews and tests the carrying value of assets when events or changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If there are indications that impairment may have occurred, estimates are prepared of expected future cash flows for cash-generating units and individual assets. Expected future cash flows used to determine the value in use of assets are inherently uncertain and could materially change over time.

#### Provision, contingent liabilities and contingent assets

Management's judgement is required when recognising and measuring provisions.

Provisions are measured at management's best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the obligation at the reporting date, and are discounted to present value where the effect is material.

Management has to determine an accurate estimate of the environmental obligation to rehabilitate the various landfill sites upon closure.

The estimates are discounted at a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money. Additional disclosure of these estimates of provisions is included in note 27.

#### Expected manner of realisation for deferred tax

Deferred tax is provided for on the expected manner of recovery, i.e. sale or use. This manner of recovery affects the rate used to determine the deferred tax liability.

#### Taxation

Judgement is required in determining the provision for income taxes due to the differences in how the legislation is interpreted. There are many transactions and calculations for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain during the ordinary course of business. The group recognises liabilities for anticipated tax audit issues based on estimates of whether additional taxes will be due. Where the final tax outcome of these matters is different from the amounts that were initially recorded, such differences will impact the income tax and deferred tax provisions in the period in which such determination is made.

The group recognises the net future tax benefit related to deferred income tax assets to the extent that it is probable that the deductible temporary differences will reverse in the foreseeable future. Assessing the recoverability of deferred income tax assets requires the group to make significant estimates related to expectations of future taxable income. Estimates of future taxable income are based on forecast cash flows from operations and the application of existing tax laws in each jurisdiction. To the extent that future cash flows and taxable income differ significantly from estimates, the ability of the group to realise the net deferred tax assets recorded at the end of the reporting period could be impacted.

#### Useful lives and residual value of assets

The useful life of assets are based on management's estimates. Management considers the impact of technology, service requirements and the required return on assets to determine the optimum useful- life expectation, where appropriate. The estimated residual values of assets is also based on management's judgement which takes into account the condition of the assets at the end of their useful lives.

#### Post-retirement benefits

The present value of the post retirement obligation depends on a number of factors that are determined on an actuarial basis using a number of assumptions. The assumptions used in determining the net cost/(income) include the discount rate. Any changes in these assumptions will impact on the carrying amount of post retirement obligations.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

### Accounting Policies

#### **1.5** Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty (continued)

The group determines the appropriate discount rate at the end of each year. This is the interest rate used to determine the present value of estimated future cash outflows expected to be required to settle the pension obligations. In determining the appropriate discount rate, the group considers the interest rates of high-quality corporate bonds that are denominated in the currency in which the benefits will be paid, and that have terms to maturity approximating the terms of the related pension liability.

Other key assumptions for pension obligations are based on current market conditions.

#### Debt impairment provision

The allowance for impairment is measured as the difference between the assets' carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows discounted at the effective interest rate computed at initial recognition.

Management utilises judgement in evaluating credit risk related to customers. Judgement is based on various factors including, but not limited to, historical information available.

#### 1.6 Zoo animals

Zoo animals are accounted for in terms of GRAP 17 as items of property, plant and equipment. The majority of animals are received as donations and transfers from other similar institutions for no consideration or from procreation. These assets are recorded at a fair value at the time of donation or transfer, and are depreciated accordingly.

Market determined prices or values are not available for certain animals due to lack of market because they are not commodities, as well as restrictions on trade of exotic animals which precludes the determination of a fair value. The fair value of livestock is determined based on market prices of livestock of similar age, breed, and genetic merit.

The Johannesburg Zoo also acquires animals through supply chain processes and these newly acquired animals are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses. The offspring of newly acquired animals shall be recorded at a fair value at the time of birth and will also be depreciated accordingly.

The useful lives of zoo animals listed below reflect useful lives of the different classes of animals at the Johannesburg Zoo. Within the different classes of animals are a number of different species whose useful lives differ. Therefore the useful lives of zoo animals listed below reflect the useful lives of the different species contained within a specific class of animals.

The longevity of zoo animals has been assessed as follows::

Amphibia	4 - 16 years
Arachnida	2 - 20 years
Aves	4 - 64 years
Mammalia	6 - 64 years
Pisces	1 - 35 years
Reptilia	7- 80 years
Insecta	4 years

#### 1.7 Investment property

Investment property is property (land or a building - or part of a building - or both) held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for:

- use in the production or supply of goods or services, or for
- administrative purposes, or
- sale in the ordinary course of operations.

Owner-occupied property is property held for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes and is recorded as land and buildings under property plant and equipment.

Investment property is recognised as an asset when, it is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential that are associated with the investment property will flow to the group, and the cost or fair value of the investment property can be measured reliably.

Investment property is initially recognised at cost.

#### **Initial Measurement**

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Accounting Policies

#### 1.7 Investment property (continued)

Investment property is initially recognised at cost. Transaction costs are included in the initial measurement.

Where investment property is acquired through a non-exchange transaction, its cost is its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

#### Subsequent Measurement.

Under the cost model, investment property is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses.

Depreciation is calculated on the depreciable amount , using the straight- line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, except for land which is not depreciated. Components of assets that are significant in relation to the whole asset and that have different useful lives are depreciated separately.

Investment properties, with the exception of land, are depreciated on the straight line basis over their expected useful lives as follows:

Item Property - Buildings Useful life 30 years

Land is not depreciated.

Investment properties are tested for impairment whenever there is an indication that the asset may be impaired Transfers to, or from, investment property shall be made when, and only when, there is a change in use.

Derecognition:

Investment property is derecognised on disposal or when the investment property is permanently withdrawn from use and no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its use or disposal.Gains or losses arising from the retirement or disposal of investment property is the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount of the asset and is recognised in surplus or deficit in the period of retirement or disposal.

Compensation from third parties for investment property that was impaired, lost or given up is recognised in surplus or deficit when the compensation becomes receivable.

#### 1.8 Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are tangible non-current assets (including infrastructure assets) that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, rental to others, or for administrative purposes, and are expected to be used during more than one period.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is recognised as an asset when:

- it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the municipality; and
- the cost of the item can be measured reliably.

#### **Initial Measurement**

Property, plant and equipment is initially measured at cost.

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is the purchase price and other costs attributable to bring the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management. Trade discounts and rebates are deducted in arriving at the cost.

Where an asset is acquired through a non-exchange transaction, its cost is its fair value as at date of acquisition.

Where an item of property, plant and equipment is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or monetary assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the asset acquired is initially measured at fair value (the cost). If the acquired item's fair value was not determinable, it's deemed cost is the carrying amount of the asset(s) given up.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

#### **1.8 Property, plant and equipment (continued)**

When significant components of an item of property, plant and equipment have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of property, plant and equipment.

#### Subsequent Measurement

Property, plant and equipment is measured using the cost model, carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses.

Items of Property, plant and equipment, with the exception of land are depreciated on the straight line basis over their expected useful lives to their estimated residual values as follows for the current and comparative period:

The useful lives of items of property, plant and equipment have been assessed as follows:

Item Buildings Plant and machinery Furniture and fixtures Motor vehicles Computer equipment Infrastructure	Average useful life 5 - 60 years 2 - 40 years 1 - 12 years 5 - 14 years 2 - 15 years
<ul> <li>Electricity</li> <li>Pedestrian Malls</li> <li>Roads and Paving</li> <li>Sewerage</li> <li>Gas</li> <li>Civil pump stations</li> <li>Pipelines</li> <li>Pump stations</li> <li>Water meters</li> <li>Community Assets</li> </ul>	20 - 30 years 20 years 15 - 20 years 15 - 20 years 20 years 60 - 100 years 60 - 100 years 5 - 16 years 4 - 13 years
<ul> <li>Recreational Facilities</li> <li>Dogs and Horses</li> <li>Bins and containers</li> <li>Specialised vehicles</li> <li>Library books</li> <li>Emergency equipment</li> </ul>	20 - 30 years 5 - 7 years 5 - 10 years 12 - 15 years 10 years 5 - 10 years

The residual value, useful life and depreciation method for property, plant and equipment are reviewed at each reporting date.

Property, plant and equipment are tested for impairment annually and whenever there is an indication that the asset may be impaired.

#### Derecognition

The municipality derecognises property, plant and equipment on disposal, or when no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its use or disposal. The gain or loss arising from derecognition of property, plant and equipment is determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount of the property, plant and equipment. Such difference is recognised in surplus or deficit.

#### 1.9 Intangible assets

An intangible asset is an identifiable, non-monetary asset without physical substance.

An asset is identified as an intangible asset when it:

- is capable of being separated or divided from an entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually or together with a related contract, identifiable assets or liability; or
- arises from contractual rights or other legal rights, regardless of whether those rights are transferable or separable from the group or from other rights and obligations.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

#### **1.9** Intangible assets (continued)

An intangible asset is recognised when:

- it is probable that the expected future economic benefits or service potential that are attributable to the asset will flow to the group; and
- the cost or fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

#### Cost Model

Initial Recognition and measurement

Intangible assets are initially recognised at cost.

An intangible asset acquired through a non-exchange transaction, its initial cost at the date of acquisition is measured at its fair value as at that date.

Expenditure on research (or on the research phase of an internal project) is recognised as an expense when it is incurred.

An intangible asset is recognised when:

- it is probable that the expected future economic benefits or service potential that are attributable to the asset will flow to the entity; and
- the cost or fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

Intangible assets are carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and any impairment losses.

An intangible asset is regarded as having an indefinite useful life when, based on all relevant factors, there is no foreseeable limit to the period over which the asset is expected to generate net cash inflows or service potential. Amortisation is not provided for these intangible assets, but they are tested for impairment annually and whenever there is an indication that the asset may be impaired. For all other intangible assets amortisation is provided on the straight line basis over their useful lives.

Expenditure, which enhances and extends the benefits of computer software programs behind the original life of the software, is capitalised. Cost associated with the maintenance of existing computer software programs are expensed as incurred. The amortisation period and the amortisation method for intangible assets are reviewed at each reporting date.

Internally generated brands, mastheads, publishing titles, customer lists and items similar in substance are not recognised as intangible assets.

Amortisation is provided to write down the intangible assets, to their residual values as follows:

Item	Useful life
Additional capacity rights	10 years
Computer software, internally generated	8 - 10 years
Computer software	2 - 8 years
Operating software	3 - 7 years

Derecognition:

Intangible assets are derecognised:

- on disposal; or
- when no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from their use.

The gain or loss is the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount. It is recognised in surplus or deficit when the asset is derecognised.

#### 1.10 Heritage assets

Heritage assets are assets that have a cultural, environmental, historical, natural, scientific, technological or artistic significance and are held indefinitely for the benefit of present and future generations.

A heritage asset shall be recognised as an asset if, and only if:

(a) it is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the asset will flow to the entity, and (b) the cost or fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

#### 1.10 Heritage assets (continued)

Initial recognition and measurement

A heritage asset that qualifies for recognition as an asset shall be measured at its cost.

Where a heritage asset is acquired through a non-exchange transaction, its cost shall be measured at its fair value as at the date of acquisition.

#### Subsequent Measurement.

Heritage assets are not depreciated.

Most heritage assets have an indefinite useful life as they have been preserved for current and future generations and might appreciate in value due to their cultural, environmental, historical,natural,scientific or artistic significance. Therefore there is no finite limit to the period over which the heritage assets is expected to be held by the entity.

Heritage assets are tested for impairment annually and whenever there is an indication that the asset may be impaired

Transfers to heritage assets are only made when the asset meets the definition of a heritage asset. Transfers from heritage assets are made only when the asset no longer meets the definition of a heritage asset. Transfers to and from heritage assets are done at the carrying amount of the assets transferred at the date of transfer.

#### Derecognition

The group derecognises heritage assets on disposal, or when no future economic benefits or service potential are expected from its use or disposal.

The gain or loss arising from the derecognition of a heritage asset is determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds, if any, and the carrying amount of the heritage asset. Such difference is recognised in surplus or deficit when the heritage asset is derecognised.

#### Transitional provision

The group changed its accounting policy for heritage assets. The change in accounting policy is made in accordance with its transitional provision as per Directive 2 of the GRAP Reporting Framework.

According to the transitional provision, the group is not required to measure heritage assets for reporting periods beginning on or after a date within three years following the date of initial adoption of the Standard of GRAP on Heritage assets. Heritage assets have accordingly been recognised at provisional amounts. The transitional provision expires on 30 June 2015

In accordance with the transitional provision as per Directive 2 of the GRAP Reporting Framework, where heritage assets are acquired through a transfer of functions, the group is not required to measure the heritage assets for a period of three years from the effective date of the transfer of functions or the effective date of the Standard, whichever is later.

Until such time as the measurement period expires in terms of Directive 2, the group need not comply with the Standards of GRAP on (to the extent that these Standards prescribe requirements for heritage assets):

- Presentation of Financial Statements (GRAP 1),
- The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Transactions (GRAP 4),
- Leases (GRAP 13),
- Segment Reporting (GRAP 18),
- Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations (GRAP 100)

The exemption from applying the measurement requirements of the Standard of GRAP on Heritage assets implies that any associated presentation and disclosure requirements need not be complied with.

#### 1.11 Investments in Municipal Owned Entities

#### **GROUP** annual financial statements

The group annual financial statements include those of the core and its municipal entities. The revenue and expenses of the municipal entities are included from the effective date of acquisition.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

#### 1.11 Investments in Municipal Owned Entities (continued)

On acquisition the group recognises the controlled entity's identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities at fair value, except for assets classified as held-for-sale, which are recognised at fair value less costs to sell.

#### CJMM annual financial statements

In the municipality's separate annual financial statements, investments in municipal owned entities are carried at cost less any accumulated impairment.

#### 1.12 Investment in joint venture

#### Joint venture

Surpluses and deficits resulting from contributions or sale of assets to joint ventures are only recognised to the extent of other venturers' interests in the joint venture.

The group's share of surpluses or deficits, resulting from purchase of assets from joint ventures are recognised only when the assets are resold to an independent party.

In respect of its interests in jointly controlled operations, the municipality recognises in its Annual Financial Statements:

- the assets that it controls and the liabilities that it incurs; and
- the expenses that it incurs and its share of the revenue that it earns from the sale or provision of goods or services by the joint venture.

In respect of its interest in jointly controlled assets, the municipality recognises in its Annual Financial Statements:

its share of the jointly controlled assets, classified according to the nature of the assets;

- any liabilities that it has incurred;
- its share of any liabilities incurred jointly with the other venturers in relation to the joint venture;
- any revenue from the sale or use of its share of the output of the joint venture, together with its share of any
  expenses incurred by the joint venture; and
- any expenses that it has incurred in respect of its interest in the joint venture.

#### 1.13 Investment in associates

#### Associates

An investment in an associate is accounted for using the equity method, except when the asset is classified as heldfor-sale. Under the equity method, the investment is initially recognised at cost and the carrying amount is increased or decreased to recognise the group's share of the surpluses or deficits of the investee after acquisition date. The use of the equity method is discontinued from the date the group ceases to have significant influence over an associate.

Any impairment losses are deducted from the carrying amount of the investment in associate.

Distributions received from the associate reduce the carrying amount of the investment.

Surpluses and deficits resulting from transactions with associates are recognised only to the extent of unrelated investors' interests in the associate.

On acquisition the excess of the group's interest of the net fair value of an associate's identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities over the cost is accounted for as goodwill, and is included in the carrying amount of the associate.

The excess of the group's share of the net fair value of an associate's identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities over the cost is excluded from the carrying amount of the investment and is instead included as revenue in the period in which the investment is acquired.

The recognition of the group's share of deficits is discontinued once the group's share of deficits of an associate equals or exceeds its interest in the associate.

#### 1.14 Financial instruments

Non-derivative financial assets.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Accounting Policies

#### 1.14 Financial instruments (continued)

The municipality initially recognises loans and receivables and deposits on the date that they are originated. All other financial assets (including assets designated at fair value through profit or loss) are recognised initially on the trade date, which is the date that the municipality becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

The municipality derecognises a financial asset when the contractual rights to the cash flows from the asset expire, or it transfers the rights to receive the contractual cash flows on the financial asset in a transaction in which substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset are transferred. Any interest in transferred financial assets that is created or retained by the municipality is recognised as a separate asset or liability.

The municipality classifies its non-derivative financial assets into the following categories:

- Amortised cost; and
- Cost.

Financial assets at amortised Cost

Non-derivative financial assets are initially measured at fair value plus any directly attributable transactional costs. Subsequent to initial measurement, these assets are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method, less any impairment losses

#### Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash balances and call deposits with original maturities of three months or less.

#### Impairment of non-derivative financial assets

A financial asset not carried at fair value through profit or loss is assessed at each reporting date to determine whether there is objective evidence that it is impaired. A financial asset is impaired if objective evidence indicates that a loss event has occurred after the initial recognition of the asset, and that the loss event had a negative effect on the estimated future cash flows of that asset that can be estimated reliably.

Objective evidence that financial assets are impaired can include default or delinquency by a debtor, restructuring of an amount due to the municipality on terms that the municipality would not consider otherwise, indications that a debtor or issuer will enter bankruptcy, adverse changes in the payment status of borrowers or issuers in the municipality, economic conditions that correlate with defaults or the disappearance of an active market for a security.

An impairment loss in respect of a financial asset measured at amortised cost is calculated as the difference between its carrying amount and the present value of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the asset's original effective interest rate. Losses are recognised in surplus or deficit and reflected in an allowance account against loans and receivables or financial assets at amortised costs. Interest on the impaired asset continues to be recognised. When a subsequent event (e.g. repayment by a debtor) causes the amount of impairment loss to decrease, the decrease in impairment loss is reversed through surplus or deficit.

#### Non-derivative financial liabilities

The municipality initially recognises debt securities issued and subordinated liabilities on the date that they are originated. All other financial liabilities (including liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss) are recognised initially on the trade date, which is the date that the municipality becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

The municipality derecognises a financial liability when its contractual obligations are discharged, cancelled or expire.

Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position when, and only when, the municipality has a legal right to offset the amounts and intends either to settle on a net basis or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

Such financial liabilities are recognised initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, these financial liabilities are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method.

Derivative financial instruments, including hedge accounting items, securities or instruments.

The municipality holds derivative financial instruments to hedge its interest rate risk exposures.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Accounting Policies

#### 1.14 Financial instruments (continued)

On initial designation of the derivative as the hedging instrument, the municipality formally documents the relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged item, including the risk management objectives and strategy in undertaking the hedge transaction and the hedged risk, together with the methods that will be used to assess the effectiveness of the hedging relationship. The municipality makes an assessment, both at the inception of the hedge relationship as well as on an ongoing basis, of whether the hedging instruments are expected to be "highly effective" in offsetting the changes in the fair value or cash flows of the respective hedged items attributable to the hedged risk, and whether the actual results of each hedge are within a range of 80 – 125 percent. For a cash flow hedge of a forecast transaction, the transaction should be highly probable to occur and should present an exposure to variations in cash flows that could ultimately affect reported surplus or deficit.

Derivatives are recognised initially at fair value and attributable transaction costs are recognised in surplus or deficit as incurred. Subsequent to initial recognition, derivatives are measured at fair value and changes therein are accounted for as described below.

#### Cash flow hedges

When a derivative is designated as the hedging instrument in a hedge of the variability in cash flows attributable to a particular risk associated with a recognised asset or liability or a highly probable forecast transaction that could affect surplus or deficit, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognised in other comprehensive income and presented in the hedging reserve in net assets. Any ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

Other than designated through profit and loss

When a derivative financial instrument is not designated in a hedge relationship that qualifies for hedge accounting, all changes in its fair value are recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

#### 1.15 Tax

#### Current tax assets and liabilities

The tax currently payable is based on taxable income for the year. Taxable income differs from surplus as reported in the statement of financial performance because it includes income and expenses that are taxable or tax deductible in other years and it further excludes items that are never taxable or tax deductible..

Current tax for current and prior periods is, to the extent unpaid, recognised as a liability. If the amount already paid in respect of current and prior periods exceeds the amount due for those periods, the excess is recognised as an asset.

Current tax liabilities (assets) for the current and prior periods are measured at the amount expected to be paid to (recovered from) the tax authorities, using the tax rates (and tax laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the end of the reporting period.

#### Deferred tax assets and liabilities

A deferred tax liability is recognised for all taxable temporary differences, except to the extent that the deferred tax liability arises from the initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction which at the time of the transaction, affects neither accounting surplus nor taxable profit (tax loss).

A deferred tax asset is recognised for all deductible temporary differences to the extent that it is probable that a taxable surplus will be available against which the deductible temporary difference can be utilised. A deferred tax asset is not recognised when it arises from the initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction at the time of the transaction, affects neither accounting surplus nor taxable profit (tax loss).

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured at the tax rates that are expected to apply to the period when the asset is realised or the liability is settled, based on tax rates (and tax laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the end of the reporting period.

#### Tax expenses

Current and deferred taxes are recognised as income or an expense and included in surplus or deficit for the period, except to the extent that the tax arises from:

- a transaction or event which is recognised, in the same or a different period, to net assets; or
- a business combination.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

### Accounting Policies

#### 1.15 Tax (continued)

Current tax and deferred taxes are recognised in statement of changes in net assets if the tax relates to items that are credited or recognised directly in the statement of changes in net assets.

#### 1.16 Leases

A lease is classified as a finance lease if it transfers substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership. A lease is classified as an operating lease if it does not transfer substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership.

When a lease includes both land and buildings elements, the entity assesses the classification of each element separately.

#### Finance leases - lessor

The group recognises finance lease receivables as assets on the statement of financial position. Such assets are presented as a receivable at an amount equal to the net investment in the lease.

Finance revenue is recognised based on a pattern reflecting a constant periodic rate of return on the group's net investment in the finance lease.

#### Finance leases - lessee

Finance leases are recognised as assets in the statement of financial position at amounts equal to the fair value of the leased property or, if lower, the present value of the minimum lease payments plus any initial direct costs incurred. The corresponding liability to the lessor is included in the statement of financial position as a finance lease obligation.

The finance lease assets are depreciated at the appropriate rates on a straight line basis over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the assets.

Minimum lease payments are apportioned between the finance charge and reduction of the outstanding liability. The finance charge is allocated to each period during the lease term so as to produce a constant periodic rate of return on the remaining balance of the liability.

Any contingent rents are expensed in the period in which they are incurred.

#### **Operating leases - lessor**

Operating lease revenue is recognised as revenue on the straight-line basis over the lease term.

Initial direct costs incurred in negotiating and arranging operating leases are added to the carrying amount of the leased asset and recognised as an expense over the lease term on the same basis as the lease revenue.

The aggregate cost of incentives is recognised as a reduction of rental revenue over the lease term on the straight-line basis.

#### **Operating leases - lessee**

Operating lease payments are recognised as an expense on the straight-line basis over the lease term. The difference between the amounts recognised as an expense and the contractual payments are recognised as an operating lease asset or liability.

The aggregate benefit of incentives is recognised as a reduction of rental expense over the lease term on the straightline basis.

#### 1.17 Inventories

Inventories are initially measured at cost except where inventories are acquired through a non-exchange transaction, then their costs are their fair value as at the date of acquisition.

Subsequently, inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value.

Inventories are measured at the lower of cost and current replacement cost where they are held for:

distribution at no charge or for a nominal charge; or

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

#### 1.17 Inventories (continued)

• consumption in the production process of goods to be distributed at no charge or for a nominal charge.

Net realisable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of operations less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale, exchange or distribution.

Current replacement cost is the cost the group incurs to acquire the asset on the reporting date.

The cost of inventories comprises all costs of purchase, costs of conversion and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition.

The cost of inventories that are not ordinarily interchangeable and goods or services produced and segregated for specific projects is assigned using specific identification of the individual costs.

The cost of inventories is assigned using the weighted average cost formula. The same cost formula is used for all inventories having a similar nature and use to the group.

When inventories are sold, the carrying amounts of those inventories are recognised as an expense in the period in which the related revenue is recognised. If there is no related revenue, the expenses are recognised when the goods are distributed, or related services are rendered. The amount of any write-down of inventories to net realisable value or current replacement cost and all losses of inventories are recognised as an expense in the period the write-down or loss occurs. The amount of any reversal of any write-down of inventories, arising from an increase in net realisable value or current replacement cost, are recognised as a reduction in the amount of inventories recognised as an expense in the period in which the reversal occurs.

#### 1.18 Non-current assets held for sale and disposal groups

Non-current assets and disposal groups are classified as held for sale if their carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use. This condition is regarded as met only when the sale is highly probable and the asset (or disposal group) is available for immediate sale in its present condition. Management must be committed to the sale, which should be expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year from the date of classification.

Non-current assets held for sale (or disposal group) are measured at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell.

A non-current asset is not depreciated (or amortised) while it is classified as held for sale, or while it is part of a disposal group classified as held for sale.

Interest and other expenses attributable to the liabilities of a disposal group classified as held for sale are recognised in surplus or deficit.

#### 1.19 Impairment

The municipality assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an asset may be impaired. If any such indication exists, the municipality estimates the recoverable amount or the recoverable service amount of the asset. If the resulting estimate of the recoverable amount or recoverable service amount is lower than the carrying amount, the asset is written down to the recoverable amount as impairment loss.

The Impairment loss is recognised as an expense.

The increased carrying amount of an asset attributable to a reversal of an impairment loss shall not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined (net of amortisation or depreciation) had no impairment loss been recognised for the asset in prior years.

A reversal of an impairment loss for an asset shall be recognised immediately in surplus or deficit.

#### Impairment of cash-generating assets.

Property, plant and equipment, Inventories, Investment Properties and Intangible Assets are those assets held by the group with the primary objective of generating a commercial return. When an asset is deployed in a manner consistent with that adopted by a profit-orientated entity, it generates a commercial return.

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation/(amortisation).

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

### Accounting Policies

#### 1.19 Impairment (continued)

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation/(amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Recoverable amount of an asset or a cash-generating unit is the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

- (a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the group; or
- (b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the group.

#### 1.20 Impairment of non-cash-generating assets

Non-cash-generating assets are assets other than cash-generating assets.

Impairment is a loss in the future economic benefits or service potential of an asset, over and above the systematic recognition of the loss of the asset's future economic benefits or service potential through depreciation/(amortisation).

Carrying amount is the amount at which an asset is recognised in the statement of financial position after deducting any accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses thereon.

A cash-generating unit is the smallest identifiable group of assets held with the primary objective of generating a commercial return that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets.

Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset, excluding finance costs and income tax expense.

Depreciation/(amortisation) is the systematic allocation of the depreciable amount of an asset over its useful life.

Fair value less costs to sell is the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties, less the costs of disposal.

Recoverable service amount is the higher of a non-cash-generating asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use.

Useful life is either:

- (a) the period of time over which an asset is expected to be used by the group; or
- (b) the number of production or similar units expected to be obtained from the asset by the group.

#### 1.21 Employee benefits

#### Short-term employee benefits

The cost of short-term employee benefits, (those payable within 12 months after the service is rendered, such as paid vacation leave and sick leave, bonuses, and non-monetary benefits such as medical care), are recognised as an expense in the period in which the service is rendered and are not discounted.

The expected cost of compensated absences is recognised as an expense as the employees render services that increase their entitlement or, in the case of non-accumulating absences, when the absence occurs.

#### **Defined contribution plans**

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

#### 1.21 Employee benefits (continued)

A defined contribution plan is a post-employment pension plan under which the municipality pays fixed contributions into a separate entity (a fund). The municipality has no further payment obligations once the contributions have been paid. Accordingly, the municipality recognises the contributions to the scheme as an expense when the employees have rendered a service.

Payments made to industry-managed (or state plans) retirement benefit schemes are dealt with as defined contribution plans where the entity's obligation under the schemes is equivalent to those arising in a defined contribution retirement benefit plan.

#### Defined benefit plans

The Group currently has three employee benefit plans which are paid to retired or existing employees. The benefit plans are as follows; Post-retirement health care benefits, housing subsidies and gratuities upon retirement to some retirees.

- Post-retirement health care benefits
- Housing subsidies; and
- Gratuities upon retirement to some retirees.

The entitlement to post-retirement health care benefits is based on the employee remaining in service up to retirement age and the completion of a minimum service period. The expected costs of these benefits are accrued over the period of employment. Independent qualified actuaries carry out valuations of these obligations. The entity also provides a gratuity and housing subsidy on retirement to certain employees. An annual charge to expense is made to cover both these liabilities

For defined benefit plans the cost of providing the benefits is determined using the projected credit method..

Consideration is given to any event that could impact the funds up to end of the reporting period where the interim valuation is performed at an earlier date.

Past service costs are recognised immediately to the extent that the benefits are already vested, and are otherwise amortised on the straight line basis over the average period until the amended benefits become vested. Gains or losses on the curtailment or settlement of a defined benefit plan are recognised when the entity is demonstrably committed to curtailment or settlement.

When it is virtually certain that another party will reimburse some or all of the expenditure required to settle a defined benefit obligation, the right to reimbursement is recognised as a separate asset. The asset is measured at fair value. In all other respects, the asset is treated in the same way as plan assets. In surplus or deficit, the expense relating to a defined benefit plan is presented as the net of the amount recognised for a reimbursement.

The amount recognised in the statement of financial position represents the present value of the defined benefit obligation as adjusted for unrecognised actuarial gains and losses and unrecognised past service costs.

Any asset is limited to unrecognised actuarial losses and past service costs, plus the present value of available refunds and reduction in future contributions to the plan.

#### 1.22 Provisions and contingencies

Provisions are recognised when:

- the group has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;
- it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation; and
- a reliable estimate can be made of the obligation.

The amount of a provision is the best estimate of the expenditure expected to be required to settle the present obligation at the reporting date.

Where the effect of time value of money is material, the amount of a provision is the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the obligation.

The discount rate is a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

### **1.22 Provisions and contingencies (continued)**

Where some or all of the expenditure required to settle a provision is expected to be reimbursed by another party, the reimbursement is recognised when, and only when, it is virtually certain that reimbursement will be received if the group settles the obligation. The reimbursement is treated as a separate asset. The amount recognised for the reimbursement shall not exceed the amount of the provision.

Provisions are reviewed at each reporting date and adjusted to reflect the current best estimate. Provisions are reversed if it is no longer probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required, to settle the obligation.

Where discounting is used, the carrying amount of a provision increases in each period to reflect the passage of time. This increase is recognised as an interest expense.

A provision is used only for expenditures for which the provision was originally recognised.

Provisions are not recognised for future operating deficits.

A restructuring provision includes only the direct expenditures arising from the restructuring, which are those that are both:

- necessarily entailed by the restructuring; and
- not associated with the ongoing activities of the group.

No obligation arises as a consequence of the sale or transfer of an operation until the group is committed to the sale or transfer, that is, there is a binding arrangement.

After their initial recognition, contingent liabilities recognised in entity combinations that are recognised separately are subsequently measured at the higher of:

- the amount that would be recognised as a provision; and
- the amount initially recognised less cumulative amortisation.

Contingent assets and contingent liabilities are not recognised but are separately disclosed in note 52.

A financial guarantee contract is a contract that requires the issuer to make specified payments to reimburse the holder for a loss it incurs because a specified debtor fails to make payment when due in accordance with the original or modified terms of a debt instrument.

The group recognises a provision for financial guarantees and loan commitments when it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits and service potential will be required to settle the obligation and a reliable estimate of the obligation can be made.

Determining whether an outflow of resources is probable in relation to financial guarantees requires judgement. Indications that an outflow of resources may be probable are:

- financial difficulty of the debtor;
- defaults or delinquencies in interest and capital repayments by the debtor;
- breaches of the terms of the debt instrument that result in it being payable earlier than the agreed term and the ability of the debtor to settle its obligation on the amended terms; and
- a decline in prevailing economic circumstances (e.g. high interest rates, inflation and unemployment) that impact on the ability of entities to repay their obligations.

Where a fee is received by the group for issuing a financial guarantee and/or where a fee is charged on loan commitments, it is considered in determining the best estimate of the amount required to settle the obligation at reporting date. Where a fee is charged and the group considers that an outflow of economic resources is probable, an group recognises the obligation at the higher of:

- the amount determined using in the Standard of GRAP on Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets; and
- the amount of the fee initially recognised less, where appropriate, cumulative amortisation recognised in accordance with the Standard of GRAP on Revenue from Exchange Transactions.

#### 1.23 Revenue from exchange transactions

Revenue is the gross inflow of economic benefits or service potential during the reporting period when those inflows result in an increase in net assets, other than increases relating to contributions from owners.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

#### 1.23 Revenue from exchange transactions (continued)

An exchange transaction is one in which the municipality receives assets or services, or has liabilities extinguished, and directly gives approximately equal value (primarily in the form of goods, services or use of assets) to the other party in exchange.

#### Measurement

Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable, net of trade discounts and volume rebates.

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged, or a liability settled, between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

#### Sale of goods

Revenue from the sale of goods is recognised when all the following conditions have been satisfied:

- the group has transferred to the purchaser the significant risks and rewards of ownership of the goods;
- the group retains neither continuing managerial involvement to the degree usually associated with ownership nor
  effective control over the goods sold;
- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the group; and
- the costs incurred or to be incurred in respect of the transaction can be measured reliably.

#### Rendering of services

When the outcome of a transaction involving the rendering of services can be estimated reliably, revenue associated with the transaction is recognised by reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. The outcome of a transaction can be estimated reliably when all the following conditions are satisfied:

- the amount of revenue can be measured reliably;
- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the group;
- the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date can be measured reliably; and
- the costs incurred for the transaction and the costs to complete the transaction can be measured reliably.

When services are performed by an indeterminate number of acts over a specified time frame, revenue is recognised on the straight line basis over the specified time frame unless there is evidence that some other method better represents the stage of completion. When a specific act is much more significant than any other acts, the recognition of revenue is postponed until the significant act is executed.

When the outcome of the transaction involving the rendering of services cannot be estimated reliably, revenue is recognised only to the extent of the expenses recognised that are recoverable.

Service revenue is recognised by reference to the stage of completion of the transaction at the reporting date. Service fees included in the price of the product are recognised as revenue over the period during which the service is performed.

#### Interest and royalties

- It is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality, and
- The amount of the revenue can be measured reliably.

Interest is recognised, in surplus or deficit, using the effective interest rate method.

#### 1.24 Revenue from non-exchange transactions

Non-exchange transactions are transactions where the entity receives value from another entity without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange. Revenue from non-exchange transactions are generally recognised to the extent

that the related receipt or receivable qualifies for recognition as an asset and there is no liability to repay the amount.

#### Fines

Revenue from the issuing of fines is recognised when:

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

### **1.24** Revenue from non-exchange transactions (continued)

- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the municipality; and
- the amount of the revenue can be measured reliably.

The group has two types of fines :

#### Criminal Procedures Act fines:

These fines are issued in terms of the Criminal Procedures Act and are usually issued by way of notice to offenders, and can (a) indicate the value of the fine to be paid, and that certain reductions could be made to the value of the fine payable and how, or the circumstances under which, such reductions can be applied, or (b) indicate that the offender must appear in Court on a specified day ( in these instances, the value of the fine may or may not be indicated but this is often only determined after a separate legal process).

#### Initial recognition:

An asset acquired through a non- exchange transaction shall initially be measured at fair value at the date of acquisition which is the best estimate of the inflow of economic benefits. An inflow of resources from a non- exchange transaction recognised as an asset shall be recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is also recognised in respect of the same inflow.

There is uncertainty regarding the probability of the flow of economic benefits in respect of criminal procedure act fines. Legal processes have to be undertaken before the criminal procedure act fine is enforceable. In respect of summonses the public prosecutor can decide whether to waive the fine, reduce it or prosecute for non-payment by the offender. Where a reliable estimate cannot be made of revenue from summonses, the revenue from summonses is recognised when the public prosecutor pays over to the entity the cash actually collected on summonses issued.

#### Subsequent measurement

IGRAP1 states that the assessment and recognition of an impairment is an event that takes place subsequent to the initial recognition of revenue charged. An entity assesses the probability of collecting revenue when accounts fall into arrears. Such an assessment should not be made at the time of initial recognition.

#### AARTO traffic fines

#### Initial recognition

An asset acquired through a non- exchange transaction shall initially be measured at fair value at the date of acquisition which is the best estimate of the inflow of economic benefits. An inflow of resources from a non- exchange transaction recognised as an asset shall be recognised as revenue, except to the extent that a liability is also recognised in respect of the same inflow.

The COJ is legally entitled to 50% of the face value of the fines, taking into account the best estimate of the inflow of economic benefits in terms of GRAP 23.

In terms of the AARTO ACT par 32(1) and (2), RTIA is legally entitled to receive 50% of the face value of such fine plus other administrative cost so incurred as compensation for their services in collecting and adjudication process.

IGRAP1 states that the assessment and recognition of an impairment is an event that takes place subsequent to the initial recognition of revenue charged. An entity assesses the probability of collecting revenue when accounts fall into arrears. Such an assessment should not be made at the time of initial recognition

#### Government grants

Government grants are recognised as revenue when;

- it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the group;
- the amount of the revenue can be measured reliably; and
- to the extent that there has been compliance with any restrictions associated with the grant.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Accounting Policies

### **1.24** Revenue from non-exchange transactions (continued)

The group assesses the degree of certainty attached to the flow of future economic benefits or service potential on the basis of the available evidence. Certain grants payable by one level of government to another are subject to the availability of funds. Revenue from these grants is only recognised when it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the entity. An announcement at the beginning of a financial year that grants may be available for qualifying entities in accordance with an agreed programme may not be sufficient evidence of the probability of the flow. Revenue is then only recognised once evidence of the probability of the flow becomes available.

Restrictions on government grants may result in such revenue being recognised on a time proportion basis. Where there is no restriction on the period, such revenue is recognised on receipt or when the Act becomes effective, whichever is earlier.

When government remit grants on a re-imbursement basis, revenue is recognised when the qualifying expense has been incurred and to the extent that any other restrictions have been complied with.

#### 1.25 Investment income

Investment income comprises interest income on funds invested. Investment income is recognised on a time-proportion basis using the effective interest method.

#### 1.26 Borrowing costs

Borrowing costs are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of a qualifying asset. The standard gives the entity the option to either capitalise or to expense borrowing costs. All borrowing costs are recognised as an expense in surplus or deficit in the period in which they are incurred.

#### 1.27 Comparative figures

When the presentation or classification of items in the annual financial statements is materially amended, prior period comparative amounts are restated. The nature and reason for the reclassification is disclosed. Where accounting errors have been identified in the current year, the correction is made retrospectively as far as is practicable, and the prior year comparatives are restated accordingly. Where there has been a change in accounting policy in the current year, the adjustment is made retrospectively as far as is practicable, and the prior year comparatives are restated accordingly.

#### 1.28 Unauthorised expenditure

Unauthorised expenditure means:

- overspending of a vote or a main division within a vote; and
- expenditure not in accordance with the purpose of a vote or, in the case of a main division, not in accordance with the purpose of the main division.

All expenditure relating to unauthorised expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and when recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

#### 1.29 Fruitless and wasteful expenditure

Fruitless and wasteful expenditure means expenditure which was made in vain and would have been avoided had reasonable care been exercised.

All expenditure relating to fruitless and wasteful expenditure is recognised as an expense in the statement of financial performance in the year that the expenditure was incurred. The expenditure is classified in accordance with the nature of the expense, and where recovered, it is subsequently accounted for as revenue in the statement of financial performance.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

### 1.30 Irregular expenditure

The Municipality recognises irregular expenditure as defined in section 1 of the MFMA when:-

- (a) expenditure incurred by the municipality is in contravention of, or is not
- in accordance with, a requirement of the MFMA, and which has not been condoned by National Treasury;
   (b) expenditure incurred by the municipality is in contravention of, or is not
- in accordance with, a requirement of the Municipal Systems Act, and which has not been condoned in terms of that Act;
- (c) expenditure incurred by a municipality is in contravention of, or that is not in accordance with, a requirement of the Public Office-Bearers Act, 1998 (Act No. 20 of 1998); or
- (d) expenditure incurred by a municipality is in contravention of, or that is not in accordance with, a requirement of the supply chain management policy of the municipality or any of the municipality's by-laws giving effect to such policy, and which has not been condoned in terms of such policy or by-law, but excludes expenditure by a municipality which falls within the definition of unauthorised expenditure.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and which was condoned before year end and/or before finalisation of the financial statements must also be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. In such an instance, no further action is also required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and for which condonement is being awaited at year end must be recorded in the irregular expenditure register. No further action is required with the exception of updating the note to the financial statements.

Where irregular expenditure was incurred in the previous financial year and is only condoned in the following financial year, the register and the disclosure note to the financial statements must be updated with the amount condoned.

Irregular expenditure that was incurred and identified during the current financial year and which was not condoned by the National Treasury or the relevant authority must be recorded appropriately in the irregular expenditure register. If liability for the irregular expenditure can be attributed to a person, a debt account must be created if such a person is liable in law. Immediate steps must thereafter be taken to recover the amount from the person concerned. If recovery is not possible, the accounting officer or accounting authority may write off the amount as debt impairment and disclose such in the relevant note to the financial statements. The irregular expenditure register must also be updated accordingly. If the irregular expenditure has not been condoned and no person is liable in law, the expenditure related thereto must remain against the relevant programme/expenditure item, be disclosed as such in the note to the financial statements and updated accordingly in the irregular expenditure register.

### 1.31 Internal reserves

### Capital replacement reserve (CRR)

In order to finance the provision of infrastructure and other items of property, plant and equipment from internal sources, amounts are transferred from the accumulated surplus/(deficit) to the CRR. A corresponding amount is transferred to a designated CRR bank or investment account. The cash in the designated CRR bank account can only be utilised to finance items of property, plant and equipment. The CRR is reduced and the accumulated surplus/(deficit) is credited by a corresponding amount when the amounts in the CRR are utilised.

### Compensation for occupational injuries and diseases (COID) reserve

The Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act (Act 130 of 1993) is to provide for payment of medical treatment and compensation for disablement caused by occupational injuries or diseases sustained or contracted by employees in the course of their employment, or for death resulting from such injuries or diseases. The contribution to the COID fund is 0.75% of the salary expense. The municipality is an exempt employer in terms of Section 84 (1) (a)(ii) & (2) and as such does not pay any assessments to the COID Commissioner. In terms of the exempt status the municipality is mandated to establish its own fund and administers this fund in terms of the COID Act.

### 1.32 Conditional grants and receipts

Revenue received from conditional grants, donations and funding are recognised as revenue to the extent that the municipality has complied with any of the criteria, conditions or obligations embodied in the agreement. To the extent that the criteria, conditions or obligations have not been met, a liability is recognised.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## **Accounting Policies**

### 1.33 Budget information

Group are typically subject to budgetary limits in the form of appropriations or budget authorisations (or equivalent), which is given effect through authorising legislation, appropriation or similar.

General purpose financial reporting by group shall provide information on whether resources were obtained and used in accordance with the legally adopted budget.

The approved budget is prepared on the accrual basis and presented by economic classification linked to performance outcome objectives.

The approved budget covers the fiscal period from 01 July 2014 to 30 June 2015

The budget for the economic entity includes all the entities approved budgets under its control.

The Group Annual Financial Statements and the budget are on the same basis of accounting therefore a comparison with the budgeted amounts for the reporting period have been included in the Statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts.

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		MM
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014

#### 2. STANDARD AND INTERPRETATIONS NOT YET EFFECTIVE

At the reporting date , the following Standards and Interpretations were in issue but not yet effective:

<u>Name</u>	Effective Date
GRAP 18 - Segment Reporting	Not yet effective
GRAP 20 - Related Parties	Not yet effective
GRAP 32 - Service Concession arrangements: Grantor	Not yet effective
GRAP 105 - Transfer of functions between entities under common control	01 April 2015
GRAP 106 -Transfer of functions between entities not under common control	01 April 2015
GRAP 107 - Mergers	01 April 2015
GRAP 108 - Statutory receivables	Not yet effective

All standards and interpretations will be adopted at their effective date (except those Standards and Interpretations that are not applicable to the City of Johannesburg).

The impact of the application of the above standards and interpretations have not been fully assessed for the following financial year.

#### INVENTORIES 3.

3.1

Reconciliation of inventory write-down				
	315 359	302 912	59 326	113 073
Inventories (write-downs)	414 657 (99 298)	331 275 (28 363)	119 056 (59 730)	113 073 -
Fuel (Diesel, Petrol)	3 062	2 558	-	-
Consumables - Road	22 556	31 178	-	-
Consumables - Electrical	172 899	97 362	-	-
Work in progress	5 987	2 004	-	-
Housing stock	61 050	61 050	61 050	61 050
Consumables - Water	64 882	60 824	-	-
Spare parts	16 053	11 814	-	-
Consumable stores	68 168	64 485	58 006	52 023

Opening balance	28 363	30 029	-	-
Inventory written down	72 238	3 642	59 730	-
Reversal of Inventory write-down	(1 327)	(5 308)	-	-
Closing balance	99 298	28 363	59 730	-

		GRO	GROUP		М
Fig	ures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
4.	LOANS TO MUNICIPAL ENTITIES				
	Shareholder loans				
	City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 17.5%	-	-	581 814	581 814
	Maturity = 30 June 2016 City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 14.5%	-	-	42 979	42 979
	Maturity 30 June 2016. Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 15% Maturity 30 June 2018.	-	-	181 938	242 590
	Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 14.5% Maturity 30 June 2018.	-	-	13 023	17 366
		-	-	819 754	884 749

		GRO		CJMM	
gures	in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
LC	DANS TO MUNICIPAL ENTITIES (continued)				
	onduit loans				
Ci	ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	_	_	880 290	
	erms and conditions: Rate = 10.18%	_	_	000 230	
	aturity = 30 June 2024				
	ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	-	70 16
	erms and conditions: Rate = 10.2% aturity = 30 June 2015				
	ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	89 793	171 89
Te	erms and conditions: Rate = 9%				
	aturity = 30 June 2016				
	ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	179 401	257 75
	erms and conditions: Rate = 9% aturity = 30 June 2017				
	ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	209 794	264 39
	erms and conditions: Rate = 12.21%				
	aturity = 30 June 2018				
	ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	233 685	277 91
	erms and conditions: Rate = 10.9% aturity = 30 June 2019				
	ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	_	297 860	340 39
	erms and conditions: Rate = 10.9%			207 000	01000
	aturity = 30 June 2020				
	ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	221 694	246 55
	erms and conditions: Rate = 10.9%				
	aturity = 30 June 2021 ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	_	-	327 935	359 73
	erms and conditions: Rate 9.31%	_	-	327 333	00070
	aturity = 30 June 2022				
	ty Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	50 982	55 17
	erms and conditions: Rate 9.65%				
	aturity = 30 June 2023 hannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty)			19 707	37 72
Lt		-	-	19707	5112
	erms and conditions: Rate = 9%				
	aturity = 30 June 2016				
	hannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty)	-	-	2 494	3 58
Lt					
	erms and conditions:Rate = 9% aturity = 30 June 2017				
	phannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty)	-	-	2 389	3 02
Lt					
	erms and conditions: Rate = 10.9%				
	aturity = 30 June 2018			704 404	
	bhannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd erms and conditions: Rate = 10.,18%	-	-	791 424	
	aturity = 30 June 2024				
	phannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	-	-	42 88
Τe	erms and conditions: Rate = 10.2%				
	aturity = 30 June 2015				
	hannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	-	44 261	84 25
	erms and conditions: Rate =10.2% aturity = 30 June 2016				
	bhannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	_	108 840	145 12
	erms and conditions:Rate = Jibar less 35bp	_	-	100 040	1 10 12
	aturity = 15 May 2026				
Jc	hannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	-	225 682	286 0 <sup>-</sup>
	erms and conditions: Rate = 10.9%				
M	aturity = 30 June 2018				

	GRO		CJM	
ires in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
LOANS TO MUNICIPAL ENTITIES (continued)				
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	-	217 786	259 00
Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.9%				
Maturity = 30 June 2019				
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	-	292 102	333 8 <sup>-</sup>
Terms and conditions: Rate = Rate = 10.9%				
Maturity = 30 June 2020				
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	-	455 763	497 1
Terms and conditions: Jibar plus 70pb				
Maturity = 15 May 2026				
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	-	222 080	246 9
Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.9%				
Maturity = 30 June 2021				
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	-	278 277	305 2
Terms and conditions: Rate = 9.31%				
Maturity = 30 June 2022				
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	-	-	608 016	656 5
Terms and conditions: Rate = 9.65%				
Maturity = 30 June 2023				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	121 054	
Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.18%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	628	6
Terms and conditions: Rate = 14.15%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	2 937	2 9
Terms and conditions: Rate = 12.42%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	5 785	57
Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.2%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	19 701	19 7
Terms and conditions: Rate = 9%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	30 171	30 1
Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.2%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	14 379	14 3
Terms and conditions: Rate = 12.21%			11070	
Maturity = $30$ June $2026$				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	_	7 885	78
Terms and conditions: Rate = 12.21%	-	-	7 005	70
Maturity = $30$ June 2026				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd		-	19 983	19 9
	-	-	19 903	19 5
Terms and conditions: Rate = 12.21%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026			44 700	· · · -
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	14 738	14 7
Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.78%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				. – .
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	15 309	15 3
Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.4%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	22 398	22 3
Terms and conditions: Rate = 09.31%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	27 265	27 2
Terms and conditions: Rate = 09.65%				
Maturity = 30 June 2026				
The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd	-	-	-	53
Terms and conditions: Rate = 9%				
Maturity = 30 June 2017				
The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd		-	16 318	23 3
Lbo Johannaahurg Fraah Draduaa (Dtu) Ltd		-	16 3 1 8	23

		GRO	OUP	CJMM	
igu	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
I.	LOANS TO MUNICIPAL ENTITIES (continued)				
	Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.2% Maturity = 30 June 2017 The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.2%	-	-	-	1 958
	Maturity = 30 June 2015 The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.2%	-	-	-	7 198
	Maturity = 30 June 2015 The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.2%	-	-	8 775	16 704
	Maturity = 30 June 2016 The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.2% Maturity = 30 June 2017	-	-	-	7 636
	The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.9% Maturity = 30 June 2018	-	-	13 413	16 999
	The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 9% Maturity = 30 June 2019	-	-	10 485	12 570
	The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.4% Maturity = 30 June 2020	-	-	10 918	12 502
	The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 10.4% Maturity = 30 June 2021	-	-	10 660	11 879
	The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 9.31% Maturity = 30 June 2022	-	-	22 671	24 870
	The Johannesburg Fresh Produce (Pty) Ltd Terms and conditions: Rate = 9.65% Maturity = 30 June 2023	-	-	6 889	7 456
		-	-	6 162 617	5 274 947
	Less impairment of loans to Municipal Entities		-	(323 842) 5 838 775	(181 179) <b>5 093 768</b>
	Non-current assets			5 648 642	4 997 925
	Current assets	-	-	1 009 887	980 592
		-	-	6 658 529	5 978 517

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GR	OUP	CJMI	М
Figu	ures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
4.	LOANS TO MUNICIPAL ENTITIES (continued)				
	Reconciliation of provision for impairment of loans	to municipal entiti	es		
	Opening balance	-	-	181 179	153 915
	Additional impairment - Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	-	-	121 054	27 264
	Additional impairment - Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd	-	-	21 609	-
		-	-	323 842	181 179

### <u>CJMM</u>

### Impairment of Ioan to Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd

The increase in provision for impairment of loans has been included in operating expenses in the statement of financial performance of CJMM. Pikitup's loan has been further impaired due to the fact that Pikitup has just been servicing the interest and has not repaid any capital in the past 12 months.

### Additional impairment - Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd

The Johannesburg Metro Bus company is technically insolvent and has liquidity challenges. The entity is in the process of putting in place a turn around strategy aimed to improve the liquidity problem in the long term. The current liquidity problem has led to the impairment of the current portion of the loan.

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GRC	GROUP		CJMM	
Fig	ures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014	
5.	OTHER FINANCIAL ASSETS					
	At amortised cost		100.001		100.001	
	Other financial assets Housing Selling scheme loans	- 26 629	186 361 27 301	- 26 629	186 361 27 301	
	Other loans and receivables	36 746	38 548	36 746	38 548	
		63 375	252 210	63 375	252 210	
	Non-current assets					
	At amortised cost	63 375	65 849	63 375	65 849	
	Current assets					
	At amortised cost		186 361	-	186 361	
		2015 Amortised Cost	2014 Amortised Cost	2015 Amortised Cost	2014 Amortised Cost	
	Investment: RMB - E	-	88 703	-	88 703	
	Maturity - 30.11.2014 Investment: RMB - R10 Maturity - 30.11.2014	-	93 371	-	93 371	
	Fixed Deposit - RMB Maturity - 30.11.2014	-	4 287	-	4 287	
			186 361	-	186 361	
6.	FINANCE LEASE RECEIVABLES					
	Gross investment in the lease due					
	- within one year	-	-	90 945	85 308	
	- in second to fifth year inclusive	-	-	299 376	229 293	
	- later than five years	-	-	42 718	44 069	
	less: Unearned finance revenue	-	-	433 039 (95 802)	358 670 (83 737)	
	Present value of minimum lease payments		-	337 237	274 933	
	receivable Present value of minimum lease payments			337 237	274 933	
	receivable		-	JJI 231	214 333	
	Non-current assets	-	-	256 058	193 949	
	Current assets	-	-	81 179	80 984	
		-	-	337 237	274 933	

COJ entered into a Finance lease on 1 March 2012 with various MOEs for specialised vehicles. The interest rate implicit on the agreement is 10%.

The unguaranteed future values of assets leased under finance lease at the end of the reporting period amount to R 94 687 (2014: R 48 111).

		GROUP		CJMM	
Fig	ures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
7.	TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES				
	Loans and receivables				
	Accrued VAT	230 283	125 243	230 283	125 243
	Housing debtors	309 217	132 945	309 217	132 945
	Insurance debtor	1 469	4 262	-	54
	Operating lease receivables	41 526	39 776	40 934	39 477
	Related party debtors	-	-	3 001 063	2 679 123
	Sundry debtors	550 060	517 364	351 320	331 524
	Trade debtors	59 064	109 989	-	-
		1 191 627	929 566	3 932 817	3 308 366
	Other receivables				
	Deposits	746	1 103	-	-
	Fruitless and wasteful expenditure to be 5 investigated	5 19 868	19 154	2 366	2 321
	Prepayments	80 739	134 355	98 578	93 662
		101 353	154 612	100 944	95 983
	Total trade and other receivables	1 292 972	1 093 898	4 033 761	3 404 349
8.	RECEIVABLES FROM NON-EXCHANGE TRANSACTIO	ONS			
	Fines	112 487	171 787	112 487	171 787
	Government grants and subsidies	15 958	-	-	-
	Other receivables from non-exchange revenue	9 353	18 730	_	-
		137 798	190 517	112 487	171 787
9.	VAT				
	Receivable	346 725	470 777	284 336	428 071
	Payable	(352 342)	(396 036)		-
		(5 617)	74 741	284 336	428 071

		GRO	UP	CJMM	
Figu	ires in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
10.	CONSUMER DEBTORS				
	Gross balances				
	Rates	5 448 273	5 463 047	5 448 273	5 463 047
	Electricity	2 740 813	5 281 999	-	-
	Water	5 839 684	7 738 197	-	-
	Refuse	1 271 885	1 191 049	-	-
	Housing rental	317 720	256 607	317 720	256 607
		15 618 375	19 930 899	5 765 993	5 719 654
	Less: Allowance for impairment Rates	(4 744 399)	(4 787 008)	(4 744 399)	(4 787 008)
	Electricity	(4 744 399) (560 475)	(3 325 903)	(4 / 44 333)	(4707000)
	Water	(3 874 196)	(5 748 754)	-	-
	Refuse	(1 183 124)	(957 161)	_	_
	Housing rental	(304 943)	(245 499)	(304 943)	(245 499)
	5	(10 667 137)	(15 064 325)	(5 049 342)	(5 032 507)
	Net balance	700.074			
	Rates	703 874	676 039	703 874	676 039
	Electricity	2 180 338	1 956 096	-	-
	Water Refuse	1 965 488	1 989 443	-	-
		88 761 12 777	233 888 11 108	- 12 777	- 11 108
	Housing rental				
		4 951 238	4 866 574	716 651	687 147
	Current Assets	4 951 238	4 866 574	716 651	687 147

		GROU	JP	CJMM		
gu	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014	
).	CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)					
	Included in the above balances are receivables					
	from exchange transactions					
	Electricity	2 180 338	1 956 096	-		
	Water	1 965 488	1 989 443	-		
	Refuse	88 761	233 888	-		
	Housing rental	12 777 <b>4 247 364</b>	11 108 4 190 535	12 777 <b>12 777</b>	11 1 <b>11 1</b>	
			4 100 000	12 ///		
	Included in above balances are receivables					
	from non-exchange transactions (taxes and					
	transfers)					
	Rates	703 874	676 039	703 874	676 C	
	Net balance	4 951 238	4 866 574	716 651	687 1	
	Rates	FF0 400	400.000		400.4	
	Current (0 - 30 days)	553 499	438 988	553 499	438 9	
	31 - 60 days	212 575	290 671	212 575	290 6	
	61 - 90 days	153 938	294 971	153 938	294 9	
	91 - 120 days	159 992	199 942	159 992	199 9	
	121 - 365 days	977 432	1 234 887	977 432	1 234 8	
	> 365 days	3 390 837	3 003 588	3 390 837	3 003 5	
		5 448 273	5 463 047	5 448 273	5 463 0	
	Electricity					
	Current (0 - 30 days)	1 861 632	2 015 947	-		
	31 - 60 days	281 596	252 256	-		
	61 - 90 days	83 408	265 649	-		
	91 - 120 days	68 653	153 745	-		
	121 - 365 days	415 282	975 372	-		
	> 365 days	30 242	1 619 030	-		
		2 740 813	5 281 999	-		
	Water					
	Current (0 - 30 days)	1 492 402	1 465 374	-		
	31 - 60 days	294 763	321 850	-		
	61 - 90 days	261 568	279 179	-		
	91 - 120 days	225 802	220 022	-		
	121 - 365 days	1 696 997	1 685 780	-		
	> 365 days	1 868 152	3 765 992	-		
		5 839 684	7 738 197	-		
	Refuse					
	Current (0 - 30 days)	57 627	154 718	-		
	31 - 60 days	40 698	42 182	_		
	61 - 90 days	39 127	56 120	_		
	91 - 120 days	18 726	47 739	-		
	121 - 365 days	1 115 707	890 290	-		
		1 271 885	1 191 049	-		
	Housing rental Current (0 - 30 days)	11 346	9 723	11 346	9 7	
	31 - 60 days	5 676	4 654	5 676	46	
	51 - 00 udys	0/0	4 004	010 C	4 (	

		GROUP		CJMN	Л
Figures in Rand thousand		2015	2014	2015	2014
10.	CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)				
	61 - 90 days	5 606	4 589	5 606	4 589
	91 - 120 days	5 365	4 510	5 365	4 510
	121 - 365 days	5 451	4 485	5 451	4 485
	> 365 days	284 276	228 646	284 276	228 646
		317 720	256 607	317 720	256 607

	GROUP		CJM	М
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
10. CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)				
Summary of debtors by customer classification				
Residential				
Current (0 - 30 days)	2 154 923	2 088 267	581 620	766 688
31 - 60 days	386 728	573 747	137 772	216 823
61 - 90 days	291 081	553 564	102 590	206 213
91 - 120 days	282 468	408 621	112 987	157 061
121 - 365 days	2 846 044	3 169 922	679 227	906 652
> 365 days	2 515 952	5 166 509	1 824 226	1 519 298
	8 477 196	11 960 630	3 438 422	3 772 735
Less: Allowance for impairment	(6 467 649)	(9 731 092)	(3 086 931)	(3 326 514
	2 009 547	2 229 538	351 491	446 221
Residential - Past due and impaired		~~~~~~		~~~~~~
Current (0 - 30 days)	1 013 736	937 377	486 171	667 389
31 - 60 days	205 040	283 248	116 763	190 156
61 - 90 days	150 037	319 795	87 093	179 636
91 - 120 days	260 311	358 824	95 833	137 909
121 - 365 days	2 430 100	2 946 102	573 248	794 657
> 365 days	2 408 425	4 885 746	1 727 823	1 356 767
	6 467 649	9 731 092	3 086 931	3 326 514
Residential - Past due and not impaired				
Current (0 - 30 days)	1 141 187	1 150 890	89 923	93 858
31 - 60 days	181 687	290 499	20 952	26 447
61 - 90 days	141 044	233 769	15 441	25 085
91 - 120 days	22 156	49 797	17 100	19 069
121 - 365 days	415 944	223 820	105 924	111 828
> 365 days	107 529	280 763	102 151	169 934
	2 009 547	2 229 538	351 491	446 221

	GROUP		CJMM	
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
10. CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)				
Industrial/ commercial				
Current (0 - 30 days)	2 166 572	2 346 457	264 014	352 744
31 - 60 days	394 778	320 163	74 058	86 855
61 - 90 days	237 990	323 871	52 575	88 027
91 - 120 days	190 914	203 374	55 099	40 192
121 - 365 days	1 174 050	1 509 466	268 048	320 104
> 365 days	2 562 691	2 817 962	1 463 282	973 892
	6 726 995	7 521 293	2 177 076	1 861 814
Less: Allowance for impairment	(3 951 474)	(5 024 609)	(1 835 527)	(1 631 419)
	2 775 521	2 496 684	341 549	230 395
Industrial/ commercial - Past due and impaired				
Current (0 - 30 days)	380 269	392 858	222 594	309 092
31 - 60 days	102 675	120 317	62 439	76 106
61 - 90 days	105 086	204 150	44 327	77 134
91 - 120 days	168 889	167 217	46 455	35 218
121 - 365 days	874 801	1 442 619	225 995	280 492
> 365 days	2 319 754	2 697 448	1 233 717	853 377
	3 951 474	5 024 609	1 835 527	1 631 419
Industrial/ commercial - Past due and not				
<b>impaired</b> Current (0 - 30 days)	1 786 302	1 953 599	41 420	43 651
31 - 60 days	292 103	1953 599	11 619	10 748
61 - 90 days	132 904	119 720	8 248	10 748
91 - 120 days	22 025	36 157	8 644	4 974
121 - 365 days	299 249	66 846	42 053	39 612
> 365 days	242 938	120 517	229 565	120 517
	2 775 521	2 496 684	341 549	230 395

	GROL	JP	CJMM		
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014	
10. CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)					
National and provincial government					
Current (0 - 30 days)	104 432	76 261	8 476	-	
31 - 60 days	31 752	24 679	6 421	-	
61 - 90 days	14 576	29 220	4 378	-	
91 - 120 days	10 615	20 057	2 729	2 961	
121 - 365 days	47 209	100 196	30 150	26 992	
> 365 days	205 600	198 563	98 341	55 152	
	414 184	448 976	150 495	85 105	
Less: Allowance for impairment	(248 014)	(308 624)	(126 885)	(74 574	
	166 170	140 352	23 610	10 531	
National and provincial government - Past due and impaired Current (0 - 30 days) 31 - 60 days 61 - 90 days 91 - 120 days 121 - 365 days > 365 days	8 303 6 626 4 600 9 121 29 366 189 998 <b>248 014</b>	3 417 1 645 2 995 13 340 96 097 191 130 <b>308 624</b>	7 146 5 414 3 692 2 301 25 420 82 912 <b>126 885</b>	2 596 23 651 48 327 <b>74 574</b>	
	248 014	300 024	120 005	14 514	
National and provincial government - Past due and not impaired					
Current (0 - 30 days)	96 129	78 633	1 330	-	
31 - 60 days	25 126	28 733	1 007	-	
61 - 90 days	9 976	19 787	687	-	
91 - 120 days	1 494	1 669	428	366	
121 - 365 days	17 844	4 099	4 730	3 340	
> 365 days	15 601	7 431	15 428	6 825	
	166 170	140 352	23 610	10 531	

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GRO	UP	CJMM		
Figu	ures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014	
10.	CONSUMER DEBTORS (continued)					
	Total					
	Current (0 -30 days)	4 425 927	4 510 985	854 110	1 119 432	
	31 - 60 days	813 258	918 589	218 251	303 678	
	61 - 90 days	543 647	906 655	159 543	294 240	
	91 - 120 days	483 997	632 052	170 815	200 214	
	121 - 365 days	4 067 303	4 779 584	977 425	1 253 748	
	> 365 days	5 284 243	8 183 034	3 385 849	3 065 369	
		15 618 375	19 930 899	5 765 993	6 236 681	
	Less: Allowance for impairment	(10 667 137)	(15 064 325)	(5 049 343)	(5 032 507	
		4 951 238	4 866 574	716 650	1 204 174	
	Less: Provision for debt impairment					
	Current (0 - 30 days)	1 402 308	1 333 652	715 911	995 337	
	31 - 60 days	314 341	405 210	184 616	271 343	
	61 - 90 days	259 723	526 940	135 112	261 693	
	91 - 120 days	438 321	539 381	144 589	179 048	
	121 - 365 days	3 334 267	4 484 818	824 663	1 120 027	
	> 365 days	4 918 177	7 774 324	3 044 452	2 759 716	
		10 667 137	15 064 325	5 049 343	5 587 164	
	Total debtor past due but not impaired					
	Current (0 - 30 days)	3 023 618	3 183 122	132 673	118 654	
	31 - 60 days	498 916	519 077	33 578	32 114	
	61 - 90 days	283 924	373 276	24 376	31 056	
	91 - 120 days	45 675	87 623	26 172	21 084	
	121 - 365 days	733 037	294 765	152 707	133 554	
	> 365 days	366 068	408 711	347 144	313 055	
		4 951 238	4 866 574	716 650	649 517	
	Reconciliation of allowance for impairment					
	Balance at beginning of the year	(15 064 325)	(13 864 256)	(5 032 507)	(4 813 706	
	Contributions to allowance	(3 747 219)	(2 863 034)	(960 002)	(846 777	
	Debt impairment transferred to Pikitup	-	-	-	529 012	
	Debt written off against allowance	8 144 407	1 662 965	943 167	98 964	
	Balance at the end of the year	(10 667 137)	(15 064 325)	(5 049 342)	(5 032 507	

The contribution to the allowance is included in the statement of financial perfomance under allowance of current receivables at amounts exclusive of Vat.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GR	GROUP		MM
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014

### 11. FINANCIAL ASSETS AT FAIR VALUE - SINKING FUND

The Debt redemption fund is a financial solution to assist the City of Johannesburg Municipality meet its financial obligations to repay previously issued bonds. The City of Johannesburg Municipality pays contributions into the fund, which is managed by a 3rd party fund manager, so as to enable the Municipality to receive contributions plus growth to repay redemptions of the bonds when they fall due. Th.is is part of the risk management framework adopted by City of Johannesburg Municipality. The financial liabilities of the fund are disclosed in note 30

The total investments pledged as collateral for CJMM Bonds.

The investments pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full.

The Terms and conditions are such that the collateralised asset upon maturity should be of the same value as the liability so that the liability can be redeemed.

Sinking Fund Maturity - 5 June 2023

### Other financial assets through profit or

	8 779 515	4 728 709	8 779 515	4 728 709
Non-Current Assets	4 588 635	2 245 558	4 588 635	2 245 558
Swaps	1 804 753	512 723	1 804 753	512 723
Bond Options	54 181	-	54 181	-
Amortising Swap	198 893	40 942	198 893	40 942
Forward rate agreement		136		136
Floating rate note	1 336 212	825 080	1 336 212	825 080
loss Bond	1 194 596	866 677	1 194 596	866 677
Other financial assets through profit or				
Current Assets	4 190 880	2 483 151	4 190 880	2 483 151
Swaps	23 377	19 619	23 377	19 619
Forward rate agreement	17 596	15 031	17 596	15 031
Cash collateral	27 364	35 501	27 364	35 501
Cash	374 618	99 263	374 618	99 263
Negotiable Certificate of Deposit	309 073	-	309 073	-
Bond repos	3 018 257		3 018 257	
Bond Options	72 120	205 624	72 120	205 624
loss Bond	348 475	2 108 113	348 475	2 108 113

	GR	GROUP		MM
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
11. FINANCIAL ASSETS AT FAIR VALUE - SINKING FU	JND (continued)			
Financial assets carried at fair value through profit or loss				
Derivatives designated and effective as hedging instruments carried at fair value	3 507 130	1 619 156	3 507 130	1 619 156
Held for trading non-derivative financial assets	5 272 385	3 109 553	5 272 385	3 109 553
	8 779 515	4 728 709	8 779 515	4 728 709
12. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS				
Cash and cash equivalents consist of:				
Cash on hand Bank	720 1 602 028	803 608 098	120 905 420	128 443 798
Call investment deposits	3 276 806		3 274 448	4 700 151
	4 879 554	5 313 656	4 179 988	5 144 077

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 12. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (continued)

Call investment deposits		
Call Deposits Standard Bank Rating - (F1+)	1 406	1 334
Fixed Deposits Standard Bank Rating - (F1+)	333 800	411 500
Fixed Deposits ABSA Rating - (F1+)	508 500	951 394
Call Deposits ABSA Rating - (F1+)	481 656	42 867
Call Deposits RMB Rating - (F1+)	1 100	1 000
Fixed Deposits RMB Rating - (F1+)	700 000	973 500
Call Deposits INVESTEC Rating - (F1)	1 250	20 687
Fixed Deposits INVESTEC Rating - (F1)	83 500	717 600
Call Deposits NEDBANK Rating - (F1+)	118 447	35 606
Fixed Deposits NEDBANK Rating - (F1+)	300 000	772 000
Call Deposits CITI BANK Rating - (F1)	1 611	2 114
Fixed Deposits CITI BANK Rating - (F1)	-	308 000
Call Deposits DEUTSCHE BANK Rating - (F1)	14 000	1 000
Fixed Deposits DEUTSCHE BANK rating - F1	242 000	-
Call Deposits ETTA Rating - (None)	2 000	48 000
Call Deposits LANDBANK Rating - (F1+)	1 050	1 050
Stanlib Call Investment Rating - (F1+)	486 486	417 103
	3 276 806	4 704 755

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### 13. ZOO ANIMALS

GROUP		2015			2014	
GROUP	Valuation d		Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Zoo animals	26 108	(2 367)	23 741	17 176	(1 930)	15 246
Reconciliation of zoo animals - GROUP - 2015						
	Opening balance	Additions	Adjustments arising from accounting for births and deaths	Disposals	Depreciation	Closing balance
Zoo animals	15 24	6 6 057		(645)	(734)	23 741
Reconciliation of zoo animals - GROUP - 2014						
	Opening balance	Additions	Adjustments arising from accounting for births and deaths	Disposals	Depreciation	Closing balance
Zoo animals	12 12	4 1 840	2 922	(1 095)	(545)	15 246

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 14. INVESTMENT PROPERTY

GROUP	2015			2014			
GIGOI	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	
Investment property	1 015 757	(343)	1 015 414	1 013 499	(320)	1 013 179	
СЈММ		2015			2014		
	Cost	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	
Investment property	1 014 946	-	1 014 946	1 012 688	-	1 012 688	

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 14. INVESTMENT PROPERTY (continued)

Reconciliation of investment property - GROUP - 2015

Investment property				Opening balance 1 013 179	Transfers 2 258	Depreciation (23)	Closing balance 1 015 414
Reconciliation of investment property - GROUP - 2014			-				
Investment property	Opening balance 1 033 471	Additions	Disposals (20 269)	Transfers -	Impairments -	Depreciation (23)	Closing balance 1 013 179
Reconciliation of investment property - CJMM - 2015							
Investment property			_	Opening balance 1 012 688	Transfers 2 258	Impairments -	Closing balance 1 014 946
Reconciliation of investment property - CJMM - 2014							
Investment property	-	Opening balance 1 032 957	Additions	Disposals (20 269)	Transfers -	Impairments -	Closing balance 1 012 688

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 15. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

GROUP		2015			2014	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value
Land	8 279 389		8 279 389	7 884 423		7 884 423
Buildings	12 354 952	(3 712 600)		11 892 844	(3 300 956)	8 591 888
Plant and machinery	13 269 247	(2 594 118)		11 067 360	(2 193 515)	8 873 845
Furniture and fixtures	571 795	(379 759)		557 140	(351 973)	205 167
Motor vehicles	697 039	(381 254)		567 926	(284 987)	282 939
Office equipment	894 514	(578 401)		854 202	(497 558)	356 644
Computer equipment	263 537	(153 534)		206 841	(140 083)	66 758
Infrastructure	11 101 180	(3 574 479)		11 525 313	(3 520 782)	8 004 531
Community assets	1 456 434	(486 949)		1 368 656	(417 305)	951 351
Bins and containers	274 816	(197 981)		250 578	(197 253)	53 325
Work in progress	11 628 794	(4 915)		6 682 780	-	6 682 780
Communication equipment	22 191	(11 205)		15 971	(11 712)	4 259
Fare collection equipment	7 566	(7 059)		8 296	<b>(7 521</b> )	775
Finance lease assets	56 807	(20 420)		43 471	(19 339)	24 132
Laboratory equipment	40 884	(23 865)	17 019	36 230	(20 644)	15 586
Minor plant	122 429	(77 659)	44 770	94 086	(71 797)	22 289
Signage	5 842	(3 164)	) 2 678	6 033	(3 143)	2 890
Specialised vehicles	1 046 046	(329 472)		1 018 778	(304 518)	714 260
Stage equipment	14 099	(5 960)		14 102	(5 235)	8 867
Tools and loose gear	66 879	(2 025)	64 854	2 297	(1 794)	503
Wastewater network	2 166 527	(187 113)	) 1 979 414	1 773 149	(166 303)	1 606 846
Water network	3 799 743	(488 266)	) 3 311 477	3 377 515	(415 716)	2 961 799
Library books	647 625	(594 959)		626 384	(575 623)	50 761
Emergency equipment.	52 632	(15 727)		48 246	(15 024)	33 222
Other	724	(624)	) 100	5 013	(4 882)	131
Total	68 841 691	(13 831 508)	55 010 183	59 927 634	(12 527 663)	47 399 971

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 15. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

СЈММ	2015			2014			
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	
and	8 197 741	-	8 197 741	7 819 746	-	7 819 746	
uildings	10 907 370	(3 091 156)	7 816 214	10 570 102	(2 714 091)		
lant and equipment	370 146	(205 271)		365 152	(162 773)		
Irniture and fittings	475 134	(328 732)	146 402	472 733	(307 362)	165 371	
lotor vehicles	381 281	(287 833)	93 448	327 331	(225 167)	102 164	
ffice equipment	836 619	(539 385)	297 234	798 774	(459 274)	339 500	
rastructure	10 585 183	(3 351 499)	7 233 684	10 946 166	(3 296 455)	7 649 711	
nmunity assets	1 456 434	(486 949)	969 485	1 368 656	(417 305)	951 351	
and containers	12 204	(7 219)	4 985	10 634	(6 530)	4 104	
progress	7 694 475	-	7 694 475	2 912 875	-	2 912 875	
sed vehicles	725 861	(200 663)	525 198	719 497	(161 357)	558 140	
books	647 625	(594 959)	52 666	626 384	(575 623)	50 761	
jency equipment	52 632	(15 727)	36 905	48 246	(15 024)	33 222	
	724	(624)	100	717	(586)	131	
	42 343 429	(9 110 017)	33 233 412	36 987 013	(8 341 547)	28 645 466	

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 15. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - GROUP - 2015

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Developer Funded network	Depreciation	Impairment loss	Closing balance
Land	7 884 423	347 061	(11 589)	59 494	-	-	-	8 279 389
Buildings	8 591 888	109 880	(101)	347 858	-	(407 173)	-	8 642 352
Plant and equipment	8 873 845	96 534	(2 696)	2 118 813	-	(411 018)	(349)	10 675 129
Furniture and fittings	205 167	29 284	(1 328)	10 914	-	(52 001)	-	192 036
Motor vehicles	282 939	95 883	(1 577)	-	-	(61 460)	-	315 785
Office equipment	356 644	92 745	(2 833)	13 211	-	(143 654)	-	316 113
Computer equipment	66 758	33 746	(1 250)	39 128	-	(28 379)	-	110 003
Infrastructure	8 004 531	145 534	(61 096)	167 011	-	(729 279)	-	7 526 701
Community assets	951 351	50 463	(7)	71 206	-	(103 528)	-	969 485
Bins and containers	53 325	29 411	(6)	323	-	(6 218)	-	76 835
Work in progress	6 682 780	8 518 274	-	(3 572 260)	-	-	(4 915)	11 623 879
Communication equipment	4 259	8 877	(109)	13	-	(2 054)	-	10 986
Fare collection equipment	775	-	(5)	-	-	(263)	-	507
Finance lease assets	24 132	24 774	(93)	-	-	(12 426)	-	36 387
Laboratory equipment	15 586	4 811	-	-	-	(3 378)	-	17 019
Minor plant	22 289	23 240	(7)	8 032	-	(8 784)	-	44 770
Signage	2 890	35	-	-	-	(247)	-	2 678
Specialised vehicles	714 260	113 536	(3 972)	-	-	(106 060)	(1 190)	716 574
Stage equipment	8 867	61	(12)	-	-	(777)	-	8 139
Tools and loose gear	503	64 629	(11)	(17)	-	(250)	-	64 854
Wastewater network	1 606 846	20 423	-	282 876	90 079	(20 810)	-	1 979 414
Water network	2 961 799	256 225	-	108 626	57 377	(72 550)	-	3 311 477
Library books	50 761	11 573	-	-	-	(9 668)	-	52 666
Emergency equipment	33 222	7 838	(41)	-	-	(4 114)	-	36 905
Other	131	-	(1)	-	-	(30)	-	100
	47 399 971	10 084 837	(86 734)	(344 772)	147 456	(2 184 121)	(6 454)	55 010 183

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 15. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - GROUP - 2014

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Developer Funded network	Depreciation	Impairment loss	Closing balance
Land	8 016 576	426 288	(581 035)	22 594	-	-	-	7 884 423
Buildings	8 819 252	124 162	(267)	109 990	-	(461 249)	-	8 591 888
Plant and machinery	8 193 577	206 420	(3 741)	797 200	-	(319 503)	(108)	8 873 845
Furniture and fixtures	165 919	30 923	(6 353)	66 638	-	(51 960)	-	205 167
Motor vehicles	209 148	122 043	(1 826)	-	-	(46 426)	-	282 939
Office equipment	199 174	160 760	(942)	105 567	-	(107 915)	-	356 644
Computer equipment	64 784	26 173	(674)	2 588	-	(26 113)	-	66 758
Infrastructure	7 652 894	308 163	(293)	677 538	-	(633 771)	-	8 004 531
Community assets	553 850	38 596	(60)	420 137	-	(61 172)	-	951 351
Bins and containers	45 875	13 617	-	267	-	(6 4 3 4 )	-	53 325
Work in progress	3 638 903	5 354 058	(540)	(2 309 641)	-	-	-	6 682 780
Communication equipment	3 004	2 389	(12)	-	-	(1 122)	-	4 259
Fare collection equipment	1 271	-	-	-	-	(496)	-	775
Finance lease assets	13 717	22 731	(30)	-	-	(12 286)	-	24 132
Laboratory equipment	16 917	2 477	(27)	-	-	(3 781)	-	15 586
Minor plant	22 306	7 187	(51)	135	-	(7 288)	-	22 289
Signage	2 782	333	-	-	-	(225)	-	2 890
Specialised vehicles	478 309	382 991	(48 577)	-	-	(89 924)	(8 539)	714 260
Stage equipment	2 697	6 455	(10)	-	-	(275)	-	8 867
Tools and loose gear	949	14	(154)	-	-	(306)	-	503
Wastewater network	1 511 894	14 817	-	15 740	82 646	(18 251)	-	1 606 846
Water network	2 720 790	190 560	(2 359)	46 870	64 043	(58 105)	-	2 961 799
Library books	53 105	5 364	-	-	-	(7 708)	-	50 761
Emergency equipment	15 656	14 588	(239)	6 750	-	(3 533)	-	33 222
Other	184	-	-	-	-	(53)	-	131
	42 403 533	7 461 109	(647 190)	(37 627)	146 689	(1 917 896)	(8 647)	47 399 971

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 15. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - CJMM - 2015

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Closing balance
Land	7 819 746	347 061	(11 589)	42 523	-	8 197 741
Buildings	7 856 011	72 578	(101)	251 320	(363 594)	7 816 214
Plant and equipment	202 379	13 888	(1 275)	-	(50 117)	164 875
Furniture and fittings	165 371	18 220	(298)	7 742	(44 633)	146 402
Motor vehicles	102 164	66	(1 123)	-	(7 659)	93 448
Office equipment	339 500	82 061	(355)	13 221	(137 193)	297 234
Infrastructure	7 649 711	104 878	-	167 011	(687 916)	7 233 684
Community assets	951 351	50 463	(7)	71 206	(103 528)	969 485
Bins and containers	4 104	1 346	(6)	323	(782)	4 985
Work in progress	2 912 875	5 576 741	-	(795 141)	-	7 694 475
Specialised vehicles	558 140	40 932	-	-	(73 874)	525 198
Library books	50 761	11 573	-	-	(9 668)	52 666
Emergency equipment	33 222	7 838	(41)	-	(4 114)	36 905
Other	131	-	(1)	-	(30)	100
	28 645 466	6 327 645	(14 796)	(241 795)	(1 483 108)	33 233 412

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 15. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

Reconciliation of property, plant and equipment - CJMM - 2014

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Depreciation	Closing balance
Land	7 951 900	426 284	(581 035)	22 597	-	7 819 746
Buildings	8 120 379	69 749	-	92 303	(426 420)	7 856 011
Plant and equipment	111 754	114 940	(152)	3 968	(28 131)	202 379
Furniture and fittings	134 753	14 802	(5 909)	66 504	(44 779)	165 371
Motor vehicles	113 236	13 531	(1 123)	-	(23 480)	102 164
Office equipment	179 675	156 991	(786)	105 544	(101 924)	339 500
Infrastructure	7 300 640	262 927	(293)	677 538	(591 101)	7 649 711
Community assets	553 850	38 596	(60)	420 137	(61 172)	951 351
Bins and containers	4 853	20	-	267	(1 036)	4 104
Work in progress	1 758 751	2 572 387	-	(1 418 263)	-	2 912 875
Specialised vehicles	320 733	326 286	(42 708)	-	(46 171)	558 140
Library books	53 105	5 364	-	-	(7 708)	50 761
Emergency equipment	15 656	14 588	(239)	6 750	(3 533)	33 222
Other	185	-	-	-	(54)	131
	26 619 470	4 016 465	(632 305)	(22 655)	(1 335 509)	28 645 466

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 15. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (continued)

The following leased assets are included in Property, Plant and Equipment listed above

GROUP		2015			2014	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation	Carrying value
Plant and equipment	20 737	(11 303)		20 737	(4 748)	
Office equipment	87 562	(12 292)	) 75 270	61 031	(14 193)	46 838
Leasehold property	1 649	(593)	) 1 056	543	(477)	66
Leasehold improvement	8 692	(4 382)	4 310	8 671	(3 764)	4 907
BRT Busses	355 021	(242 006)		355 021	(131 191)	223 830
Red Fleet	337 956	(160 776)	177 180	299 624	(219 482)	80 142
Total	811 617	(431 352)	380 265	745 627	(373 855)	371 772
СЈММ		2015			2014	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated depreciation	Carrying value
Office equipment	63 114	(21 057)	42 057	40 696	(21 156)	19 540
Red fleet	337 956	(242 006)	95 950	299 624	(219 482)	80 142
BRT Busses	355 021	(160 776)		355 021	(131 191)	
Total	756 091	(423 839)	332 252	695 341	(371 829)	323 512

A register containing the information required by section 63 of the Municipal Finance Management Act is available for inspection at the registered office of the municipality.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 16. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

GROUP		2015				2014			
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value			
Additional capacity rights Servitudes	235 867 1 727	(49 150)	) 186 717 1 727	235 867 1 727	(43 417)	192 450 1 727			
Licences and franchises	285	(190)	) 95	285	(190)	95			
Computer software, internally generated	13 946	(982)		14 231	(5 209)				
Computer software	2 045 711	(1 379 533)		1 649 533	(1 230 759)				
Intangible assets under development	15 231		15 231	-	-	-			
otal	2 312 767	(1 429 855)	) 882 912	1 901 643	(1 279 575)	622 068			
JMM		2015			2014				
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated amortisation and accumulated impairment	Carrying value			
Computer software	1 719 133	(1 218 220)	) 500 913	1 396 272	(1 065 241)	331 031			

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 16. INTANGIBLE ASSETS (continued)

Reconciliation of intangible assets - GROUP - 2015

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Amortisation	Impairment reversal	Closing balance
Additional capacity rights	192 450	-	-	-	(5 733)	-	186 717
Servitudes	1 727	-	-	-	-	-	1 727
Licences and franchises	95	285	-	-	(285)	-	95
Computer software, internally generated	9 022	-	-	-	(130)	4 072	12 964
Computer software	418 774	128 074	(1 445)	340 728	(219 953)	-	666 178
Intangible assets under development	-	15 231	-	-	-	-	15 231
	622 068	143 590	(1 445)	340 728	(226 101)	4 072	882 912

### Reconciliation of intangible assets - GROUP - 2014

	Opening	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Amortisation	Closing
	balance					balance
Additional capacity rights	198 182	1 685	-	-	(7 417)	192 450
Servitudes	1 727	-	-	-	-	1 727
Licences and franchises	-	285	-	-	(190)	95
Computer software, internally generated	9 230	-	-	-	(208)	9 022
Computer software	282 374	288 997	(705)	37 530	(189 422)	418 774
	491 513	290 967	(705)	37 530	(197 237)	622 068

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 16. INTANGIBLE ASSETS (continued)

Reconciliation of intangible assets - CJMM - 2015

Computer software	Opening balance 331 031	Additions 116 961	Disposals -	Transfers 237 752	Amortisation (184 831)	Impairment loss -	Closing balance 500 913
Reconciliation of intangible assets - CJMM - 2014							
	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Amortisation	Impairment loss	Closing balance
Computer software	197 117	263 327	-	22 558	(151 971)	-	331 031

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 17. HERITAGE ASSETS

GROUP		2015			2014	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated impairment losses	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated impairment losses	Carrying value
Art collections, antiques and exhibits Historical monuments Historical buildings	527 593 14 457 41 104	-	14 457	522 612 14 457 41 104	-	522 612 14 457 41 104
Total	583 154	-		578 173	-	
СЈММ		2015			2014	
	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated impairment losses	Carrying value	Cost / Valuation	Accumulated impairment losses	Carrying value
Art collections, antiques and exhibits Historical monuments Historical buildings	526 000 14 457 41 104	-	526 000 14 457 41 104	521 019 14 457 41 104	-	521 019 14 457 41 104
Total	581 561	-	581 561	576 580	-	576 580
Reconciliation of heritage assets - GROUP - 2015						
			Opening balance	Additions	Transfers	Closing balance
Art collections, antiques and exhibits Historical monuments Historical buildings			522 612 14 457 41 104	3 195 - -	1 786 - -	527 593 14 457 41 104
5			578 173	3 195	1 786	583 154

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 17. HERITAGE ASSETS (continued)

Reconciliation of heritage assets - GROUP - 2014

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Closing balance
Art collections, antiques and exhibits	522 347	402	(234)	97	522 612
Historical monuments	14 457	-	-	-	14 457
Historical buildings	19 625	21 479	-	-	41 104
	556 429	21 881	(234)	97	578 173

#### Reconciliation of heritage assets - CJMM - 2015

	Opening balance	Additions	Transfers	Closing balance
Art collections, antiques and exhibits	521 019	3 195	1 786	526 000
Historical monuments	14 457	-	-	14 457
Historical buildings	41 104	-	-	41 104
	576 580	3 195	1 786	581 561

#### Reconciliation of heritage assets - CJMM - 2014

	Opening balance	Additions	Disposals	Transfers	Closing balance
Art collections, antiques and exhibits	520 754	402	(234)	97	521 019
Historical monuments	14 457	-	-	-	14 457
Historical buildings	19 625	21 479	-	-	41 104
	554 836	21 881	(234)	97	576 580

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GF	OUP		CJMM		
Figu	ures in Rand thousand	2015	2	2014	2015	2014	
18.	INVESTMENTS IN MUNICIPAL OWNED ENTITIES						
	Gross investment		olding% )15	6 holding 2014	Carrying amount 2015	Carrying amount 2014	
	City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd		100 % 100 %	100 % 100 % 100 %	112 466	103 113 112 466	
	Johannesburg City Parks Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd		100 % 100 % 100 %	100 % 100 % 100 %	16 278	29 958 16 278 54 774	
	Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd		100 % 100 %	100 % 100 %	31 315	123 840 31 315	
	Johannesburg Civic Theatre The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd		100 % 100 %	100 % 100 %	20 000	1 784 20 000	
					551 133	493 528	
	Impairments				Carrying amount 2015	Carrying amount 2014	
	Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			-	(54 774) (31 315)	(31 315)	
				-	(86 089)	(86 089)	
	Net investment				Carrying amount 2015	Carrying amount 2014	
	City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd				103 115 112 466	103 115 112 466	
	Johannesburg City Parks Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd				29 958 16 278	29 958 16 278	
	Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd				181 445 -	123 840 -	
	Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd				-	-	
	The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd				1 784 20 000	1 784 20 000	
				-	465 046	407 441	

CJMM has investments in the following Municipal Entities that have a carrying amount less than R1,000

Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd - R120.00 Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd - R 200.

Investments in ME's includes shareholder loans with no fixed repayment terms and interest.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	Р	CJMN	N
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
19. INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURE				
Name of company	Carrying amount 2015	Carrying amount 2014	Carrying amount 2015	Carrying amount 2014
Golden Triangle Development Company (Pty) Ltd Joshco Madulamoho Joint Venture (JMJV)	24 968 24 801	18 389 25 431	1	1

### Principal activities and reporting dates of Joint Ventures

Name of entity	Principal activity	Reporting date	e Period of results included
Golden Triangle Development Company (Pty) Ltd	50%	2015/06/30	01/07/2014 - 30/06/2015
Joshco Madulamoho Joint Venture (JMJV)	55%	2015/06/30	01/07/2014 - 30/06/2015

The JMJV is an investment between Joshco and Madulamoho for social rental housing. The separate Annual Financial Statements of the Joint Venture are available at the registered office of the entity. There are no contingent liabilities, contingent assets or commitments relating to the Joint Venture.

### Restrictions on a Joint Venture to distribute its reserves

The Joint Venture's ability to distribute its reserves is not restricted in terms of the Joint Venture agreement.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROU	JP	CJN	/IM
igu	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
).	INVESTMENTS IN ASSOCIATE				
•.					
	Name of entity Friedshelf 128 (Pty) Ltd	20 046	18 108	-	
	The carrying amounts of associates are shown net of	f impairment losses.			
	Movements in carrying value				
	Opening balance	18 108	15 847		
	Share of surplus	1 938	2 261		
		20 046	18 108		
	Principal activities, country of incorporation and	voting power			
	The company is incorporated in South Africa and ope	erating in the property in	dustry.		
	Summary of controlled entity's interest in associa	ite			
	Total assets	44 922	41 406		
	Total liabilities	(6 220)	(6 872)		
	Revenue	6 014	5 561		
	Surplus (deficit)	4 167	4 193		
	Surplus (deficit) Associates with different reporting dates	4 167	4 193		
		ay of February. As the	year-end of the		
1.	Associates with different reporting dates The financial year-end of the associate is the last d three months apart., the entity made estimates to the	ay of February. As the	year-end of the		
1.	Associates with different reporting dates The financial year-end of the associate is the last d three months apart., the entity made estimates to the each other.	ay of February. As the	year-end of the		
1.	Associates with different reporting dates The financial year-end of the associate is the last d three months apart., the entity made estimates to the each other. DEFERRED TAX	ay of February. As the	year-end of the		
۱.	Associates with different reporting dates The financial year-end of the associate is the last d three months apart., the entity made estimates to the each other. DEFERRED TAX Deferred tax liabilities Property, Plant and Equipment	ay of February. As the e accounts of the assoc (2 068 440)	year-end of the iate to bring the find		
1.	Associates with different reporting dates The financial year-end of the associate is the last d three months apart., the entity made estimates to the each other. DEFERRED TAX Deferred tax liabilities Property, Plant and Equipment Prepaid expenditure	ay of February. As the e accounts of the assoc (2 068 440) (43 628)	year-end of the iate to bring the (1 688 952) 33 883		
1.	Associates with different reporting dates The financial year-end of the associate is the last d three months apart., the entity made estimates to the each other. DEFERRED TAX Deferred tax liabilities Property, Plant and Equipment Prepaid expenditure Total deferred tax liabilities	ay of February. As the e accounts of the assoc (2 068 440) (43 628) (2 112 068) 16 673	year-end of the iate to bring the (1 688 952) 33 883 (1 655 069) 7 942		
1.	Associates with different reporting dates The financial year-end of the associate is the last d three months apart., the entity made estimates to the each other. DEFERRED TAX Deferred tax liabilities Property, Plant and Equipment Prepaid expenditure Total deferred tax liabilities deferred tax assets	(2 068 440) (43 628) (2 112 068)	year-end of the iate to bring the (1 688 952) 33 883 (1 655 069)		

Deferred tax liability Deferred tax asset	(2 112 068) 442 285	(1 655 069) 204 471	-	-
			-	
Total net deferred tax liability	(1 669 783)	(1 450 598)	-	-

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 22. FINANCIAL ASSETS BY CATEGORY

The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied to the line items below:

#### **GROUP - 2015**

Cost	At fair value	At amortised cost	Total
-	4 190 880	-	4 190 880
1 191 627	-	-	1 191 627
137 798	-	-	137 798
4 951 238	-	-	4 951 238
3 276 806	-	-	3 276 806
1 602 748	-	-	1 602 748
63 375	4 588 635	-	4 652 010
11 223 592	8 779 515	-	20 003 107
	1 191 627 137 798 4 951 238 3 276 806 1 602 748 63 375	- 4 190 880 1 191 627 - 137 798 - 4 951 238 - 3 276 806 - 1 602 748 - 63 375 4 588 635	-       4 190 880       -         1 191 627       -       -         137 798       -       -         4 951 238       -       -         3 276 806       -       -         1 602 748       -       -         63 375       4 588 635       -

### **GROUP - 2014**

	Cost	At fair value	At amortised cost	Total
Current Assets				
Other financial assets	-	2 483 151	186 361	2 669 512
Trade and other receivables	929 566	-	-	929 566
Receivables from non-exchange	190 517	-	-	190 517
Consumer debtors	4 866 574	-	-	4 866 574
Call investment deposits	4 704 755	-	-	4 704 755
Bank balances and cash	608 901	-	-	608 901
Non-Current Assets				
Other financial assets	65 849	2 245 558	-	2 311 407
	11 366 162	4 728 709	186 361	16 281 232

#### CJMM - 2015

	Loans and receivables	At fair value	Total
Current Assets			
Loans to Municipal Entities	1 009 887	-	1 009 887
Other financial assets	-	4 190 880	4 190 880
Trade and other receivables	3 932 817	-	3 932 817
Receivables from non-exchange	112 487	-	112 487
Consumer debtors	716 651	-	716 651
Call investment deposits	3 274 448	-	3 274 448
Bank balances and cash	905 540	-	905 540
Non-Current Assets			
Loans to Municipal Entities	5 648 642	-	5 648 642
Other financial assets	36 746	4 588 635	4 625 381
	15 637 218	8 779 515	24 416 733

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 22. FINANCIAL ASSETS BY CATEGORY (continued)

### CJMM - 2014

	Cost	At fair value	At amortised cost	Total
Current Assets				
Loans to Municipal Entities	980 592	-	-	980 592
Other financial assets	-	2 483 151	186 361	2 669 512
Trade and other receivables	3 308 366	-	-	3 308 366
Receivables from non-exchange	171 787	-	-	171 787
Consumer debtors	687 147	-	-	687 147
Call investment deposits	4 700 151	-	-	4 700 151
Bank balances and cash	443 926	-	-	443 926
Non-Current Assets				
Loans to Municipal Entities	4 997 925	-	-	4 997 925
Other financial assets	65 849	2 245 558	-	2 311 407
	15 355 743	4 728 709	186 361	20 270 813

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GRO	UP	CJMM	
Figu	ires in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
23.	LOANS AND BORROWINGS				
	Non Current portion of loans and borrowings - At amortised cost				
	Structured loans *	29 942	43 276	29 942	43 276
	Development Bank Southern Africa	2 916 186	1 269 175	2 899 533	1 251 844
	Listed bonds	6 475 667	7 709 000	6 475 667	7 709 000
	Other loans and borrowings	4 688 102	3 377 460	4 688 102	3 377 460
		14 109 897	12 398 911	14 093 244	12 381 580
	Current portion of loans and borrowings - At amortised cost				
	Structured loans *	13 333	219 066	13 333	219 066
	Development Bank Southern Africa	73 550	75 230	72 871	74 602
	Listed bonds	1 233 333	333 333	1 233 333	333 333
	Other loans and borrowings	253 878	343 550	253 881	343 550
		1 574 094	971 179	1 573 418	970 551
		15 683 991	13 370 090	15 666 662	13 352 131

\* Structured loans are secured by an investment which will redeem the loan at maturity.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	P	CJMM	
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
24. FINANCE LEASE OBLIGATIONS				
Minimum lease payments due				
- within one year	143 243	115 497	126 871	104 110
<ul> <li>in second to fifth year</li> </ul>	234 425	244 864	224 430	232 347
- later than five years	82 486	129 801	78 095	128 191
	460 154	490 162	429 396	464 648
less: future finance charges	(90 400)	(121 420)	(88 667)	(120 589)
Present value of minimum lease payments	369 754	368 742	340 729	344 059
Present value of minimum lease payments due				
- within one year	127 470	87 253	102 830	76 193
- in second to fifth year inclusive	170 031	163 109	166 036	151 082
- later than five years	72 253	118 380	71 863	116 784
	369 754	368 742	340 729	344 059
Non-current liabilities	242 284	281 489	237 899	267 866
Current liabilities	127 470	87 253	102 830	76 193
	369 754	368 742	340 729	344 059

### **Office Equipment**

The Group leases certain office equipment and these are classified as a finance lease. The lease terms range between 2 to 5 years. The effective interest rate on the leases ranges between 7.35% and 19.25% per annum.

#### **Plant and Equipment**

The Group leases plant and equipment. The lease term is 3 years and the average effective borrowing rate is 10%. The entity's obligations under finance leases are secured by the lessor's charge over the leased assets.

#### **Specialised vehicles**

The Group leases BRT vehicles and emergency service vehicles. The lease terms for these vehicles range between 10 to 12 years. The effective interest rate on the leases are between 9.7% and 15.43%.

The carrying values of these leased assets are included under property, plant and equipment. Refer to note 15.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	UP	CJMM		
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014	
25. TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES					
Financial liabilities					
Accrued interest	124 878	120 919	124 878	120 919	
Credit balances in consumer debtors	1 686 721	1 626 671	981 350	916 162	
Engineering fees	95 538	92 357	95 538	92 357	
Other creditors	1 187 581	1 338 061	626 556	787 511	
Related party creditor	-	-	6 331 298	6 581 810	
Retentions	235 059	220 666	67 347	73 410	
Trade payables	7 856 991	5 499 683	3 089 514	1 420 442	
	11 186 768	8 898 357	11 316 481	9 992 611	
Other liabilities					
Accrued bonus	192 369	200 982	12 384	24 085	
Accrued leave pay	538 857	516 608	269 831	255 336	
Accrued staff 13th cheques	13 295	11 881	-	-	
Operating lease payables	23 230	28 400	4 967	8 407	
Payments received in advance	462 651	675 074	15 609	14 451	
	1 230 402	1 432 945	302 791	302 279	
	12 417 170	10 331 302	11 619 272	10 294 890	

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		CJMM	
igures in	Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
e. OBLI	GATIONS ARISING FROM CONDITIONAL GRAM	ITS AND RECEIPTS			
Unsp	ent conditional grants and receipts comprises	of:			
Unsp	ent conditional grants and receipts				
Provi	ncial grants : Capital projects	172 643	151 796	167 788	151 796
Provi	ncial grants : Top Structure of houses	108	209 827	108	209 827
Provi	ncial grants : Operating projects	9 983	8 296	9 983	8 296
2010	Public transport (SPTN)	1 819	398 840	1 819	398 840
Neigl	bourhood development partnership grant	19 158	9 849	19 158	9 849
	nded Public Works Programme (EPWP)	6 382	19 033	6 382	19 033
Provi	ncial grant : Jozi Ihlomihle (HIV/Aids)	95	696	95	696
	c Transport Network Grant	3 502	12 252	3 502	12 252
Socia	al housing grant	74 680	71 996	-	
	ent public contributions and donations	5 890	27 028	2 059	3 792
		294 260	909 613	210 894	814 381
Non-	current liabilities	41 206	39 440	-	
	ent liabilities	253 054	870 173	210 894	814 381
		294 260	909 613	210 894	814 381

Revenue received from conditional grants, donations and funding are recognised as revenue to the extent that the municipality has complied with any of the criteria, conditions or obligations embodied in the agreement.

A liability is recognised for any unfulfilled conditions, criteria, obligations and other contingencies attaching to government grants or assistance.

See note 39 for reconciliation of grants from National/Provincial Government.

These amounts are invested in a ring-fenced investment until utilised.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

### 27. PROVISIONS

### **Reconciliation of provisions - GROUP - 2015**

	Opening Balance	Additions	Reversals/ Settlement	Interest	Total
			during the year		
Legal proceedings: SCM	29 350	-	(250)	-	29 100
Legacy litigation	18 500	-	(18 500)	-	-
Provision for consultation fees	23 737	-	(23 7 37)	-	-
Provision for damages claim	20 000	-	-	-	20 000
Provision for cleaning services	1 800	-	(1 800)	-	-
Environmental rehabilitation: Closed landfill site	144 460	-	(1 195)	8 078	151 343
Environmental rehabilitation: Open landfill sites	425 226	-	(61 096)	23 747	387 877
Other provisions	96 923	-	(353)	3 903	100 473
	759 996	-	(106 931)	35 728	688 793

### Reconciliation of provisions - GROUP - 2014

	Opening Balance	Additions	Reversals/ Settlement	Interest	Total
			during the year		
Legal proceedings: SCM	-	29 350	-	-	29 350
Legacy litigation	-	18 500	-	-	18 500
Provision for consultation fees	-	23 737	-	-	23 737
Provision for damages claim	20 000	-	-	-	20 000
Provision for cleaning services	-	1 800	-	-	1 800
Escalation on contracts	63	-	(63)	-	-
Environmental rehabilitation: Closed landfill site	142 229	-	(5 016)	7 247	144 460
Environmental rehabilitation: Open landfill sites	386 661	18 865	-	19 700	425 226
Other provisions	72 405	20 839	-	3 679	96 923
	621 358	113 091	(5 079)	30 626	759 996

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 27. PROVISIONS (continued)

#### **Reconciliation of provisions - CJMM - 2015**

	Opening Balance	Additions		ised/written k during the year	Interest	Total
Provision for consultation fees	23 737		-	(23 737)	-	-
Provision for damages claim Provision for cleaning services	20 000 1 800		-	- (1 800)	-	20 000
Other Provisions	76 084		-	-	3 903	79 987
	121 621		-	(25 537)	3 903	99 987

#### Reconciliation of provisions - CJMM - 2014

	Opening Balance	Additions	Utilised/written back during the		Interest	Total
Provision for consultation fees	-	23 737	-	year -	-	23 737
Provision for damages	20 000	-	-	-	-	20 000
Provision for cleaning services	-	1 800	-	-	-	1 800
Other Provisions	72 405	-	-	-	3 679	76 084
-	92 405	25 537	-	-	3 679	121 621
Non-current liabilities Current liabilities			659 630 29 163	665 770 94 226	99 987 -	96 084 25 537
		-	688 793	759 996	99 987	121 621

### Legal proceedings: SCM

The legal claims provision relates to the litigation in progress that is likely to be paid by Johannesburg Road Agency based on the previous legal actions taken against the entity. The legal claims emanates from supply chain related matters which occurred in the past and the employment related matters from former and current employees against JRA.

#### Legacy

Provision to the settlement of a legacy litigation matter against Joburg Market SOC Limited. The outflow of economic benefits was probable and reliably measurable at year end. In terms of GRAP 19 principles a provision was raised.

#### **Provision for Cleaning Services**

The claim is for cleaning services rendered to the City of Johannesburg on the basis of a verbal contract. The court found against the City and awarded damages to the amount of R1 800 000,00 as a full and final settlement of the matter.

The liability was settled in full.

#### Provision for Damages Claim

A claim for damages was instituted by the Plaintiff against the City of Johannesburg(CJMM) as a result of the construction of the Grayston fly-over bridge for loss of income. The total claim was R10,000,000.00 plus interest of R10,000,000.00 and is not insured. SCA has ruled against the City on the matter of whether the construction amounted to a diversion.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 27. PROVISIONS (continued)

#### Provision for Consultation fees

This claim is by a firm of consulting engineers against CJMM for work done on the construction of the 2010 Soccer World Cup Stadium.

The liability was settled in full.

#### Environmental rehabilitation: landfill sites (closed and open)

The provision relates to Pikitup SOC landfill sites. On an annual basis, management has to determine an accurate estimate of the environmental obligation to rehabilitate the various landfill sites upon closure. During this process management placed reliance upon the final Landfill Airspace

Estimation Report as compiled by an independent consulting engineer for the technical data utilised in the provision and

lifespan estimates.

The calculations of the landfill rehabilitation are based on the following assumptions in line with the permits requirements

and consistent with prior years.

The final side slopes for each landfills are 1:3;

- The cover to waste ratio is 1:5 for each site;
- The growth rates for each site are based on zero growth;
- The final landfill footprint extends over the entire landfill property size (excluding infrastructure and a 20m buffer

zone between the site boundary and the toe of the landfill; and

The density of the waste is calculated using both the survey and weighbridge data.

The final landfill airspace estimation was performed by the Topographical surveyor appointed by the Company who has

extensive experience in the field with an Advance Mine Survey Certificate - M3.

Management has estimated the provision, however there is uncertainty as to when the liability will be settled.

#### Other Provisions

Provision for Soweto Pension Fund

The provision is for the settlemen tby of the Soweto Pension Fund which was approved by the Mayoral Committee on the 2nd of December 2010.

The Settlement amount should have been paid in cash on or before 30 June 2011, as a result the interest at the rate of 65% of the prime interest has been calculated.

Management has estimated a provision amount, however there is still uncertainty as to when the liability will be settled.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	JP	CJM	M
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
28. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS				
28.1Post-retirement liabilities				
Post-Retirement Medical Aid Plan Post-Retirement Housing Subsidy Plan Retirement Gratuity Plan	(1 320 453) (4 450) (439 552)	(1 339 856) (1 913) (500 610)	(1 240 425) (238) (163 947)	(1 241 051) (351) (190 546)
	(1 764 455)	(1 842 379)	(1 404 610)	(1 431 948)

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	G	GROUP		JMM
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014

### 28. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS (continued)

#### 28.1.1Unfunded post-retirement medical aid plan

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality has obligations to subsidise medical aid contributions in respect of certain qualifying staff and pensioners and their surviving spouses. Post-retirement medical aid subsidies are provided to pensioners, in the service of the CJMM as at 1 January 2001, and employees 50 years and older on 1 July 2003 whilst contributory members to either LA Health or Key Health medical schemes. The subsidy remains payable only for as long as members remain contributory members to these medical schemes.

#### Amounts recognised in the Statement of financial position

Present value of unfunded obligation	1 320 453	1 339 856	1 240 425	1 241 051
Movements for the year				
Opening balance Benefits paid Net expense recognised in the statement of financial performance	1 339 856 (101 901) 82 498	1 345 424 (101 179) 95 611	1 241 051 (99 177) 98 551	1 247 105 (99 200) 93 146
	1 320 453	1 339 856	1 240 425	1 241 051
Net expense recognised in the Statement of financial p	erformance			
Current service cost	4 680	5 220	2 924	3 027
Interest cost	114 859	101 984	106 157	94 296
				• •=-
Interest cost	114 859 (37 041)	101 984 (11 593)	106 157 (10 530)	94 296 (4 177)

Discount rates used	8,42 %	7,89 %	8,42 %	7,89 %
Expected increase in salaries	7,61 %	6,67 %	7,61 %	6,67 %

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

				GRO	UP	CJN	/M
Figu	res in Rand thousand			2015	2014	2015	2014
28.	EMPLOYEE BENEFIT OBLIGA	TIONS (con	tinued)				
	Other assumptions.						
	Age of spouse	-	Husbands five	e years older than	n wives.		
	Mortality of in-service members years	-	In accordanc	e with the SA 8 for females.	5-90 (Light) ul	timate table (ra	ted down 3
	Mortality of pensioners	-	In accordance	e with the PA(90)	ultimate male	and female table	es.
	Sensitivity analysis						
Disc	ount Rate						
		-1%	0%	1%			
Liab	lity	1 446 789	1 319 229	1 210 499			
Perc	entage change	-9,67%	0%	-8,24%			
Ben	efit inflation						
		-1%	0%	1%			
Liab	ility	1 210 033	1 320 453	1 447 740			
	entage change 0	-8,36%	0	9,64%			

The City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality provides housing subsidies in respect of certain qualifying staff members. In the event that the housing loan that the subsidy related to is not fully repaid at retirement date, the subsidy will continue into the members' retirement. The subsidy amount is based on the subsidy received at the date of valuation. The subsidy amount is assumed to remain constant and to continue for a period of 10 years after retirement.

The above liability is unfunded. However, City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality has undertaken to cover such portion of the liability for the staff of City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality who are entitled to benefits that relates to their service with the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality since the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality was established. The amount was determined at 1 July 2003 and has been crystallised in the form of a notional loan account which earned interest and against which the company may claim benefit payments made. This loan does not constitute a plan asset and in terms of IAS 19 cannot be offset against the liability. It has however been included in the assets of the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality.

#### Amounts recognised in the Statement of financial position

Present value of unfunded obligation in respect of CJMM employees	4 450	1 913	238	351
Movements for the year				
Opening balance Benefits paid Net expense recognised in the statement of financial performance	1 913 (81) 2 618	2 124 (120) (91)	351 (77) (36)	459 (117) 9
	4 450	1 913	238	351

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

				GROU	IP	CJMN	Л
Figu	ires in Rand thousand			2015	2014	2015	2014
28.	EMPLOYEE BENEFIT OBLIG	ATIONS (contin	iued)				
	Net expense recognised in t	he Statement of	financial per	formance			
	Current service cost Interest cost Actuarial gains			67 142 2 409	54 157 (302)	- 18 (54)	- 24 (15)
			_	2 618	(91)	(36)	9
	Key assumptions used						
	Assumptions used for the value	ation .					
	Discount rates used Expected increase in salaries			8,42 % 7,11 %	8,94 % 8,05 %	8,42 % 7,11 %	8,94 % 8,05 %
	Sensitivity analysis						
Dise	count rate						
		-1%	0%	1%			
Liab	bility	4 957	4 450	4 010			
Per	centage change	11,4%	0	-9,89%			
Ben	efit inflation						
		-1%	0%	1%			
Liab	bility	4286	4450	4621			
Per	centage change	-3,68%	0	3,86%			

#### 28.1.3Unfunded post-retirement gratuity plan

City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality provides gratuities on retirement or prior death in respect of certain qualifying staff members who have service with the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality when they were not members of one of the retirement funds and who meet certain service requirements in terms of City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality's conditions of employment. The gratuity amount is based on 1 month's salary per year of non-retirement funding service.

The above liability is unfunded. However, City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality has undertaken to cover such portion of the liability for the staff of City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality who are entitled to benefits that relates to their service with the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality since the company was established. This amount was determined at 1 July 2003 and has been crystallised in the form of a notional loan account which earned interest and against which the company may claim benefit payments made. This loan does not constitute a plan asset and in terms of IAS 19 cannot be offset against the liability. It has however been included in the assets of the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality.

#### Amounts recognised in the Statement of financial position

Present value of unfunded obligation in respect of	439 552	500 610	163 947	190 546
CJMM employees				

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	Figures in Rand thousand			GROUP		CJMM	
Figu				2015	2014	2015	2014
28.	EMPLOYEE BENEFIT OBLIGAT	ΓIONS (contir	nued)				
	Movements for the year						
	Opening balance Benefits paid Net expense recognised in the si financial performance	tatement of		500 610 (38 454) (22 604)	518 241 (50 663) 33 032	190 546 (15 807) (10 792)	198 117 (18 484) 10 913
			-	439 552	500 610	163 947	190 546
	Net expense recognised in the	Statement o	f financial per	formance			
	Interest cost Actuarial (gains) losses			42 605 (65 209)	39 225 (6 193)	16 206 (26 998)	14 884 (3 971)
			-	(22 604)	33 032	(10 792)	10 913
	Key assumptions used						
	Assumptions used on last valuat	ion on .					
	The principal actuarial assumption	ons used were	as follows:				
	Discount rates used Expected increase in salaries			8,42 % 7,11 %	8,94 % 8,05 %	8,42 % 7,11 %	8,94 % 8,05 %
	Sensitivity analysis						
Disc	count rate						
		-1%	0%	1%			
Liab	ility	471 472	439 552	411 044			
Perc	centage change	7,26%	0	-6,49%			
	Sensitivity Analysis on Current	-service and	Interest Cost	s for year endin	g 30/06/2016		

### GROUP

	Change	PEMA	Housing	Retirement	Total	% Change
		Subsidy	Subsidy	Gratuity	Liability	
Central assumptions		1 320 453	4 450	439 551	1 764 454	
Benefits inflation	+1%	1 447 740	4 622	471 570	1 923 932	9%
	-1%	1 210 033	4 286	410 457	1 624 776	-8%
Discount rate	+1%	1 210 499	4 010	411 044	1 625 553	3%
	-1%	1 446 789	4 957	471 472	1 923 218	-3%
Post-retirement mortality	- 1 Year	1 377 972	4 489	439 551	1 822 012	3%
		_	_	_	_	

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CJMM	
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014

### 28. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS (continued)

C.IMM

	Change	PEMA	Housing	Retirement	Total	% Change
		Subsidy	Subsidy	Gratuity	Liability	
Central assumptions		100 700	18	13 029	113 747	
Benefits inflation	+1%	110 723	18	14 111	124 852	9%
	-1%	92 004	17	12 054	104 075	-8%
Discount rate	+1%	103 044	20	13 509	116 573	-8%
	-1%	97 637	16	12 431	110 084	9%
Post-retirement mortality	-1 year	105 361	18	13 029	118 408	4%
		-	-	-	-	

Five years historical Post retirement obligationt

#### GROUP

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total	1 764 455	1 842 379	1 865 790	1 789 466	1 743 487
Experience adjustment	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total	114 354	_	-	-	-

### CJMM

Post- retirement obligation	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total	1 404 612	1 431 950	1 445 683	1 808 068	1 773 644
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total	(57 482)	-	-	-	-

The experience adjustments were calculated in the current financial year however it was impracticable to calculate it for previous valuations

CJMM and its ME's provide post-employment benefits to all their permanent employees through defined contribution funds. The following employee contributions have been made to the defined contribution plans.

City of Johannesburg Pension Fund eJoburg Retirement Fund Municipal Councillors' Pension Fund National Fund for Municipal Workers Municipal Employees Gratuity Fund	42 576 156 340 10 467 108 10 124	46 833 171 178 10 017 102 1 163
	219 615	229 293
The following employee contributions have been made to the multi-employer plans.		
Joint Municipal Pension Fund Municipal Employees Pension Fund	1 107 4 021	1 114 4 339
	5 128	5 453

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	P	CJMN	Λ
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
29. DEFERRED INCOME				
<b>Bond tap</b> Balance unspent at beginning of year Conditions met - transferred to revenue	48 879 (3 242)	51 804 (2 925)	48 879 (3 242)	51 804 (2 925)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	45 637	48 879	45 637	48 879

The Bond tap is a Bond issued into the life of an existing Bond. The Tap was issued at a premium on the prevailing interest rate at the time of the Tap. The premium is amortised over the maturity of the Bond and released to interest income on an annual basis.

The Tap was issued on the 9th of December 2008, due to mature on the 5th of June 2023. The Tap was issued at a premium of R58,038,692, at an interest rate of 12.21% per annum. The notional amount was R468,000,000 and the issue price was R526,038,692.

Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	4 264	4 545	-	-
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(281)	_	-	-
Current year receipts	-	439	-	-
Passenger trips received in advance Balance unspent at beginning of year	4 545	4 106	-	-

Deferred income refers to the liability relating to passenger trips sold in advance through the Smartcards Multi-Journey Software. The deferred income is released as and when the passengers present these cards on the buses and the bus operators issue a ticket accordingly.

Commission received				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	11 066	17 430	-	-
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(6 364)	(6 364)	-	-
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	4 702	11 066	-	-

The above deferred income relate to commission received on the conclusion of the 5 year lease agreement relating to outdoor advertising. The remaining period is 9 months.

-				
Total deferred income	54 603	64 490	45 637	48 879

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRC	GROUP		MM
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014

#### 30. Financial liabilities at fair value - Sinking fund

The Debt redemption fund is a financial solution to assist the City of Johannesburg Municipality meet its financial obligations to repay previously issued bonds. The City of Johannesburg Municipality pays contributions into the fund, which

is managed by a 3rd party fund manager, so as to enable the Municipality to receive contributions plus growth to repay redemptions of the bonds when they fall due. This is part of the risk management framework adopted by City of Johannesburg Municipality. The financial assets in the fund are disclosed in note 11

The total investments are pledged as collateral for CJMM Bonds

The investments pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full.

The Terms and conditions are such that the collateralised asset upon maturity should be of the same value as the liability so that the liability can be redeemed.

Sinking Fund Maturity - 5 June 2023

Current Liabilities       137 930       1 081 896       137 930       1 081 8         Other financial liabilities through profit or loss       Bond       2 704 406       2 2704 406       224 528         Bond Options       224 528       224 528       224 528       224 528       224 528         Bond Options       66 927       66 927       66 927       5 327       5 327         Swaps       1706 098       413 553       1 706 098       413 5       4 881 893       413 5         Non-Current Liabilities       4 881 893       413 553       4 881 893       413 5       4 1 4 5 5         Financial liabilities carried at fair value through profit or loss       5 019 823       1 495 449       5 019 823       1 495 449       5 019 823       1 495 449	5 508 - 5 534 5 529 5 294 0 31
Bond       2 704 406       -       2 704 406         Forward rate notes       224 528       -       224 528         Bond Options       66 927       -       66 927         Forward rate agreement       5 327       -       5 327         Swaps       1 706 098       413 553       1 706 098       413 5         Amortising Swaps       174 607       -       174 607         Non-Current Liabilities       4 881 893       413 553       4 881 893       413 5         Financial liabilities carried at fair value through profit or loss       5 019 823       1 495 449       5 019 823       1 495 449	
5 019 823       1 495 449       5 019 823       1 495 4         Financial liabilities carried at fair value through profit or loss	-
Financial liabilities carried at fair value through profit or loss	555
value through profit or loss	<b>449</b>
Derivatives designated and effective as $2.212.020$ $440.070$ $2.212.020$ $440.0$	0.070
Derivatives designated and effective as2 313 238449 8782 313 238449 8hedging instruments carried at fair value2 706 5851 045 5712 706 5851 045 571	
liabilities 5 019 823 1 495 449 5 019 823 1 495 4	6 449

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

### Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GF	GROUP		MM
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014

#### 31. OTHER FINANCIAL LIABILITIES AT FAIR VALUE - SWAP

During the financial year 2010/2011, CJMM entered into an interest rate swap by exchanging the Nedbank R1 billion 3 months JIBAR rate + 280 bsp for an 11.66% fixed interest rate.

Swap Details

	Trade Date : Settlement Date: Nominal Amount: Fixed Rate: Payable:	30 March 2011 29 March 2018 R 1,000(million) 11.66% Semi- annual				
	<b>Opening balance</b> Fair value movement-S	SWAP	54 113 (8 896)	76 629 (22 516)	54 113 (8 896)	76 629 (22 516)
	Closing balance		45 217	54 113	45 217	54 113
	Current liability Non-Current liability		6 856 38 361	7 816 46 297	6 856 38 361	7 816 46 297
			45 217	54 113	45 217	54 113
32.	CONSUMER DEPOSIT	ſS				

Non-Current portion of Consumer deposits				
Electricity and water deposits	693 877	473 190	-	-
Other deposits	28 801	33 672	17 288	25 320
	722 678	506 862	17 288	25 320

Other deposits relate largely to deposits held in the JPC Portfolio Account (R 13 954 192). The other balance relates to deposits held by Community Development and the Housing Department. All consumer deposits are non-current in nature.

#### 33. LIABILITIES FROM MUNICIPAL ENTITIES

Non-current liabilities Current liabilities	-	-	411 802 -	411 113
	-		411 802	411 113

#### **Notional Accounts**

The liability with the municipality entities were undertaken by the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality to cover the portion of the post retirement liability accrued for the employees of City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality who were transferred to municipal entities when they were established. The amount of the liability was determined at 1 July 2003 and has been crystallised in the form of a notional loan account which earned interest and against which the municipal entities may claim benefit payments made.

Medical Aid Notional loan account				
Opening balance	-	-	134 931	128 146
Interest received	-	-	8 061	6 785
Payments	-	-	(923)	-
	-	-	142 069	134 931

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	OUP	CJ	MM
igures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
3. LIABILITIES FROM MUNICIPAL ENTITIES (co	ontinued)			
Gratuities Notional loan account				
Opening balance	-	-	276 182	290 080
Interest received	-	-	16 199	15 340
Payments	-	-	(22 648)	(29 238)
	-	-	269 733	276 182
Notional accounts liability	-	-	411 802	411 113

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 34. FINANCIAL LIABILITIES BY CATEGORY

The accounting policies for financial instruments have been applied to the line items below:

#### **GROUP - 2015**

Current Liabilities	Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Fair value through profit or loss	Total
Loans and borrowings	1 574 094	_	1 574 094
Finance lease obligations	127 470	-	127 470
Deferred income	8 966	-	8 966
Financial Liabilities at fair value	-	144 786	144 786
Trade and other payables	11 186 768	-	11 186 768
Non-Current Liabilities	-	-	-
Loans and borrowings	14 109 897	-	14 109 897
Finance lease obligations	242 284	-	242 284
Financial Liabilities at fair value	-	4 920 254	4 920 254
Deferred income	45 637	-	45 637
Consumer deposits	722 678	-	722 678
	28 017 794	5 065 040	33 082 834

#### **GROUP - 2014**

Consumer deposits	23 208 541	1 549 562	24 298 253
Deferred income	53 581 506 862	-	53 581 506 862
Financial Liabilities at fair value		459 850	-
Finance lease obligations	281 489	-	281 489
Loans and borrowings	12 398 911	-	12 398 911
Non-Current Liabilities	-	-	-
Financial Liabilities at fair value	-	1 089 712	1 089 712
Trade and other payables	8 898 357	-	8 898 357
Deferred income	10 909	-	10 909
Finance lease obligations	87 253	-	87 253
Current Liabilities Loans and borrowings	971 179	-	971 179
	amortised cost	orloss	
	liabilities at	through profit	Total
	Financial	Fair value	Total

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

### 34. FINANCIAL LIABILITIES BY CATEGORY (continued)

#### CJMM - 2015

	Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Fair value through profit or loss	Total
Current Liabilities	( <u></u> ( ) ( )		
Loans and borrowings	1 573 418	-	1 573 418
Finance lease obligations	102 830	-	102 830
Financial Liabilities at fair value	-	144 786	144 786
Trade and other payables	11 316 481	-	11 316 481
Non-Current Liabilities			
Loans and borrowings	14 093 244	-	14 093 244
Finance lease obligations	237 899	-	237 899
Financial Liabilities at fair value	-	4 920 254	-
Deferred income	45 637	-	45 637
Consumer deposits	17 288	-	17 288
	27 386 797	5 065 040	27 531 583

#### CJMM - 2014

	Financial liabilities at amortised cost	Fair value through profit or loss	Total
Current Liabilities	070 554		070 554
Loans and borrowings	970 551	-	970 551
Finance lease obligations	76 193	-	76 193
Financial Liabilities at fair value		1 089 712	1 089 712
Trade and other payables	9 992 611	-	9 992 611
Non-Current Liabilities			
Loans and borrowings	12 381 580	-	12 381 580
Finance lease obligations	267 866	-	267 866
Financial Liabilities at fair value	-	459 850	459 850
Consumer deposits	25 320	-	25 320
	23 714 121	1 549 562	25 263 683

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROU	JP	CJMN	Λ
Figu	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
35.	OTHER INCOME				
	Advertising	905	50 829	-	-
	Bulk contributions received	88 167	66 482	-	-
	Commissions received	298 205	325 558	-	-
	Cut-off fees	2 928	10 541	-	-
	Demand side management levy	66 240	65 461	-	-
	Developer funded asset income	200 335	187 410	-	-
	Gautrain maintenance fees	5 788	5 746	-	-
	Internal recoveries - ME's	-	-	415 551	374 766
	Sundry revenue	721 398	587 551	390 401	262 172
	Training revenue	17 245	29 056	16 944	29 056
		1 401 211	1 328 634	822 896	665 994

Investment in Municipal entities	-	-	-	190 927
----------------------------------	---	---	---	---------

The reversal of impairment relates to investments in Johannesburg Roads Agency, Metro Trading Company, Johannesburg Zoo and Roodepoort theatre. These entities were previously technically insolvent and Metro Trading Company, Johannesburg Zoo and Roodepoort theatre have subsequently been merged with other Municipal entities.

The financial performance of the Johannesburg Roads Agency has significantly improved giving indication that the previous impairment does not exist.

### 37. SERVICE CHARGES

	21 772 609	20 115 991	380 840	315 255
Surcharges : Water	46 637	35 162	46 637	35 162
Surcharges : Refuse	3 844	3 620	3 844	3 620
Surcharges : Electricity	134 686	116 919	134 686	127 531
Sewerage and sanitation charges	2 741 202	2 248 403	-	-
Sale of water	4 212 359	3 931 836	-	-
Sale of electricity	12 909 004	12 222 156	-	-
Refuse removal	1 215 366	1 134 571	-	-
Other service charges	509 511	423 324	195 673	148 942

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GRC	)UP	CJN	ИМ
Figu	ures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
38.	PROPERTY RATES				
	Rates received				
	Residential Commercial State	2 628 855 4 832 191 161 712	2 485 990 4 558 766 171 182	2 628 897 4 832 191 161 712	2 485 990 4 663 782 171 182
		7 622 758	7 215 938	7 622 800	7 320 954
	Valuations				
	Property rate valuations	919 592 501	912 838 178	919 592 501	912 838 178

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROU	JP	CJM	М
Figu	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
39.	GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES				
	Provincial grants : Capital projects Urban settlements development grant Financial management grant Provincial grants : Top structure of houses Provincial grants : Operating projects 2010 Public transport (SPTN) Neighbourhood development partnership grant World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP) Provincial grant : Jozi Ihlomihle (Hiv/Aids) Public Transport Network operations Grant Social housing grant Ambulance subsidy	232 149 1 685 810 1 250 328 077 10 905 925 572 79 800 - 42 864 19 889 520 708 914 105 809	$\begin{array}{c} 259 \ 816 \\ 1 \ 511 \ 649 \\ 1 \ 250 \\ 207 \ 950 \\ 9 \ 419 \\ 793 \ 100 \\ 34 \ 652 \\ 10 \ 000 \\ 36 \ 430 \\ 32 \ 474 \\ 255 \ 748 \\ 914 \\ 100 \ 334 \end{array}$	137 123 1 685 810 1 250 328 077 10 905 925 572 79 800 - 42 864 19 889 520 708 -	$174 500 \\ 1 511 649 \\ 1 250 \\ 207 950 \\ 9 419 \\ 793 100 \\ 34 652 \\ 10 000 \\ 36 430 \\ 32 474 \\ 255 748 \\ - \\ 100 334 \\ - \\ 100 334 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $
	Equitable share and fuel levy Provincial health subsidies Skills Development Grant	4 853 451 104 505 2 302 8 914 005	4 452 207 81 490 2 149 7 789 582	4 853 451 104 505 	4 452 207 81 490 - 7 701 203
	Provincial grants : Capital projects				
	Balance unspent at beginning of year Current year receipts Previous years AUC - Capitalised Transfers Adjustment - Debtors Conditions met - transferred to revenue	151 796 159 454 (506) 44 454 49 594 (232 149)	139 793 192 643 (252) 79 428 (259 816)	151 796 59 573 (506) 44 454 49 594 (137 123)	139 294 107 826 (252) 79 428 (174 500)
	Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	172 643	151 796	167 788	151 796
	Urban settlements development grant				
	Current year receipts transfer to EPWP Transferred to debtors Conditions met - transferred to revenue	1 695 487 (9 680) 3 (1 685 810)	1 488 878 22 771 - (1 511 649)	1 695 487 (9 680) 3 (1 685 810)	1 488 878 22 771 - (1 511 649)
	Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	-	-	-	-

This grant is made available to support municipal capital budgets to fund municipal infrastructure and to upgrade existing infrastructure, primarily for the benefit of poor households. The Urban Settlement Development Grant contributes towards the achievement of sustainable human settlements and improved quality of household life by implementing infrastructure development projects that work towards the realisation of adequate housing and improved quality environments and a functional residential property market. The infrastructure development projects includes roads, bridges, water supply network, sanitation services, electrical reticulation, social and recreational services, cemeteries and markets.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	IP	CJMN	1
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
39. GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES (continued	ł)			
Financial management grant				
Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	1 250 (1 250)	1 250 (1 250)	1 250 (1 250)	1 250 (1 250)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	-	-	-	-

The grant was to target training and capacitation of the staff in the Budget and Treasury offices. It targets systems for the implementation of the MFMA reporting requirements. The grant is mainly used to employ interns in the Finance Department and to fund the MFMA minimum competency level training.

### Provincial grants : Top structure of houses

209 827 - (34 774) 153 132 (328 077)	392 202 7 055 18 520 - (207 950)	209 827 - (34 774) 153 132 (328 077)	392 202 7 055 18 520 - (207 950)
108	209 827	108	209 827
8 296 10 690 2 902 (1 000)	7 226 10 489 - - -	8 296 10 690 2 902 (1 000)	7 226 10 489 - - (9 419)
9 983	8 296	9 983	8 296
	(34 774) 153 132 (328 077) <b>108</b> 8 296 10 690 2 902 (1 000) (10 905)	-         7 055           (34 774)         18 520           153 132         -           (328 077)         (207 950)           108         209 827           8 296         7 226           10 690         10 489           2 902         -           (1 000)         -           (10 905)         (9 419)	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

To transform urban and rural community library infrastructure, facilities and services through a recapitalised programme at provincial level in support of local government and national initiatives. The funding is intended to address backlogs and disparities in ongoing provision and maintenance of community library services across municipalities and enable provincial departments to provide strategic guidance and alignment with national priorities.

#### 2010 Public transport (SPTN)

(925 572)	(793 100)	(925 572)	(793 100)
(25 020)	(388 700)	(25 020)	(388 700)
553 571	843 781	553 571	843 781
398 840	736 859	398 840	736 859
	553 571 (25 020)	553 571 843 781 (25 020) (388 700)	553 571843 781553 571(25 020)(388 700)(25 020)

This grant is provided to finance 2010 Public Transport System.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	P	CJMN	Λ
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
39. GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES (continue	ed)			
Neighbourhood development partnership grant				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	9 849	14 769	9 849	14 769
Current year receipts	98 958	32 868	98 958	32 868
Grants paid back	(9 849)	(3 136)	(9 849)	(3 136)
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(79 800)	(34 652)	(79 800)	(34 652)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	19 158	9 849	19 158	9 849

The purpose of this grant is to stimulate and accelerate private sector investment in poor and underserved neighbourhoods. It is an Infrastructure Development grant focusing on township development, for the purpose of attracting private investment and for Government agencies to be able to provide basics services.

#### World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA)

Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	-	10 000 (10 000)	-	10 000 (10 000)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	-	-	-	-
Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP)				
Balance unspent at beginning of year	19 033	32 789	19 033	32 789
Current year receipts	36 492	89 434	36 492	89 434
Adjustments	(3 419)	(43 989)	(3 419)	(43 989)
Transfers	(2 860)	(22 771)	(2 860)	(22 771)
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(42 864)	(36 430)	(42 864)	(36 430)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	6 382	19 033	6 382	19 033

The Grant assists in providing an important avenue for labour absorption and aids transfers of income to poor households. It uses expenditure on goods and services to create work opportunities for the unemployed. EPWP Projects employ workers on a temporary or on-going basis either by government, by contractors, or by other non-governmental organisations under the Ministerial Conditions of Employment for the EPWP or learnership employment conditions.

#### **Orange African cup of nations** 4 7 3 7 Balance unspent at beginning of year 4 7 3 7 -Adjustments (4737)(4737)Conditions still to be met - transferred to -liabilities Provincial grant : Jozi Ihlomihle (Hiv/Aids) Balance unspent at beginning of year 696 15 282 696 15 282 Current year receipts 19 288 17 888 19 288 17 888 Conditions met - transferred to revenue (19889)(32 474) (19889)(32 474) Conditions still to be met - transferred to 95 696 95 696 liabilities

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROU	Р	CJMN	1
gui	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
Э.	GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES (continue	d)			
	Public Transport Network Grant				
	Balance unspent at beginning of year Current year receipts Adjustment Conditions met - transferred to revenue	12 252 512 000 (42) (520 708)	268 000 (255 748)	12 252 512 000 (42) (520 708)	268 000 (255 748
	Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	3 502	12 252	<u>(320 708)</u> 3 502	12 252
	Social Housing grant				
	Balance unspent at beginning of year Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	71 996 3 598 (914)	68 692 4 218 (914)	- -	
	Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	74 680	71 996	-	
	The grants relate to funds received by Johannesburg S Social Housing Foundation Provincial grant - Kliptown Golf Course Gauteng Provincial grant - JMJV	ocial Housing Compan	y namely:		
	Ambulance subsidy				
	Current year receipts Conditions met - transferred to revenue	105 809 (105 809)	100 334 (100 334)	105 809 (105 809)	100 334 (100 334
	Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	-	-	-	

Current year receipts	4 853 451	4 452 207	4 853 451	4 452 207
Conditions met - transferred to revenue	(4 853 451)	(4 452 207)	(4 853 451)	(4 452 207)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	-	-	-	-

Municipal Equitable Share is the share of Local Government Sphere's share of revenue raised nationally. The equitable share allocation is a subsidy received from National Treasury and is mainly for RSC levies replacement, special support for councillors' remuneration, ward committees and funding for free basic services (Basic Social Services Package) for the registered indigent households.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	P	CJMN	Λ
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
39. GOVERNMENT GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES (continue	d)			
Provincial health subsidies				
Current year receipts Adjustments Conditions met - transferred to revenue	104 505 - (104 505)	97 303 (15 813) (81 490)	104 505 - (104 505)	97 303 (15 813) (81 490)
Conditions still to be met - transferred to liabilities	-	-	-	-

The Municipality renders health services on behalf of the Provincial Government and is refunded approximately 20% of total expenditure incurred. These funds have been used exclusively to fund clinic services. The conditions of the grant have been met. There was no delay or with holding of the subsidy.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

igu		GROL	JP	CJMM	
	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
0.	EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS				
	Employee related costs : Salaries and wages	6 104 328	5 774 800	3 398 819	3 237 540
	Employee related costs : Pension contributions	941 499	699 726	524 701	386 60
	Employee related costs : Medical aid contributions	312 966	289 145	283 267	260 82
	Employee related costs : Skills development levy	54 901	52 024	40 396	38 08
	Housing benefits and allowances	36 680	33 307	22 616	20 87
	Overtime payments	244 210	237 099	71 505	59 71
	Bonus	367 028	349 910	189 867	184 17
	Travel, motor car, accommodation, subsistence and other allowances	441 356	397 124	265 197	254 21
	Post Retirement Benefits	80 011	109 431	87 546	104 44
		8 582 979	7 942 566	4 883 914	4 546 47
	Remuneration of the City Manager				
	Annual Remuneration			2 562	2 56
	Car Allowance			128	12
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds		_	2	
			_	2 692	2 69
	Remuneration of the Group Head : Risk Assurance Se	rvices			
	Annual Remuneration			1 551	1 45
	Car Allowance			287	28
	Performance Bonuses			68	
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			44	3
	Unpaid absence			7	
			_	1 957	1 78
	Remuneration of the Group Head : Strategy, Policy Co	ordination and Re	lations		
	Annual Remuneration			1 269	1 08
	Annual Remuneration Car Allowance			1 269 108	
	Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Performance Bonuses			1 269 108 81	
	Car Allowance			108	10
	Car Allowance Performance Bonuses		_	108 81	10 10
	Car Allowance Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			108 81 121	10 10
	Car Allowance Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Remuneration of the Group Head : Communication an		-	108 81 121 <b>1 579</b>	10 10 <b>1 29</b>
	Car Allowance Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds <b>Remuneration of the Group Head : Communication an</b> Annual Remuneration		-	108 81 121 <b>1 579</b> 1 517	10 10 <b>1 29</b> 1 40
	Car Allowance Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Remuneration of the Group Head : Communication an Annual Remuneration Car Allowance		-	108 81 121 <b>1 579</b> 1 517 85	10 10 <b>1 29</b> 1 40
	Car Allowance Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Remuneration of the Group Head : Communication an Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Performance Bonuses			108 81 121 <b>1 579</b> 1 517 85 48	10 10 <b>1 29</b> 1 40 12
	Car Allowance Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Remuneration of the Group Head : Communication an Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			108 81 121 <b>1 579</b> 1 517 85 48 52	10 10 <b>1 29</b> 1 40 12
	Car Allowance Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Remuneration of the Group Head : Communication an Annual Remuneration Car Allowance Performance Bonuses			108 81 121 <b>1 579</b> 1 517 85 48	1 08 10 10 <b>1 29</b> 1 40 129 7 7 7

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GR	GROUP		CJMM	
jur	es in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014	
	EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS (continued)					
	Remuneration of the Group Head : Legal and Contrac	ts				
	Annual Remuneration			908	862	
	Car Allowance			87	87	
	Performance Bonuses			40	440	
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			134	119	
				1 169	1 068	
	Remuneration of the Group : Chief Financial Officer					
	Annual Remuneration			2 500	1 382	
	Car Allowance			144	48	
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			2	1	
				2 646	1 431	
	The CFO's appointment was effective from 05 December	2013				
	Remuneration of the Executive Director : Economic D	evelopment				
	Annual Remuneration			2 115	978	
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			2	1	
				2 117	979	
	Remuneration of the Executive Director : Community	Development				
	Annual Remuneration			1 514	1 427	
	Car Allowance			103	103	
	Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			59 152	154	
				1 828	1 684	
	Remuneration of the Executive Director : Developmer	nt Planning and I	Irban Developr	ment		
					4 505	
	Annual Remuneration Car Allowance			1 913 144	1 595 144	
	Performance Bonuses			79		
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			113	89	
	Eminence/Premiums Allowance			180	105	
				2 429	1 933	
	Remuneration of the Executive Director : EISD					
	Annual Remuneration			1 666	1 569	
	Car Allowance			97	97	
	Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			49 45	-44	
				1 857	1 710	
				1 ŏ5/	1710	

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROUP		CJM	1	
gur	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
).	EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS (continued)				
	Remuneration of the Executive Director : Hous	ing			
	Annual Remuneration			1 362	1 284
	Car Allowance			96	9
	Performance Bonuses			52	10
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			135	12
				1 645	1 50
	Remuneration of the Executive Director : Trans	sportation			
	Annual Remuneration			1 789	1 688
	Car Allowance			73	73
	Performance Bonuses			76	05
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			270	25
				2 208	2 01
	Remuneration of the Executive Director : Healt	h			
	Annual Remuneration			1 861	1 75
	Car Allowance			108	10
	Performance Bonuses			129	
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			2	
				2 100	1 86
	Remuneration of the Executive Director : Corpo	orate Services			
	Annual Remuneration			230	1 676
	Car Allowance			-	128
	Performance Bonuses			72	
	Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds Final leave payment			20 106	8
	Final leave payment			428	1 89
				420	1 0 9
	The Executive Director resigned on 30 June 2014	and the current appointm	ent was effectiv	ve from 01 May 20	)15.
	Remuneration of the Executive Director : Office	e of the City Manager			
	Annual Remuneration			1 344	1 16
	Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			82 68	50
				1 494	1 21

## Chief of Staff

Annual Remuneration Car Allowance	-	1 508 128 161
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds	<u>-</u>	161

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GR	OUP	CJM	M
res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
EMPLOYEE RELATED COSTS (continued)				
Remuneration of the Group Head : Urban Manag	ement and Citizen Re	lationship Man	agement	
Annual Remuneration			197	1 113
Car Allowance			21	128
Performance Bonuses Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			77 36	101
Final Leave payment			51	
			382	1 342
The Executive Director resigned 31 August 2014				
Remuneration of the Group Head : Governance				
Annual Remuneration			1 355	1 283
Car Allowance Performance Bonuses			96 27	96
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			75	69
Unpaid absence			5	
			1 558	1 448
Remuneration of the Chief Operations Officer				
Annual Remuneration			2 433	2 296
Car Allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			144 135	144 125
			2 712	2 565
Remuneration of the Secretary of Council				
Annual Remuneration			1 592	1 501
Car Allowance			128	128
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			88	81
			1 808	1 710
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Public	Safety			
Annual Remuneration			1 564	491
Car Allowance			128	43
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			2 1 694	534
The ED of Public Safety's appointment was effective	e March 2014			
Remuneration of the Executive Director : Social	Development			
Annual Remuneration			1 258	1 160
Car Allowance Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			104 71	78 74
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1 433	1 312

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	GROUP		CJMM	
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014	
41. REMUNERATION OF COUNCILLORS					
Executive Mayor	1 226	1 008	1 226	1 008	
Mayoral Committee Members	9 888	8 255	9 888	8 255	
Speaker	1 010	763	1 010	763	
Councillors	89 753	87 658	89 753	87 658	
Councillors' pension contribution	10 313	10 943	10 313	10 943	
Chairpersons	15 309	12 012	15 309	12 012	
	127 499	120 639	127 499	120 639	
Remuneration of the Executive Mayor - Parks Tau					
Annual Remuneration			908	854	
Car Allowance			128	128	
Contributions to UIF, Medical and Pension Funds			148	140	
Cell Allowance			42	42	
		_	1 226	1 164	

## In-kind benefits

The Executive Mayor, Speaker and Mayoral Committee Members are employed on a full-time basis by the Council.

Each is provided with an office and secretarial support at the cost of the Council.

The Executive Mayor and Speaker have use of a Council owned vehicle for official duties.

The Executive Mayor has four full-time bodyguards. The Speaker has two full-time bodyguards.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GRO	-	CJMM	
Figu	ures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
42.	DEPRECIATION AND AMORTISATION				
	Property, plant and equipment Investment property	2 184 121 23	1 917 896 23	1 483 110 -	1 335 509
	Zoo animals Intangible assets	734 226 101	545 197 236	- 184 831	۔ 151 971
		2 410 979	2 115 700	1 667 941	1 487 480
43.	IMPAIRMENT LOSSES				
	Impairments Property, plant and equipment Investments in Municipal Entities These investments were impaired due to the possible absorption of these entities into the CJMM. The Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Investment was fully impaired due to the technical insolvency that the entity was facing at year end. For a more detailed description of these impairments, refer to Note 18	6 454 -	8 647 _	-	- 31 314
	Loans to Municipal Entities The Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Ioan was fully impaired due to the technical insolvency that the entity was facing at year end. For a more detailed description of this impairment, refer to Note 4	-	-	121 055	27 265
	Loans to shareholders The Johannesburg Metro Bus company is technically insolvent and has liquidity challenges. The entity has put in a place a turn around strategy aimed to improve the liquidity problem in the long term. The current liquidity problem has led to the impairment of the current portion of the loan.	-	-	21 609	-
		6 454	8 647	142 664	58 579
	<b>Reversal of impairments</b> Property, plant and equipment	(4 072)	-	-	-
	Total impairment losses recognised (reversed)	2 382	8 647	142 664	58 579
44.	ALLOWANCE FOR IMPAIRMENT OF CURRENT RECE	IVABLES			
	Receivables from non-exchange Consumer Debtors Trade and other receivables	363 687 3 413 379 41 985	609 614 2 298 456 69 312	363 687 960 002 -	609 614 851 484 52 759
		3 819 051	2 977 382	1 323 689	1 513 857
45.	BULK PURCHASES				
45.	Electricity	9 039 469	8 515 003	-	-
45.		9 039 469 3 496 500 26 776	8 515 003 3 248 444 29 288	- -	-

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROU	JP	CJM	M
Figu	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
46.	CONTRACTED SERVICES				
	Information Technology Services	276 724	263 680	274 265	258 535
	Fleet Services	495 373	482 673	52 306	6 838
	Operating Leases	144 104	183 476	143 269	183 476
	Specialist Services	1 378 899	825 197	1 385 381	828 455
	Other Contractors	306 271	296 539	21 796	20 883
		2 601 371	2 051 565	1 877 017	1 298 187
47.	GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES PAID				
	Grants paid to ME's				
	City of Joburg Property Company SOC Limited	-	-	-	24 850
	Johannesburg City Parks NPC	-	-	644 389	568 075
	Johannesburg Development Agency SOC Limited	-	-	26 739	24 977
	Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services SOC Limited	-	-	400 637	329 703
	Johannesburg Roads Agency SOC Limited	-	-	761 067	651 103
	Johannesburg Social Housing Company SOC Limited	-	-	19 970	18 397
	Pikitup Johannesburg SOC Limited	-	-	592 918	564 569
	Joburg Theatre SOC Limited	-	-	68 823	56 504
		-	-	2 514 543	2 238 178
	Other subsidies				
	Grant paid : Housing top structures	439 197	289 578	439 197	289 578
	Grant paid : Other	129 874	19 053	129 874	19 053
	Grant paid : Sporting Organisations (Marks Park Sports Club)	-	1 208	-	1 208
		569 071	309 839	569 071	309 839
		569 071	309 839	3 083 614	2 548 017

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROU	GROUP		CJMM	
igu	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014	
8.	GENERAL EXPENSES					
	Advertising	75 366	69 087	43 403	43 374	
	Auditors remuneration	48 977	44 710	21 296	20 610	
	Bank charges	81 426	74 155	73 747	67 192	
	Cleaning	26 903	21 293	-		
	Commission paid	49 043	36 097	-		
	Computer expenses	31 787	29 670	-		
	Conferences and seminars	26 149	27 521	19 418	15 10	
	Consulting and professional fees	323 186	277 172	60 221	84 682	
	Cost of inventories expensed	286 151	178 459	78 061	14 34	
	Debt collection	161 137	35 853	161 137	35 85	
	Entertainment	325	193	-		
	Legal settlement	-	65 464	-		
	Free electricity	23 018	14 610	-		
	Fuel and oil	75 767	87 964	-		
	Gas	14 203	13 932	13 027	12 62	
	Hire	18 615	19 967	17 509	19 05	
	Horticulture	10 138	9 488	-		
	Hostel charges	1 362	1 445	-		
	IT expenses	13 582	26 716	-		
	Incident management fund	36 216	42 006	36 216	42 00	
	Insurance	131 154	173 125	134 647	171 04	
	Lease rentals on operating lease	775 199	655 932	244 062	320 81	
	ME - charges	-	-	326 409	447 16	
	Marketing	48 346	55 975	24 887	28 00	
	Motor vehicle expenses	68 661	65 101	-		
	Other expenses	589 769	614 489	486 829	332 87	
	Placement fees	8 057	7 594	-		
	Printing and stationery	194 876	231 487	149 516	176 49	
	Productions	14 722	21 721	-		
	Research and development costs	525	-	-		
	Road maintenance	457 999	397 283	-		
	Security (Guarding of municipal property)	410 339	376 581	187 021	184 47	
	Software expenses	108 849	99 917	50 729	69 81	
	Staff welfare	39 997	50 475	16 876	27 27	
	Subscriptions and membership fees	22 306	19 121	17 649	14 73	
	Telephone and fax	120 843	98 102	51 057	42 65	
	Training	40 621	43 187	24 367	25 47	
	Travel - local	18 286	18 407	13 687	9 94	
	Travel - overseas	26 559	19 292	18 346	12 95	
	Utilities - Other	167 422	27 624	483 277	531 45	
		4 547 881	4 051 215	2 753 394	2 750 036	

Included in other expenses are guarantee fees relating to the COJ2 bond which is held at amortised cost.

	Guarantee Fees	9 539	9 231	9 539	9 231
49.	FAIR VALUE ADJUSTMENTS				
	Other Other financial assets	65 359	45 140	-	-
	<ul> <li>Fair value movement on the sinking fund</li> <li>Cash flow hedge (Ineffective portion)</li> </ul>	259 765	108 457 3 516	259 765 -	108 457 3 516
		325 124	157 113	259 765	111 973

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	GROUP		M
igures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
0. CASH GENERATED FROM OPERATIONS				
Surplus	3 894 298	3 768 891	2 358 836	1 975 657
Adjustments for:				
Depreciation and amortisation	2 410 979	2 115 700	1 667 941	1 487 480
Public contributions, donated and contributed property	(323 562)	(36 981)	-	-
Share of (deficit)/surplus of associate accounted for under the equity method	(8 436)	(11 032)	(322 379)	(36 981
Fair value adjustments	(325 124)	(157 113)	(259 765)	(111 973
Reversal of Impairment Finance costs: liabilities from MEs	-	-	7 138	(190 927
Provision Allowance for impairment of current receivables	- 3 819 051	- 2 977 382	- 1 323 689	25 537 1 513 857
Impairment losses on property, plant and equipment	2 382	8 647	11 129	610 070
loss/gain on sale of Assets	22 065	615 208	_	
Impairment of MOE investment	-		142 664	58 579
Post retirement benefits net expenditure	62 512	128 562	87 723	104 068
Gain on biological assets and agricultural produce	(5 901)	(2 922)	-	
Changes in working capital:		Υ Υ		
Inventories	(12 447)	52 870	53 747	6 779
Trade and other receivables	(199 530)	(73 275)	(570 116)	(1 702 446
Consumer debtors	(84 664)	(928 742)	(29 504)	(211 573
Other receivables from non-exchange transactions	52 719	(179 674)	-	
Increase/decrease in deferred tax liability	219 185	332 543	-	
Adjustment of impairment of current receivable Refuse debtors transferred to PIKITUP	(3 819 054) -	(2 644 965)	(1 323 692)	(1 439 676 (31 314
Trade and other payables	2 086 326	1 241 122	1 324 384	1 839 877
Decrease/(increase) VAT receivables	124 052	(319 329)	143 735	(285 232
(Decrease)/increase VAT payable	(43 694)	(132 185)	-	·
Obligations arising from conditional grants and receipts	(ô15 353)	(500 556)	(603 487)	(541 757
(Increase)/ decrease current tax receivable	(3 711)	(469)	-	
Increase/(decrease in consumer deposits	215 816	41 754	(8 032)	(1 082
Increase/(Decrease) in deferred income	(9 887)	(35 356)	(3 242)	(2 92
Increase/(Decrease) in Provision	(26 563)	211 043	3 903	3 679
	7 431 459	6 471 123	4 004 672	3 069 697

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROUP		CJMM	
Figu	ires in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
51.	COMMITMENTS				
	Commitments in respect of capital expenditure:				
	<ul><li>Authorised and not yet contracted for</li><li>Capital Commitments</li></ul>	11 044 431	9 855 533	4 859 949	3 980 260
	Operating leases - as lessee (Fleet)				
	Minimum lease payments due - within one year - in second to fifth year inclusive - later than five years	140 098 146 582 -	66 983 233 148 22 323	92 186 90 361 -	17 518 125 533 22 121
		286 680	322 454	182 547	165 172

The Group leases vehicles from Avis Fleet Services. In terms of the agreement, all rentals due on vehicles leased are payable monthly in arrears and are linked to the prime overdraft rate. Furthermore the agreement places restrictions on maximum number of kilometers which can be travelled over the lease term and specifies the rate at which excess kilometers will be billed.

## **Operating leases – as lessee (Buildings)**

Minimum lease payments due				
- within one year	44 194	59 251	20 473	29 003
- in second to fifth year inclusive	37 796	67 145	6 280	25 845
- later than five years	13 862	20 059	3 354	2 760
	95 852	146 455	30 107	57 608

Leases for buildings are negotiated for a term of 2 to 5 years for department occupied buildings and the MEs head offices. JPC head office lease term is 10 years. Some leases are subject to yearly escalations at an average of 9%.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	JP	CJMM		
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014	
51. COMMITMENTS (continued)					
Operating leases – as lessee Soccer City					
Minimum lease payments due	75	75	75	75	
<ul> <li>within one year</li> <li>in second to fifth year inclusive</li> </ul>	75 299	75 299	75 299	75 299	
- later than five years	6 570	6 644	6 570	6 644	
	6 944	7 018	6 944	7 018	

COJ is lesasing Soccer City Stadium from DPW at an annual rental amount of R1 and the reduction of arrears accrued in respect of outstanding municipal rates and taxes and local authority services levied on the property to nil. The lease term for the preoperty is 99 years.

Operating leases - as lessee Equipment

Minimum lease payments due				
- within one year	30 904	30 329	-	-
- in second to fifth year inclusive	63 825	77 543	-	-
- later than five years	-	16 629	-	-
	94 729	124 501	-	-

Operating lease payments represent rentals payable by Johannesburg Water and City Theartes for certain of its equipment. Leases are negotiated for an average term of seven years.

## **Operating leases – as lessor (income)**

Minimum lease payments due				
- within one year	25 025	18 313	17 992	15 321
- in second to fifth year inclusive	63 095	54 668	56 288	53 635
- later than five years	169 312	165 160	169 312	165 160
	257 432	238 141	243 592	234 116

The operating lease income relates to rental of the Bus Factory offices and Fresh Produce Market to various tenants. The average lease agreements are three years and are based on a rental fee per square metre of rental space.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 52. CONTINGENCIES

#### GROUP

#### Johannesburg Roads Agency SOC Limited

These are legal claims that have arisen in the normal course of business and represent the possible amounts that could be awarded should the claims succeed against the entity. No provision has been made as management believes the claims will not succeed. The amounts have been based on attorneys' best estimates of the possible amount payable and are subject to interest at 15.5% from commencement date of the litigation. Refer to cases below:

Rennie Property vs JRA A letter of demand has been served for the work to be done on storm water flooding during storms in Princess Crossing. The amount involved cannot be quantified.

Lucienne NanetterRaab & Others v JRA & Others The applicants for an order directing the respondents not to allow a construction vehicle to gain access to a construction site from Fulwell road in Bryanston. The JRA has issued a wayleave to the developers to conduct the work.

Cowan Harper vs JRA. A letter of demand has been served about the dilapidated condition of the road on Third Avenue, Sandhurst, Sandton. The amount involved could not be quantified.

Residents of North Riding Estate vs JRA. A letter of demand was sent to the JRA for remedial work to be carried out on a bridge in Felstead Road. The amount involved in the current year is not quantified.

Hetta Eiendoms BPK V JRA Claim for damage to property due to construction. The amount involved in the current year is not quantified.

Brian Bracher vs JRA. JRA is sued for the flooding on Brian Bracher property as a result of the storm-water drain. The amount involved in the current year is not quantified.

Hetta Eiendoms BPK V JRA Claim for damage to property due to construction). Amount involved in the current year is not quantified.

Applemint vs JRA. JRA was served a letter of demand to do work on his property which has experienced a sinkhole as a result of the storm-water drain running through his property. The amount involved in the current year is not quantified.

Tembu Convenience vs JRA. City of Johannesburg is being sued for the loss of profit at an Engen Service Station in Soweto, as a result of the construction of the BRT system in Orlando East. The amount involved in the current year is not quantified.

NEF v JRA .The applicant has applied for a court order directing monies owed to Eyethu Translodge & Plant Hire which the JRA owes to be paid directly to NEF. The JRA is cited as the sixth respondent in the court application.

JRA v Stonevalley Homeowners Association. JRA applied by way of a notice of motion for specific performance for the rehabilitation of an attenuation dam against the residents association.

Post-retirement medical aid benefits for certain former employees were withdrawn during the year which resulted in significant reduction in the post-retirement medical aid liability. There is risk that the beneficiaries with withdrawn benefits might sue the entity as they have been members of the post-retirement medical aid for more than 10 years.

Dispute on quality of work done and and estimated claim of R 3.7 million

Three contractors have served a letter of demand to JRA for payments on projects that they have worked on with a value of R6 million.

#### Joburg Market SOC Limited

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 52. CONTINGENCIES (continued)

The total estimated claims amount to R7,917,732 which is in respect of disputes with suppliers, the company is of the view that this represents the maximum exposure. The company in consultation with its legal counsel has assessed the outcome of these proceedings and the likelihood that these cases will be successfully defended, no further provision is required.

The entity is involved in three (3) litigation matters regarding disputes relating to former employees. The directors are of the opinion that the claims can be successfully defended by the company.

#### Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services SOC Limited

There are two classes of labour disputes that exist between Metrobus and four of its current employees. Two are related to promotion and the other two are related to locomotion allowances. Management feels they have a strong case and is of the opinion that this will not result in a liability.

**Gross dishonesty**: There are three separate labour disputes related to dismissals for dishonesty that exists between Metrobus and three of its ex-employees. In all these three cases awards were made in favour of Metrobus and the employees applied for reviews of which the court dates are still pending. In one of them the employee dismissed has proceeded to file a claim to the value of One thousand rands against four Metrobus employees who testified against him in the internal disciplinary hearing, Metrobus has assisted these employees to file opposing papers in this regard. Management feels they have a strong case and is of the opinion that this will not result in a liability.

**Unfair labour practice:** There is one labour dispute related to unfair labour practice that exists between Metrobus and one of its employees who was boarded in 2012. The employee claims that the termination of employment was on grounds of protected disclosure relating to his illness. An award was made in favour of Metrobus and the employee has applied for a review of this award. Management feels they have a strong case and is of the opinion that this will not result in a liability.

**Medical boarding**: There is a labour dispute related to medical boarding that exists between Metrobus and one of its ex-employees. An award was made in favour of Metrobus and the employee applied for a review of this award. Management feels they have a strong case and is of the opinion that this will not result in a liability.

**Unfair dismissa**l:There is an unfair dismissal matter relating to a former employee which Metrobus lost at the Bargaining council. Management felt it had a strong case and a review application was made. The matter was heard by the labour court on 9th October 2014 and judgment in favour of Metrobus was made on 17 October 2014. The application to have the arbitration award issued was dismissed. However, the legal representatives of the employee in question have served Notice Of Leave to Appeal. Should Metrobus lose the case, it would be required to settle an amount of Six hundrend thousand Rands in salaries backpay.

**Potential procurement claims**: Three different parties who had an interest in certain tenders advertised and adjudicated on by Metrobus have instituted legal action against Metrobus. The potential exposure cannot be quantified at this stage as the matters are being considered by lawyers. However, the contract value of those tenders collectively is 346 481

#### City Power Johannesburg SOC Limited

ABB South Africa has launched legal action against the entity on the basics of an irregular award of a tender. It is alleged that the consultant appointed by the entity interfered with the tender process to the detriment of the applicant. An agreement between the winning bidder Consolidated Power Projects and ABB is currently being negotiated. No monetary value was attached to the claim.

City Power is currently claiming consumer debtor impairment at 100% as a tax deduction. South African Revenue Services has approved a deduction of 25%. City Power is appealing the decision of the Receiver.

A summons was issued in favour of a customer Argent Industrial for a refund amounting to Three hundred and fifty seven thousand rands that was paid out. The matter is being defended and the trial date has been set for 16 April 2015.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 52. CONTINGENCIES (continued)

AP Eudemon, a supplier, is claiming a sum of thirty five million from the entity and other defendants. The matter is at a pleading stage.

## Johannesburg City Parks NPC

The company is a defendant on claims amounting to R79 451 784 relating to contractual disputes with the service providers.

There is a claim against the company for a possible contractual dispute of R74 400 105. The service provider was awarded a tender for the provision of security services in the company facilities.

There is a claim against the company for the alleged wrongful exclusion from the tender process brought by Khusini Holdings (Pty) Ltd for R214,635.

There is a claim against the company for possible dispute of R4 837 044.80. The service provider is taking legal action against the company for canceling and withdrawing an irregular award to Techno Brain.

The company is currently pursuing claims amounting to R1 431 622 relating to contractual disputes with the service providers.

There is a claim against a service provider for an amount of R1 409 622 due to non-performance on the tender awarded to them for the installation of the access control system. However the service provider did not complete the project as per specification of the tender.

There are also summons instituted against a service provider for the amount of R22 000.

#### Johannesburg Development Agency SOC Limited

**Bertram's Priority Block** The JDA entered into legal proceedings regarding the relocation of illegal occupants in various buildings around the Bertram's Priority Block. Eviction proceedings have been instituted in the South Gauteng High Court. Negotiations are underway with illegal occupants to settle the matter out of court. Progress made since 2012 - Some of the illegal occupants have agreed to be reallocated to properties operated by the Johannesburg Social Housing Company. The few that would be left because of inability to meet monthly rental payments will be accommodated by the Department of Housing. Since this, no progress has been made in this matter due to ongoing negotiations for reallocations and the legal proceedings have been put in abeyance until alternate accommodation is found by the JDA and CJMM.

Ubuntu Kraal (Pty) Ltd vs JDA & CJMM: The JDA has been served with summons for loss of income and damages to property by the operators of the establishment known as Ubuntu Kraal in Soweto, Johannesburg. The damages were alleged to have been caused by flooding due to the JDA activities in the construction of the Rea Vaya BRT infrastructure along Klipspruit Valley Road. The amount of damages claimed by the plaintiffs is R23,500,000.

Achvsim Chijoike vs JDA and Skymark Security (Pty) Ltd: JDA was incorrectly cited as a party to the proceedings relating to a claim for injury suffered by the Plaintiff resulting from a shooting incident that occurred in Randburg in December 2010. The plaintiff alleged that the person implicated in the shooting, (a security guard), was in the employ of the JDA and was acting on behalf of the JDA. The JDA disputes all the allegations. The proceedings have not been set down yet.

**BRT - Thembu Convenience Store**: The CJMM and the JDA were served with a summons by Tembu Convenience Centre CC, trading as a convenience store and Engen Fuel dealership, for loss of income amounting to more than R17 million, as a result of BRT construction works. The City has filed its papers defending the matter in the South Gauteng High Court. The matter has still not been put on a trial roll and the plaintiffs have applied for a set-down. The matter has been set down for trial on 02 March 2016.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 52. CONTINGENCIES (continued)

**Dark Fibre Africa vs JDA and Easyway Tarmac Pave and Projects CC**: The matter relates to the fibre optic cable that was damaged by opening a trench in the road reserve with a TLB Machine along the road carriage way of Orlando east, near Sefa Sonke street around 22 June 2013. The plaintiff, Dark Fibre Africa (Pty) Ltd is suing the JDA (2nd Defendant) on the basis that JDA used the services of Easyway Tarmac Pave and Projects CC to manage and control the execution of the water pipeline project and to do the drilling and excavation along the road carriage way of Orlando east near the intersection with sefa sonke street. The matter is being defended by the lawyers appointed by the JDA's insurers (AON).

## Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd

**Contracted developers claim**: For the financial year, the sole shareholder, made available capital infrastructure development to the value of R134 520 000. These funds were obtained through various Government Grants through the City of Johannesburg. In addition, JOSHCO secured funding from the Social Housing Regulatory Authority (SHRA) and Provincial Housing Subsidies on the development of the Fleurhof Junction project to the value of R38 000 000. The development related to social housing and infrastructure in Anthea, AA House, Klipspruit, Randburg Selkirk, City Deep, Orlando Ekhaya, Selby Village, Fleurhof, Roodepoort, Bellavista, Dobsonville, Lombardy east, Turffontein and Lynatex. For the year, R160 706 733 was incurred for the development of these projects. A marginal amount of R11 813 267 remains as a contingent claim by contracted developers for the project.

### Pikitup Johannesburg SOC Limited

Freehold land, buildings and servitudes purchased from The City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality in terms of the sale of business agreement, have not as yet been transferred into the name of Pikitup Johannesburg (Proprietary) Limited. Transfer duties might be payable by the company on the transfer of property. It is not possible to estimate an expected amount.

The company has a contingent liability to the value of R3.1 Million in the main relating to disputed claims with respect to service providers.

## CJMM

- Five plaintiffs brought action against the Council in the 1990's, arising from major road and other construction works at the Empire Interchange, around the suburbs of Braamfontein, Milpark, Cottesloe, Melville, Parktown West, Emmarentia and Richmond. They allegedly suffered damages as a result of the Council having closed the road. (This claim was originally for R4,146,583 the majority of which was for loss of earnings). The approximate amount that the plaintiff could now claim would be in the order of approximately R500,000.
- 2. Claim for damages for R10,000,000 in respect of relocation of homeless people. The plaintiff sued the City of Johannesburg allegedly because the City of Johannesburg relocated homeless people onto the defendant's property without its consent, making it impossible for him to develop the property.
- Claim for damages against the City of Johannesburg amounting to R11,668,746. The claim is based on an alleged breach of contract in that the City of Johannesburg has allegedly failed to use its "best endeavours" to have the property transferred to the plaintiff.
- 4. Claim for breach in the amount of R12,842,449 arising from the City of Johannesburg cancellation of a security contract for operational requirements. The claim is being defended on the basis that the contract was cancelled lawfully. The hearing took place from 21 to 23 April 2015. Judgement is awaited.
- 5. Claim for R50,000,000 for services rendered in terms of a contract for the provision of red light violation services. The matter was referred to arbitration. The hearing will continue from 20 to 25 July 2015. The hearing was heard on 17 and 18 August 2015. Judgement is awaited.
- 6. The plaintiff instituted action against the City of Johannesburg for wrongful termination of a contract. It sought relief two fold, to uphold the contract, alternatively damages in the sum of R33,150,639. The matter was referred to arbitration; the plaintiff is however refusing to sign the arbitration agreement. The City is prepared to proceed with the arbitration however the plaintiff has not taken any further steps.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 52. CONTINGENCIES (continued)

- 7. The City of Johannesburg appointed a service provider (a joint venture) to construct 1000 low cost RDP houses. The one partner to the JV subsequently ceded its rights and obligations in terms of the JV agreement to the other partner, which the City of Johannesburg was not party to. The latter partner then only concluded 385 foundations. After various opportunities to remedy the defects, the City terminated the contract. A dispute was declared and the matter referred to arbitration in terms of the JBCC agreement for the sum of R29,406,592. The service provider has applied for a trial date which was on 30 January 2015, however the case was further postponed to a date not yet known. The City of Johannesburg is processing the drafting of a discovery affidavit.
- 8. Claim for breach in the amount of R3,255,674 arising from the City of Johannesburg's cancellation of a security contract for operational requirements. The claim is being defended on the basis that the contract is cancelled lawfully.
- 9. Claim for breach in the amount of R6,289,510 for allegedly failing to provide sufficient electricity for development after rezoning a property. Claim is defended on the basis that sufficient electricity is available and Plaintiff was aware of available supply.
- 10. Claim against the City of Johannesburg for the continuation of monthly post-retirement medical aid payments to employees of the city who are medical aid members. Estimated amount of R350, 000, 000. This matter arise from the City of Johannesburg withdrawing the post-retirement medical aid contributions in 2003, currently the matter is to proceed for a trial which has not been announced yet.
- 11.Claim for a refund against the City Of Johannesburg by Bombela Civil Joint Venture of R 5 ,500 ,000 in which the amount also includes legal cost.
- 12. The amount of R 5,661,578 relates to various court cases against the city of Johannesburg which were aggregated together as individually they are immaterial .

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## Figures in Rand thousand

## 53. PRIOR PERIOD RESTATEMENTS

GROUP

Statement of Financial Position	Reference	As previously reported	Change in accounting policy	Correction of errors	Restated
ASSETS Current Assets					
Trade and other receivables	1	1 324 974	-	(231 076)	1 093 898
Receivables from non-exchange transactions	1	20 552	-	`169 965 <sup>´</sup>	190 517
Consumer debtors	2	4 888 272	-	(21 698)	4 866 574
Non-Current Assets					
Investment property	3	1 262 350	-	(249 171)	1 013 179
Property, plant and equipment	4	47 426 384	-	(26 413)	47 399 971
Intangible assets	5	525 383	-	96 685 <sup>´</sup>	622 068
LIABILITIES Current Liabilities		(40,000,000)		000	(40.004.000)
Trade and other payables	6	(10 332 238)	-	936	(10 331 302)
Non-Current Liabilities Provisions	6	(589 686)	_	(76 084)	(665 770)
Finance lease obligation	4	(328 033)	_	46 544	(281 489)
reclassifications in other balance sheet items NET ASSETS	9	(8 521 248)	-	23 316	(8 497 932)
Accumulated surplus		(35 676 710)	-	266 996	(35 409 714)
		-	-	-	-

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## Figures in Rand thousand

## 53. PRIOR PERIOD RESTATEMENTS (continued)

Statement of Financial Performance	Reference	As previously reported	Change in accounting policy	Correction of errors	Restated
Revenue Revenue from exchange transactions Other income	7	1 454 827	_	(126 193)	1 328 634
Service charges	2	20 360 213	-	(244 222)	20 115 991
Revenue from non-exchange transactions Taxation revenue Property rates	2	7 549 458	_	(333 520)	7 215 938
Transfer revenue Fines	1	1 367 970	-	(359 440)	1 008 530
Expenditure	0			(00.404)	(7.040.500)
Employee related costs	8	(7 873 445) (2 061 832)	-	(69 121) (53 868)	(7 942 566)
Depreciation and amortisation Allowance for impairment of current receivables	4 2	(3 169 414)	-	192 032	(2 115 700) (2 977 382)
General Expenses	8	(4 739 690)	-	688 475	(4 051 215)
(Loss)/gain on disposal assets		(528 032)	-	(87 176)	(615 208)
Surplus before taxation					
Surplus for the year from continuing operations					
Other Reclassifications not listed	9	(8 150 791)	-	(47 340)	(8 198 131)
		4 209 264	-	(440 373)	3 768 891

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## Figures in Rand thousand

## 53. PRIOR PERIOD RESTATEMENTS (continued)

Effect of errors in accumulated surplus:	GROUP	СЈММ
Opening balance of 2014 :	173 391	316 937
Increase /(decrease) in net surplus for 2014.	(440 373)	(537 959)
Closing balance 2015	(266 982)	(221 022)

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to enhance presentation. Material amendments to prior years due to error or reclassifications are explained below

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## Figures in Rand thousand

## 53. PRIOR PERIOD RESTATEMENTS (continued)

## 1. Trade and Other Receivables and Fines

To enhance the presentation of the financial statements Traffic fines debtors were reclassified from trade and other receivables to receivables from non-exchange transactions. This is in line with the nature of the debtor as per GRAP 23.

Traffic fines revenue in the previous year was overstated as a result of invalid fines included. This error had an impact on the fines receivable, revenue and impairment. This error was identified in the current year and adjusted retrospectively.

### 2. Consumer Debtors, Service Charges, Property rates and allowance for impairment

- **Rates**: The market values of properties had changed as a result of a ratepayer's objection and the appeal process. The reversal of the prior year's revenuewas as a result of the outcome of the Connaught Case in which the court finding went against the City. The court decided that the city must reverse the billing and refund where necessary.
- **Refuse**: The prior period error relates to revenue for 2013/14 and 2012/13 financial periods. These are amounts that were not billed in their respective periods. This error was retrospectively restated.
- Water: The City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality has recalculated the accrual value in relation to the 2013 and 2014 financial years. These transactions resulted in an adjustment to revenue for the 2013 and 2014 financial years as disclosed below. This has been accounted for as a prior period adjustment.
- **Electricity:** The accrual for electricity sales includes an increase in revenue reported for the 2014 financial period and a decrease in revenue for 2013.

#### 3. Investment Property

The cost of a project was prematurely capitalised before it had been completed. The initial cost and the subsequent depreciation have thus been retrospectively reversed.

## 4. Property Plant and Equipment and Finance lease obligation

**Public Works Lease: Land (R49,5 million)** the lease was previously classified as a Finance Lease which resulted in the recognition of the land and a finance lease obligation in the financial statements. Upon critical review of the contract in conjunction with the relevant GRAP standards it was concluded that the lease was in fact an operating lease. This was due to a lack of evidence to prove that the lease transferred substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of the land. The land and the finance lease liability were thus retrospectively derecognised from the financial statements. The Finance Costs relating to the obligation were also retrospectively derecognised.

Depreciation on completed assets had not been accounted for in the prior year. This was retrospectively adjusted. (R56 million)

Property, plant and equipment which had been incorrectly classified as Heritage assets (R35 million) were retrospectively reclassified.

Donations of Heritage assets (R21 million) which had not been capitalised were retrospectively recognised.

### 5. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets were erroneously omitted from the financial statements. The cost and subsequent depreciation of these assets were retrospectively recognised.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 53. PRIOR PERIOD RESTATEMENTS (continued)

### 6. Provisions and Trade Payables

R40 million relating to provisions had been erroneously classified as Trade and other payables. This was identified in the current year and corrected retrospectively. The provision is for the settlement of the Soweto Pension Fund which was approved by the Mayoral Committee on the 2nd of December 2010. The settlement amount agreed upon was R65 million plus finance charges as from 30 June 2011. The provision of R25 million and the relevant finance charges of R3 million relating to the above provision were erroneously omitted from the financial statements. This error was identified in the current year and recognised retrospectively.

### 7.Other Income

Revenue from Rae Ayah bus services was reclassified from Other Revenue to Service Charges as management believes this to be the most appropriate classification.

Rental of Halls income was incorrectly classified as Other Revenue. It was retrospectively reclassified to Rental facilities.

Kelvin Power revenue had been under-stated by R12 million due to a misinterpretation of the contract which had stipulated for an increase of R3 million per quarter.

#### 8. Employee Related Costs and General Expenses

Utility Services provided by other members of the economic entity to the various departments and Moe's were erroneously not eliminated in the Group financial statements. This error was retrospectively restated.

The benefits paid on the Post-Retirement Liability were erroneously recorded as employee related costs in profit/loss. This error was retrospectively restated.

#### 9. Other reclassifications

Other reclassifications are items on the face of the Income statement and balance sheet which were not materially restated individually and thus have not been included in the explanation above.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## Figures in Rand thousand

### 54. RISK MANAGEMENT

#### City Power Johannesburg

### **Distribution Losses**

The electricity energy losses can be classified into technical losses and non-technical losses during the 2014/15 financial year.

The entity's technical losses for the year are measured at 9%, amounting to R840 952. Technical losses relate to energy that is lost in the transportation of electricity from the point of supply to point of distribution through evaporation.

The entity's non-technical losses decreased from 19.18% to 9.63%. Non-technical losses, if sold would have generated additional revenue of R1 524 307 (2014: R3 026 900) in the current year. The non-technical losses are attributable mainly to the following:

- Theft and bypass of meters
- Illegal decalibration of meters
- Damaged meters and faulty voltage and current transformers
- Billing errors
- Customers without meters

As part of the entity's strategy to continuously reduce the impact of non-technical losses, the following interventions have been implemented and are being reviewed and improved on an annual basis:

- Installation of automatic meter management systems, for both large and small power users i.e. automated metering technologies
- Continuous replacement of faulty conventional and pre-paid meters
- Automation of process to acquire new customers and change of meters (through the implementation of automated workflow and escalation system)
- Utilisation of an anonymous "hot line" to report theft, vandalism and tampering
- Random and targeted audits are performed, followed by the removal of illegal connections and normalisation supply

## Johannesburg Water (SOC) Ltd

Water losses are included in bulk purchases in note 45. The level of physical and commercial losses for the year under review is 22% [R776 million], (2014: 29% [R942 million). The level of physical losses for the year under review is 16% [R559 million], (2014: 20.9% [R678,9 million]). The level of commercial losses for the year under review is 6.2% [R217 million], (2014: 8.1% [R263 million]).

It is acknowledged and accepted that a certain level of water losses cannot be avoided from a technical perspective and is considered acceptable from an economic perspective. This means the cost of interventions to reduce water losses from a technical perspective should be less than the savings to be realised. The industry norm for water losses is 18%. Taking consideration hereof would result in a reduction of the level of water losses for the year under review to 4.2% [R146,8 million], (2014: 11.0% [R357,3 million]). The industry norm of 18% applied is 2% more stringent than the benchmark of 20% as published by the South African Water Research Commission.

#### GROUP TREASURY

The CJMM, through Group Treasury and the Finance Strategy unit (Treasury) manages financial risks through the usage of two portfolios comprising of financial instruments. For purposes of this disclosure, portfolios are assigned Portfolio 1 and 2. Portfolio 1 is managed internally by the CJMM while Portfolio 2 is outsourced to a specialist Fund/Portfolio Manager.

#### Portfolio 1 Overview

Effective financial risk management is imperative to CJMM. The realisation of the CJMM's objectives toward service delivery depends on CJMM's sound management of financial risks which enable the City to anticipate and respond to changes in the market environment as well as making informed decisions under conditions of uncertainty.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

The CJMM is exposed to the following financial risks from the use of financial instruments:

- Liquidity risk (including integrated cash flow management)
- Market risk.
- Credit/Counterparty risk

To ensure the execution of and compliance to overall risk management policies and guidelines in terms of exposure limits, concentration limits and volatility limits on financial assets and liabilities, CJMM plays a focal role in:

- The maintenance of sound liquidity levels such that optimal returns on surplus cash are realized and interest expenses minimized.
- Ensuring that CoJ's Credit rating is maintained or improved by ensuring that financial risk ratios fall within required limits.
- Ensuring sustainable financial viability of COJ by avoiding the occurrence of uncontrolled losses that could arise as a result of exposure to the financial markets with the overall aim of protecting CJMM's financial position.
- To provide Council with reasonable assurance that financial risks the CJMM is exposed to are identified and, to the best extent possible, mitigated and controlled.

The Treasury Unit identifies, quantifies and sets up control measures to mitigate financial risks in close co-operation with operating units. Treasury executes its responsibility in line with the approved Treasury and Assets and Liabilities Management (ALM) policies.

### Financial Risk Management Framework

The Risk Management Framework serves to raise awareness, inform and guide the Group on its approved approach to risk management. The framework, which is reviewed on a continuous basis in line with best market practices, seeks to assist the Group in the effective identification, evaluation and control of financial risks that may impact upon the realization of corporate, mayoral and service delivery objectives and priorities that the Group has set itself to achieve.

Council, through the Assets and Liabilities Committee (ALCO), has overall responsibility for the establishment and oversight of the CJMM's risk management framework. ALCO, in this regard, is responsible for developing and monitoring the CJMM's financial risk management policies. ALCO reports regularly to the Mayoral Committee and Section 79 committee on its activities.

The CJMM's financial risk exposures are managed by the Treasury Unit. The CJMM's activities expose it to a variety of financial risks. The municipality's overall financial risk management programme focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to minimise potential adverse effects on the CJMM's financial performance. The group recognises that an effective risk management function is fundamental to its business. Risk awareness, control and compliance are embedded in Treasury's day-to-day activities.

The CJMM's Treasury unit reports its risk management activities to Mayoral, Council and ALCO on a regular basis. Risk management policies and systems are reviewed regularly to reflect changes in market conditions and the CJMM's activities.

## Liquidity and Concentration Risk

Liquidity Risk, in this instance, refers to the risk that CJMM may not meet its periodic obligations with respect to its liabilities when they fall due. Management of liquidity risk is particularly important as it ensures that capital and operating expenditure is met. Treasury enters into liability obligations to bridge funding gaps arising from both capital and operational expenditure with the aim of ensuring that CJMM meets its liability obligations when the fall due.

For each financial year, Council approves a funding plan that minimizes liquidity risk. Treasury manages both the long-term and short-term cash requirements, with surplus funds from operations of the City invested in short term money market instruments.

Long-term liquidity risks arising from capital project initiatives are managed through issuance of long-term debt in the form of COJ bonds or long term loans or a combination of the two.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Short-term liquidity constraints are managed through two types of short-term funding methods: i) General Banking Facilities and ii) Commercial Paper Issuance. CJMM's Treasury makes sure that all short term facilities utilised within the financial year are paid before the end of the financial year in line with Section 45(4)(a) of the MFMA. A cash management policy for managing its short-term cash flows and cash balances in a cost-effective manner is in place. The cash management policy assists the Group in managing its liquidity risk through the use of cash projection models with the aim of minimizing variances between projected and actual usage.

Both Short-term and Long-term borrowings are approved as per the budget and the banking services contract. The table below indicates approved facilities as at end of June 2015:

Details	Approved	Total	Available for
	Funding	Utilised	use
Long-Term Borrowings Borrowings	3 276 000	3 276 000	_

Liquidity risk is also linked to Concentration risk which could be defined as the probability of high cash outflow arising from concentration of debt obligations payable around the same period, resulting in the risk of default and the inability to evenly spread liability obligations.

## **Funding Debt Maturities**

The Group funds its coupon, interest and capital payments for all liabilities, other than bonds, from a Contingency Reserve Fund (CRF). Operational surpluses generated by the City are channeled into the CRF. Capital redemptions for bonds are funded from the Sinking Fund. The CJMM's annual budget contains provisions for coupon, interest and capital payments.

In line with GRAP 104, the tables below show CJMM's contractual maturity analysis of its interest rate swap and nonderivative financial liabilities.

#### Swap Redemption Analysis

Instrument	Maturity date	Due in less than a vear	Due in one to two vears	Due in two to three years	Due in three to four vears	Due in four to five vears	
Interest Rate Swap R1bn Loan	29-Mar- 18	24 300	15 279	9 270	-	-	-

Capital Redemption Analysis of Non Derivative Liabilities as at 30 June 2015

Class	Balance	Due in less than a year	Due in one to two years	Due in two to three years	Due in three to four years	Due in four to five years	Due in more than five years
Floating Rate Loans	1 987	14 724	114 724	114 724	68 386	65 111	510 028
Fixed Rate Loans	13 708	158 694	469 693	148 397	440 743	467 081	723 429
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### Maturity Analysis of Investments

The table below shows the maturity profile of investments as at 30 June 2015

Investment type	Due in less than a year	Due in one to two years	Due in two to three years	Due in three to four years	Due in four to five years	Due in more than five years
Call Deposits Short-Term Investments	106 136 167 800	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-

Market risk

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Market risk is the risk that changes in market prices, such as interest rates and commodity prices will affect the CJMM's income or the value of its holdings of financial instruments. The objective of market risk management is to manage and control market risk exposures within acceptable risk parameters, while optimising the CJMM's service delivery objectives. GRAP 104 requires entities to disclose sensitivity analysis for each type of market risk as shown in the sections below. Interest rate risk is the main category of market risk which affects the Group.

#### Interest rate risk

This refers to the risk that the value of a financial instrument will change due to a change in i) the absolute level of interest rates; ii) in the spread between two rates; iii) in the shape of the yield curve or in any other interest rate relationship. CJMM's floating rate liabilities are exposed to interest rate risk in terms of both cash flow and fair values.

#### Interest Rate Fair Value Sensitivity Analysis

The fair values of the CJMM's floating rate liability portfolio are sensitive to interest rate changes. The fair values of these liabilities are based on projected cash flows calculated using market projected forward rates. The projected cash flows are then discounted using market implied discount factors. The table below shows how the fair values of floating rate liabilities change on the basis of the following assumptions:

- The base case interest rate is at current levels
- A range of values between two upward percent and one downward percent movement in interest rates. Management generally expects interest rates to rise in the future.

#### Fair value sensitivity to the interest rate movement/shift for Floating Rate Loans

Class	Fair Value	-1%	-0.50%	0	0.50%	1%	1.50%	2%
Floating Rate Loans	s 1 274 602	1 207 573	1 241 079	1 274 602	1 308 141	1 341 696	1 375 268	1 408 857

#### Fair Value Sensitivity Analysis of Variable Rate Liabilities

The fair value sensitivity analysis of variable rate liabilities shows that a 2 percentage point increase in interest rates will increase the fair value of floating rate liabilities by R134 million (10.53%), and a 1 percentage point decrease in interest rates will decrease the fair value of floating rate liabilities by R67 million.(-5.26).

#### Interest Rate Cashflow Sensitivity Analysis

The Floating rate tables below shows the cash flow sensitivity analysis for floating rate liabilities. The sensitivity analysis is based on the following assumptions:

- The base case interest rate is at current levels
- A two percent upward and one percent downward movement in interest rates.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

## Cash flow sensitivity analysis

Loan name	Institution	Nominal	Issue date	Cash Flow Rate	Rate option	-1%	-0.50%	0%	0.50%	1%	1.50%	2%
DBSA 13541-1	DBSA	75 829	31 Mar-02	30-Sep-15 3 months JIBAR + 2.535	% Floating	3 555	3 622	3 689	3 755	3 822	3 889	3 956
		-		31-Dec-15		3 545	3 609	3 672	3 736	3 800	3 863	3 927
		-		31-Mar-16		3 505	3 565	3 625	3 685	3 745	3 805	3 864
		-		30Jun-16		3 488	3 545	3 601	3 658	3 715	3 771	3 828
		-				-		-	-	-		
DBSA 102761-1	DBSA	425 000	20-Aug-09	31-Dec-15 6 months JIBAR + 2.85%	Floating	28 216	29 129	30 043	30 957	31 870	32 784	33 668
		-		30-Jun-16		28 268	29 141	30 014	30 886	31 759	32 631	33 504
		-		30-Dec-16		28 545	29 396	30 246	31 097	31 948	32 799	33 649
		-		31-Jun-17		28 188	28 994	29 800	30 606	31 412	32 218	33 023
		-				-		-	-	-		
		-				-		-	-	-		
		-				-		-	-	-		
DBSA 103345-1	DBSA	495 000	17-Apr-09	31-Dec-15 6 months JIBAR + 2.96%	5 Floating	33 441	34 500	35 559	36 617	37 676	38 734	39 793
		-		30-Jun-16		33 468	34 478	35 488	36 498	37 507	38 517	39 527
		-		31-Dec-16		33 757	34 740	35 724	36 706	37 689	38 672	39 655
		-		31-Jun-17		33 307	34 236	35 166	36 096	37 026	37 956	38 885
		-				-		-	-	-		
CALYON	CALYON	190 469	05-Sep-06	30-Sep-15 3 months JIBAR less	Floating	10 385	10 522	10 659	10 796	10 933	10 933	11 288
				0.35%								
		-		30-Dec-15		10 351	10 478	10 603	10 729	10 855	10 981	11 106
		-		31-Mar-16		10 263	10 377	10 489	10 602	10 715	10 829	10 942
		-		30-Jun-16		10 206	10 307	10 409	10 511	10 622	10 714	10 816
		-				-		-	-	-		
		-				-		-	-	-		
		-				-		-	-	-		

Interest rate shift

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

		-					-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		-	04 Mar 40	00 0 45 0		Ele etia e	-		-	-	-	-	
NEDBANK 1b	NEDBANK	1 000 000	04-Mar-10	30-Sep-15 3	months JIBAR + 2.8%	Floating	40 134	42 692	45 254	47 818	50 386	52 956	55 530
		-		31-Mar-16			42 242	44 802	47 366	49 933	52 504	55 077	57 654
		-		30-Sep-16			44 738	47 302	49 869	52 439	55 012	57 589	60 168
		-		31-Mar-17			46 345	48 897	51 452	54 011	56 571	59 135	61 703
		-					-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCMB 200m	SCMB	69 942	19-Sep-03	30-Sep-15	CPI plus Margin	Floating	3 300	3 317	3 333	3 350	3 367	3 383	3 400
		-		31-Dec-15			3 300	3 317	3 333	3 350	3 367	3 383	3 400
		-		31-Mar-15			3 300	3 317	3 333	3 350	3 367	3 383	3 400
		-		30-Jun-16			3 300	3 317	3 333	3 350	3 367	3 383	3 400
		-					-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

#### Hedging Interest Rate Risk

## Testing Hedge Effectiveness by the City of Johannesburg

#### **Dollar-Offset Method**

The City of Johannesburg tests the hedge effectiveness of the interest rate swap using the Dollar Offset Method. The Dollar-Offset Method compares changes in the fair value or cash flow of the hedged item and the derivative (hypothetical swap). A hypothetical swap is one that has a fixed rate which gives a zero value at inception. The fixed rate that gives a zero value at swap initiation is 11.213%.

This rate is used to generate the hypothetical swap. The all in fixed rate on the actual swap is 11.66%. The Dollar-Offset Method can be applied either period-by-period or cumulatively.

The per period approach is used to generate accounting entries. Under the per period test, the movement in the swap value from one financial year to the next period is compared to the movement in the hypothetical swap in the same period.

The cumulative approach is used to measure hedge effectiveness. Under the cumulative test, the movement in the swap value from inception to the next is compared to the movement in the hypothetical swap in the same period.

Should the hedge be effective, the market and credit risk gets included in Other Comprehensive Income (OCI), by deferring the minimum of the change in fair value of the actual swap and the hypothetical swap, provided the hedge effectiveness ratio is between 80% and 125%.

Per IAS 39 IG F.4.2: The expected hedge effectiveness may be assessed on a cumulative basis if the hedge is so designated and that condition is incorporated into the appropriate hedging documentation. Therefore even if a hedge is not expected to be highly effective in a particular period, hedge accounting is not precluded if effectiveness is expected to remain sufficiently high over the life of the hedging relationship.

If the hedge no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting in paragraph 88, the COJ shall prospectively discontinue applying hedge accounting. The cumulative gain or loss on the hedging instrument that has been recognised in other comprehensive income from the period when the hedge was effective shall remain separately in equity until the forecast transaction occurs. When the transaction occurs; amounts that had been recognised in other comprehensive income shall be reclassified from equity to profit or loss as a reclassification adjustment in the same period or periods during which the hedged forecast cash flows affect profit or loss.

#### Hedge effectiveness measurement

Assessment of the actual effectiveness as at 30 June 2014 as per the Dollar-Offset Method was calculated as shown below:

Date	Swap Value	Hypothetical Swap Value	Cummulative Effectiveness Test	Per Period Effectiveness Test
31 March 2011	(23 701)	-	- %	- %
30 June 2011	(51 288)	(26 773)	103,00 %	103,04 %
30 June 2012	(117 932)	(95 323)	99,00 %	97,22 %
30 June2013	(76 629)	(57 469)	93,00 %	109,11 %
30 June 2014	(54 113)	(38 470)	80,00 %	118,51 %
30 June 2015	(45 217)	(33 104)	66,00 %	165,00 %

Given that the effectiveness of the cash flow hedge is 165.78 percent which is not within the 80-125 percent effectiveness range, the hedge no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting in IAS 39.88. Given the ineffectiveness of the cash flow hedge in the current period, the COJ will discontinue with hedge accounting. Thus the reserve of R38,469,561 shall be reclassified from equity to profit or loss when the forecast transaction occurs. During the current financial year R18,463,137 of the reserve was reclassified to profit/loss. The current movement on the swap liability of R8,896,143 was fully recognised directly in profit/loss due to the discontinuation of hedge accounting.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

#### Swap Cashflow Sensitivity

The table below shows how the cashflow resulting from the swap would respond to changes in interest rates assuming:

- The base case interest rate is at current levels
- A two percent upward and one percent downward movement in interest rates

		SWAP C	ASHFLOW SE	NSITIVITY ANAL	_YSIS		
Date	-1%	-0.50%	0	0.50%	1%	1.50%	2%
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30-Sep-15	(15 702)	(14 413)	(13 206)	(11 836)	(10 548)	(9 260)	(7 971)
31-Mar-16	(15 989)	(13 535)	(11 093)	(8 648)	(6 213)	(3 784)	(1 361)
30-Sep-16	(13 180)	(10 877)	(8 591)	(6 321)	(4 067)	(1 829)	392
31-Mar-17	(10 921)	(8 790)	(6 688)	(4 602)	(2 544)	(509)	1 503
29-Sep-17	(9 214)	(7 242)	(5 297)	(3 388)	(1 506)	348	2 174
29-Mar-18	(7 541)	(5 740)	(3 973)	(2 241)	(541)	1 127	2 764
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	(72 547)	(60 597)	(48 848)	(37 036)	(25 419)	(13 907)	(2 499)

From the above table we note that a 1 percentage point decrease in interest rates would increase swap cashflows by R23.7 million(48.51%). A 2 percentage point increase in interest rates will decrease the swap cash R46.4 million (94.88%).

#### Swap Fair Value Sensitivity

The table below shows how the fair value of the swap would respond to changes in interest rates assuming:

- The base case interest rate is at current levels
- A two percent upward and one percent downward movement in interest rates.

		Sv	vap Fair Valu	ie Sensitivi	ty			
Instrument	Maturity date		Fair va	lue sensitiv	vity to the in	terest rate s	hift	
		-1%	-0.50%	0%	0.50%	1%	1.5%	2%
Interest Rate Swap on R1BN loan	29-Mar-18	(67 343)	(56 115)	(45 217)	(34 383)	(23 863)	(13 565)	(3 481)

On the basis of the above assumptions, a 1 percentage point increase in interest rates will result in a 47% decrease in the value of the swap liability. There is a positive relationship between the swap value and interest rates, thus if interest rates increase, the value of the swap will increase.

#### **Estimation of Fair Values**

The fair value of financial instruments that are not traded in an active market (for example, trading and available for sale securities) is based on quoted market prices at the balance sheet date. The quoted market price used for financial assets held by the municipality is the current bid offer price. The fair value of financial instruments that are not traded in an active market (for example, over-the counter derivatives) is determined by using valuation techniques.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

The municipality uses a variety of methods and makes assumptions that are based on market conditions existing at each balance sheet date. Quoted market prices or dealer quotes for similar instruments are used for long-term debt. Other techniques, such as estimated discounted cash flows, are used to determine fair value for the remaining financial instruments.

To determine the fair values of floating rate instruments, the municipality uses market forward rates to estimate future interest and capital cashflows, and then utilises market implied discount rates to calculate their present values. To determine the fair values of fixed rate instruments, the municipality uses market implied discount factors to calculate their present values.

The fair value of interest rate swaps is calculated as the present value of the estimated future cash flows.

#### Fair Value Hierarchy

In terms of GRAP 104.130 there are different levels of fair values based on the extent that quoted prices are used in the calculation of the fair value. The fair value hierarchy applies to instruments reported at fair value on the statement of financial position. The interest rate swap is the only instrument reported at fair value and therefore needs to be classified as per fair value hierarchy. Level 2 Fair values are calculated using valuation techniques based on observable inputs either directly or indirectly other than level 1 inputs. This category includes instruments valued using quoted market prices in active markets for similar instruments, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are considered less than active or other valuation techniques where all significant inputs are directly or indirectly observable from market data. The Level 2 all-inclusive fair value of the swap stood at -R45.2 million at the end of 30 June 2014.

#### Credit/Counterparty Risk

The Group deposits surplus funds with financial institutions to mitigate against the negative cost of carry and these funds are diversified around different investment types and institutions.

The credit limit exposure table below depicts all investments with various counterparties as at the 30 June 2015. Total investments were R 3.2 billion. Treasury constantly monitors the percentage limit utilized.

	Operat	ional	Ringfe	nced			
Approved	Call Deposits	Term	Call Deposits	Term	Total	Available for	Percentage
Limit		Deposits		Deposits	Exposure	use	Utilised
4 825	90	300	511	1 626	2 527	2 298	52 %
1 050	16	-	-	242	258	792	24 %
1 200	3	-	-	-	3	1 197	- %
2 850	486	-	-	-	486	2 364	17 %
9 925	595	300	511	1 868	3 274	6 651	32 %
	Limit 4 825 1 050 1 200 2 850	Approved         Call Deposits           Limit         4 825         90           1 050         16           1 200         3           2 850         486	Limit Deposits 4 825 90 300 1 050 16 - 1 200 3 - 2 850 486 -	Approved         Call Deposits         Term         Call Deposits           Limit         Deposits         Deposits         Deposits           4 825         90         300         511           1 050         16         -         -           1 200         3         -         -           2 850         486         -         -	Approved Call DepositsTerm DepositsCall Deposits DepositsTerm Deposits4 825903005111 6261 050162421 20032 850486	Approved Call DepositsTerm DepositsCall Deposits DepositsTerm DepositsTotal Exposure4 825903005111 6262 5271 050162422581 200332 850486486	Approved Call DepositsTerm DepositsCall Deposits DepositsTerm DepositsTotal 

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

## Credit quality of Investments

The credit qualities of CJMM's counterparties as at 30 June 2015 are shown in the table below.

					Long	g Tern	n Rati	ing					S	hort T	erm F	Rating	
COUNTERPARTY	AAA	AA+	AA	AA-	A+	Α	A-	BBB	BBB	Govt	Not	F1+	F1	F2	F3	Govt	Not
CLASS								+		Guara	Rated					Guara	Rated
										nteed						nteed	
Domestic Banks	1		3		1							4	1				
International Banks				1		2						1	2				
Public Sector		2										2	0				
Asset management		1		1							3						5
firms																	
Total	1	3	3	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	7	3	0	0	0	5

### Portfolio 2

#### Introduction and overview

In order for the CJMM to meet its debt redemption obligations specific to its long-term borrowing and to mitigate the related risks, the CJMM has mandated a fund manager to operate its Debts Redemption Fund (The Fund).

The key objectives central to the fund included in the mandate are:

- Immunize the liability, in principle eliminating interest rate risk, as well as eliminating reinvestment risk by matching the investment horizon of funds with their anticipated utilization;
- Enable the CJMM to meet their redemption obligations

The fund has exposure to the following risks from financial instruments:

- Credit risk
- Liquidity risk
- Market risk
- Operational risk

This note presents information about the Fund's exposure to each of the above risks, the Fund's objectives, policies and processes for measuring and managing risk.

## **Risk Management Framework**

The Fund maintains positions in a variety of derivative and non-derivative financial instruments in accordance with its investment management strategy. The Liability Plus approach entails a risk-based investment strategy that manages the fund's assets appropriately, relative to its liabilities. The strategy focuses on mitigating the risks surrounding the liability, whilst at the same time seeking a return from the asset base. Conservative hedges can be employed to provide protection against the risks embodied in the liability. An asset strategy deployed is designed to deliver above-benchmark returns, and this is overlaid on the protective derivative structures. The integrated solution mitigates risks and improves performance.

The Fund's investment manager has been given a discretionary authority to manage the assets in line with the Fund's investment objectives. Compliance with the Fund's risk management framework is monitored quarterly by the Fund's Risk Committee which is chaired by the City Treasurer. Other committee members include senior treasury officials and the investment management team. Overall governance is monitored by the CJMM's Asset and Liability Committee (ALCO) whose primary objective is to manage financial risk emanating from the City's operational and borrowing initiatives.

## Credit Risk

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

### 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Credit Risk, in the instance of Portfolio 2, is the risk that a counterparty to a financial instrument will fail to discharge an obligation or commitment that it has entered into with the Fund, resulting in a financial loss to the Fund. It arises principally from derivative financial assets, cash and cash equivalents, balances due from agencies and receivables from reverse repurchase agreements.

#### Management of credit risk

The Fund's policy over credit risk is to minimise its exposure to counterparties with perceived higher risk of default by dealing only with counterparties meeting the credit standards set out in the Fund's risk management policy. Credit risk is monitored on a daily basis by the investment manager in accordance with policies and procedures in place. Any deviations on the expected parameters of the Fund's credit risk are acted upon immediately.

- In terms of this mandate, the acceptable credit exposures are:
- Government
- Parastatals
- Highly-Rated Corporate, Banks and Institutions

Exposure limits are determined as a function of the primary capital of the issuer, the credit rating provided by a rating agency and the liquidity of the instrument.

#### Exposure to credit risk

The Fund's maximum credit risk at the reporting date is represented by the respective carrying amount of the relevant financial assets in the statement of financial position at 30 June 2015. The Fund was invested in securities of the following credit quality:

Instrument Type	Fair Value
Bonds	(1 162 992)
Bond Repos	3 017 737
FRN	1 111 685
FRA	(1 826)
Bond options	(28 662)
Cash	374 618
Cash Collateral	27 364
н	309 073
Swaps	88 409
Amortisation Swaps	24 287
	_

#### Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Fund will encounter difficulty in meeting the obligations associated with its financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset.

#### Management of liquidity risk

The Fund's policy and the investment manager's approach to managing liquidity is to ensure, as far as possible, that it will always have sufficient liquidity to meet its liabilities when due, under both normal and stress conditions, including estimated redemptions of bonds, without incurring unacceptable losses or risking damage to the Fund's reputation.

The Fund's liquidity risk is managed on a daily basis by the investment manager in accordance with policies and procedures in place. The Fund's overall liquidity risk is monitored on a quarterly basis by the Fund's Risk Committee and CJMM ALCO. Six months prior to any CJMM upcoming bond redemptions, the liquidity of the Fund is assessed in relation to the required redemption amount and necessary measures to meet the obligations are undertaken if necessary.

#### Maturity analysis for financial instruments

The following are the contractual maturities of financial assets and liabilities, including estimated interest payments:

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Class Settled Bond Assets	Trade NPV (Today) 1 538 510			Due in two to three years 171 704			Due in more than five years 894 553
Class Settled Bond Liabilities	Trade NPV (Today) (2 704 406)	than a year		Due in two to three years			Due in more than five years (2 704 406)
	(2704400)						(2704400)
Class	Trade NPV (Today)			Due in two to three years			Due in more than five years
FRN Assets	1 336 212	-	-	472 541	558 057	305 614	
Class	Trade (Tod			n one to Due i years three	n two to Due e years to fo		in four to ve years
FRN liabilities	(22	4 527)	-	-	(22 528)	-	-
Subtotal	· · · ·	4 527) <b>4 527)</b>	-		(22 528) (22 528)	-	-
	(22	+ 527)		_	(22 320)		
Class	Trade NPV (Today)			Due in two to three years			Due in more than five years
FRA Assets	17 596	17 596	-	-	_	-	-
					-		
	Trade NPV (Today)	than a year	to two years	Due in two to three years			Due in more than five years
Class FRA Liabilities		than a year	to two years	three years			than five
	(Today)	than a year (14 095) Due in less	to two years (5 327) Due in one	three years	to four years - Due in three	to five years - Due in four	than five years Due in more than five
FRA Liabilities	(Today) (19 421) Trade NPV	than a year (14 095) Due in less	to two years (5 327) Due in one	three years	to four years - Due in three	to five years - Due in four	than five years
FRA Liabilities	(Today) (19 421) Trade NPV (Today)	than a year (14 095) Due in less than a year 23 376 Due in less	to two years (5 327) Due in one to two years 13 871 Due in one	three years	to four years - Due in three to four years 4 793 Due in three	to five years - Due in four to five years 16 768 Due in four	than five years Due in more than five years

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Class	Trade NPV (Today)	Due in less than a year		Due in two to three years			Due in more than five years
Bond Repos Asset	3 018 257	3 018					<u> </u>
Class	Trade NPV (Today)	Due in less than a year		Due in two to three years			Due in more than five years
NDCs Assets	309 073	309 073	-	-	-	-	
	309 073	309 073	-	-	-	-	-

### Market Risk

Market Risk is the risk that changes in market prices such as interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates and credit spreads (not relating to changes in the issuers credit standing) will affect the Fund's income or the fair value of its holdings of financial instruments. The objective of market risk management is to manage and control market risk exposures within acceptable parameters.

#### Management of market risk

The Fund's strategy for the management of market risk is driven by the Fund's objective. The Fund deploys assetliability matching principles to design an asset management strategy to immunise the portfolio from the underlying risks inherent in the liability. In addition, an active portfolio management strategy that rebalances the assets in order to take advantage of market mispricing opportunities is followed. Directional trades are overlaid on the asset strategy to provide yield enhancement.

The Fund's market risk is managed on a daily basis by the investment manager in accordance with policies and procedures in place. The Fund manager monitors the market risk in real time using the Rand per-Point metric which defines the profit or loss that would be generated by a one basis point move in the underlying interest rate curve.

The Fund's market positions are monitored on a quarterly basis by the Fund's Risk Committee and CJMM ALCO.

The Fund uses derivatives to manage its exposure to interest rate and other price risks. The instruments used include interest rate swaps, forward contracts, futures and options.

#### Interest rate risk sensitivity analysis

The Fund is exposed to the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of its financial instruments will fluctuate as a result of changes in market interest rates. With respect to the Fund's interest-bearing financial instruments, the Fund is subject to exposure of fair value or cash flow interest rate risk due to fluctuations in the prevailing levels of market interest rates.

The sensitivity analysis reflects how changes in underlying interest rates affect the fair value of the financial instruments.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

#### Fair Value Sensitivity Analysis

Fair Value Sensitivity to the interest rate movement/shift

Asset Class	-1%	-0.5%	0	0.5%	1%	1.5%	2%
Redemptions	(5 606 519)	(5 499 112)	(5 394 990)	(5 294 032)	(5 196 120)	(5 101 144)	(5 008 996)
Contributions	1 689 186	1 674 151	1 659 356	1 644 799	1 630 474	1 616 376	1 602 501
Bonds	(1 275 881)	(1 217 842)	(1 162 992)	(1 111 146)	(1 062 134)	(1 015 794)	(971 972)
Bonds Repos	3 018 332	3 018 034	3 017 737	3 017 439	3 017 142	3 016 845	3 016 549
FRN	1 112 921	1 112 302	1 111 685	1 111 069	1 110 455	1 109 843	1 109 232
FRA	3 237	704	(1 826)	(4 352)	(6 874)	(9 394)	(11 909)
IRS	259 471	183 977	112 696	45 313	(18 458)	(78 879)	(136 189)
ABSA Cal	374 618	374 618	374 618	374 618	374 618	374 618	374 618
Reg Sec Collateral	17 460	17 460	17 460	17 460	17 460	17 460	17 460
Nedbank Collateral	9 903	9 903	9 903	9 903	9 903	9 903	9 903
Bond options	6 188	(10 329)	(28 662)	(44 640)	(55 243)	(60 402)	(62 195)
NCD'S	309 241	309 157	309 073	308 989	308 905	308 821	308 737
Net	(81 843)	(26 977)	24 058	75 420	130 128	188 253	247 739

## **Operational risk**

Operational risk is the risk of direct or indirect loss arising from a wide variety of causes associated with the processes, technology and infrastructure supporting the Fund's activities with financial instruments either internally within the Fund or externally at the Fund's service providers, and from external factors other than credit, market and liquidity risks such as those arising from legal and regulatory requirements and generally accepted standards of investment management behaviour.

The primary responsibility for the development and implementation of controls over operational risk rests with the Fund's Risk Committee. This responsibility is supported by the development of overall standards for the management of operational risk, which encompasses the controls and processes at the service providers and the establishment of service levels with the service providers, in the following areas:

- requirements for appropriate segregation of duties between various functions, roles and responsibilities;
- · requirements for the reconciliation and monitoring of transactions;
- compliance with regulatory and other legal requirements;
- · documentation of controls and procedures;
- requirements for the periodic assessment of operational risk faced, and the adequacy of controls and procedures to address the risks identified;
- contingency plans;
- ethical and business standards;
- risk mitigation

The Fund's objective is to manage operational risk so as to balance limiting of financial losses and damage to its reputation with achieving its investment objective of generating returns to the CJMM.

The Fund has provided the custodian a general lien over the financial assets held in custody for the purpose of covering the exposure from providing custody services. The general lien is part of the standard contractual terms of the custody agreement. At present, ABSA Bank Limited provides custody services.

## Valuation of financial instruments

Availability of observable market prices and model inputs reduces the need for management opinion and estimation. This also reduces the uncertainty associated with determination of fair values. Availability of observable market prices and inputs varies depending on the products and markets and is prone to changes based on specific events and general conditions of financial markets.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

### Figures in Rand thousand

## 54. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

The Fund has an established control framework with respect to the measurement of fair values. This framework includes a portfolio valuation function which is independent of front office management and reports to the Funds Risk committee which has overall responsibility of significant fair value measurements. Specific controls include: verification of observable pricing inputs and re-performance of model valuation; a review and approval process for new models and changes to such models; analysis and investigation of significant daily valuation movements and reporting of significant valuation issues to the Funds Risk committee.

The Fund measures fair values using the following fair value hierarchy that reflects the significance of the inputs used in the measurements:

- · Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in an active market for an identical instrument.
- Level 2: Valuation techniques based on observable inputs either directly i.e (as prices ) or indirectly (i.e derived from prices). This category includes instruments valued using: quoted market prices in active markets for similar instruments; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are considered less than active;or other valuation techniques where all significant inputs are directly or indirectly observable from market data
- Level 3: Valuation techniques using significant, unobservable inputs. This category includes all instruments where the valuation technique includes inputs not based on observable data and the unobservable inputs have a significant effect on the instruments valuation. This category includes instruments that are valued based on quoted prices for similar instruments for which significant unobservable adjustments or assumptions are required to reflect differences between instruments.

Fair values of financial assets and financial liabilities that are traded in active markets are based on quoted prices or dealer price quotations.

The Fund uses widely recognised valuation models for determining the fair value of common and simpler financial instruments, or estimation. Observable prices and model inputs are usually available in the market for listed debt, exchange like interest swaps that use only observable market data and require little management, judgment and/ traded derivatives exchange and simple over the counter derivatives like interest rate swaps.

The table below analyses financial instruments measured at fair value at the end of the reporting period by the level in the fair value hierarchy into which the fair value measurement is categorized:

30 June 2015 Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Bonds	(1 162 992)	-	-	(1 162 992)
Bond Repos	-	3 017 737	-	`3 017 737 <sup>´</sup>
Floating Rate Notes	-	1 111 685	-	1 111 685
Forward Rate Agreements	-	(1 826)	-	(1 826)
Interest Rate Swaps	-	112 696	-	112 696
Bond options	-	-	(28 662)	(28 662)
	(1 162 992)	4 240 292	(28 662)	3 048 638

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROU	IP	CJMI	M
Figu	ires in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
55.	FRUITLESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURE				
	Reconciliation of fruitless and wasteful expenditure				
	Opening balance	19 153	24 069	2 321	6 200
	Fruitless and wasteful expenditure current year	1 099	2 536	45	19
	Approved by Council or condoned	(23)	(3 987)	-	(648)
	Transfered to Receivables/Reclassified	(361)	(3 465)	-	(3 250)
	Transfer to other debtors for verification	19 868	19 153	2 366	2 321

## **GROUP - 2015**

Johannesburg Development Agency (SOC) Ltdt

The African Food Hub Project incurred standing costs that were paid to the contractor for standing time. The JDA Development Manager delayed to provide the project's professional team with the approval of the application for road closure for the African Food Hub Project. Consequently, the engineers could not obtain the final approval for the construction drawings. This resulted in the contractor charging and claiming standing time due to delays experienced. A disciplinary process pertaining to the responsible JDA employees is currently underway in this matter. R859, 806

Interest on late payment of Telkom invoices R662

Johannesburg Roads Agency SOC Limited

Interest charged on the Telkom account at 30 June 2015 due to late payments. R  $9{,}038$ 

The entity incurred legal fees and interest on the Scholtz Attorneys account at 30 June 2015 due to late payments. R25,862

Interest on late payment of Fund contributions at 30 June 2015 due to late application of contribution percentage increase. R2,140

The entity is currently in the process of investigating the interest charges and once finalized, disciplinary action will be taken on individuals responsible for the fruitless expenditure.

City of Joburg Property Company (SOC) Limited

Disputes with creditors over contracts and invoices resulted in interest being levied on overdue accounts in the 2014/15 financial year. R156 813

## GROUP - 2014

## Johannesburg Roads Agency SOC Limited

Interest charged on the Telkom account as at 30 June 2015 due to late payments. R22,932  $\,$ 

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 55. FRUITLESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURE (continued)

#### City of Johannesburg Property Company (Proprietary) Limited

The penalties reflected relates to the late submission of the August 2013 VAT due to a banking error on the day of submission. There were outstanding payments on the PAYE returns of MTC from the 2009 financial year that accumulated penalties and interest. Disputes with creditors over contracts and invoices resulted in interest being levied on overdue accounts in the 2013/14 financial year, this cost is to be transferred to the relevant departments and MOE's from which the expense originates. R594,507

#### Johannesburg City Parks NPC

The interest was incurred as a result of late receipts of certified invoices and supplier statements by the accounts payable department R12,000

#### ,

## Pikitup Johannesburg SOC Limited

Catering for the Employee Wellness department meeting was arranged and a supplier order was issued. The relevant official failed to timeously cancel the order with the supplier and resulting in the food being delivered and returned. Relevant disciplinary action was being taken in terms of MFMA. R2.975

Payment for the supply and delivery of 240L bins- The service provider Phambili Services (Pty) Ltd was appointed in 2012/13 financial period to provide 240L bins to Pikitup. A fraudulent request to change banking details was processed and a payment made and it was subsequently established that payment was made into a fraudulent account. By the time the account was suspended by the bank, 5 days had lapsed and R1,261 960 of the original payment had been withdrawn or transferred. The service provider claimed this amount to be refunded. A subsequent forensic investigation cleared Pikitup staff of any involvement and recommended a review of internal controls and disciplinary action for possible negligence against applicable employees. The control environment regarding the changing of banking details have been reviewed and additional controls put in place and the disciplinary steps against three employees have been taken.

R1,261,960

#### Johannesburg Development Agency (SOC) Ltd

The interest and penalties paid relate to sweeping interest and an amount charged by SARS on VAT return submissions. An objection was filed in dispute of this. A letter was received from SARS dated 9 September 2014, indicating that the interest and penalties previously charged had been waived. Total interest charged and recovered was - R243,604. The sweeping interest has since been reclassified as finance charges and taken out of the fruitless and wasteful expenditure line item. R558,789

Fraud adjustment R20,485

#### City Power Johannesburg (SOC) Limited

Interest on late payments R44 000

#### CJMM - 2015

Rates and Taxes Department

An amount of R2 748 relates to an incorrect advertisement placed on the newspaper.

Housing Department

An amount of R41 917 relates to interest charged on Eskom account.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 55. FRUITLESS AND WASTEFUL EXPENDITURE (continued)

## CJMM - 2014

#### **Revenue Department**

The Fruitless and wasteful expenditure for R18, 974 relates to interest charged for late payment. Disciplinary steps / Criminal proceedings: None

## EMS

An amount of R647,758 was condoned during the year.

#### **Reversal of Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure**

An amount of R3,250,000 was found to have been incorrectly classified as Fruitless and wasteful expenditure. This error was identified and corrected in the 2013/14 financial year; however, the prior financial years were not restated as the amount was of low materiality.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GROU	JP	CJM	M
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
56. UNAUTHORISED EXPENDITURE				
Reconciliation of unauthorised expenditure				
Opening balance Unauthorised expenditure current year	1 767 154 959 322	53 165 1 713 989	1 765 700 959 322	51 711 1 713 989
	2 726 476	1 767 154	2 725 022	1 765 700

## GROUP - 2015

## Johannesburg Development Agency (SOC) Ltd

The unauthorised expenditure relates to 2012/13. Subsequent to the approval of the mid-year budget downward adjustment of 2012/13, it was evident that the JDA would report a deficit of approximately R4.1 million. The JDA Board approved the utilisation of reserves up to the value of R2.4 million with the balance of R1.7 million expected to be generated from interest revenue and other income to fund the deficit. The higher electricity costs incurred during the 2012/13 financial year as a result of the change in the method of calculating consumption, the higher recruitment costs as well as the fraud suffered in the current year resulted in the major over-expenditure in these line items. Some of the electricity charges initially billed by City Power were reversed in the year under review.

## CJMM - 2015

The unauthorised expenditure relates to non-cash items i.e. debt impairment, depreciation and asset impairment which resulted from actual expenditure exceeding budget.

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GROU	JP	CJM	M
Figures in Rand thousa	and	2015	2014	2015	2014
57. IRREGULAR EX	PENDITURE				
Reconciliation o	f irregular expenditure				
Opening balance		1 378 117	1 069 915	1 009 018	726 043
Irregular expendi	ture current year	146 628	333 227	68 321	283 249
Approved by Cou	Incil or condoned	(4 062)	(25 011)	-	(260)
	ables for recovery	-	(14)	-	(14)
		1 520 683	1 378 117	1 077 339	1 009 018

## Details of irregular expenditure – current year

## GROUP - 2015

#### Johannesburg Development Agency SOC Limited:

**Broll Property Management**: The supplier's contract with the JDA has expired and is currently on a month-to-month basis. Broll Property Management manages the Bus Factory tenants and the collection of rental. The expenditure above relates to the management fee charged by and paid to Broll for the period July 2014 until March 2015. The management of tenants is now done internally. R10,692

**Makro Crown Mines**: Office groceries were purchased from Makro without obtaining three quotations. The expenditure above relates to payments to Makro for the period July 2014 to November 2014. Office groceries are now procured through requests for quotations. R26,180

**Torque Technical Computer Training**: Training for IT staff as per approved individual Learning Plans (ILP's). Only one quotation was sourced instead of the required three from the supplier database. R6,150

## City Power Johannesburg SOC Limited.

Services were procured without complying with company processes. No action was taken as the procurement was not for personal gain R382,000.

Johannesburg Roads Agency SOC Limited

Ambassador Air - Expired contract R91,310

Dakalo Cleaning Services - Services rendered with no contract in place R81,904

Document Warehouse - Expired contract R138,910

Rentokil - Expired contract R316,279

Metrofile - Transgressions of SCM procedures R31,053

Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services SOC Limited:

Contract amount exceeded.-The irregular expenditure relate to contracts on which the contracted amounts have been exceeded. The expenditure is directly related to unexpected or unplanned bus breakdowns which cannot be foreseen, but which have to be fixed. The tender procedures have been completed in 100% of all contracts involving contract amounts being exceeded. **R40,411,350** 

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### 57. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

Pikitup Johannesburg SOC Limited

The amount relates to the procurement of bulk containers, furniture and bin liners. **R23,423,993** 

#### Johannesburg Water (SOC) Limited

The expenditure was incurred as a result of transactions that impacted some awards in the supply chain management processes in the previous financial year 2013/14, but payment was only made in the current financial year. The transactions are as a result of accepting B-BBEE certificates that were not in accordance with the requirements of the Preferential Procurement Regulations which provides that no points be awarded for B-BBEE status if a bidder did not submit a valid and original or certified B-BBEE certificate, as well as an original tax clearance certificate. To date all other non-compliant transactions have been rectified. National Treasury Guidelines on irregular expenditure provide that transactions corrected prior to any payment being made will not result in any irregular expenditure.

It is also noted that transactions or conditions which triggered the irregular expenditure resulted in the entity suffering no loss but value for money was derived from the use of the goods or services rendered. **R13,378,000** 

#### CJMM - 2015

1. CQS Technology Holdings (Pty) Ltd

The audit command language (ACL) Software is a tool used by Internal Audit to perform various analytics on sets of data. The software was procured from Messrs CQS Technology Holdings (Pty) Ltd as the official sole distributor of ACL and support of ACL licences in South Africa, hence the request for a deviation.

R 677 230.66

2. Growthpoint Properties Limited

The Housing Department Region A offices are located at Block L, 400 16th Road Central Park, Midrand, the public has been using the premises to engage with the Department, hence the request for a deviation to extend the lease for those premises as it is impractical to go out on tender on the same building.

R 494 745.40

3. KPMG

On 20 December 2011 KPMG was appointed to second an Acting Group CFO and related services on a temporary basis for a period of nine months at a cost of R5 859 000.00. Subsequent to the appointment of KPMG CJMM commenced with the recruitment process of an appropriate Group CFO however no suitable candidate was found hence the request for a deviation to extend the original engagement of KPMG by six months.

R 9 093 076.65

4. Blend Property Group and Redefine Properties Limited

The normal procurement processes were dispensed with on the basis that the procurement in question is regarded as an exceptional case where it is impractical to follow the normal procurement processes. Libraries have been established in certain communities for a very long time and moving or relocating them will disadvantage the communities and will be costly.

Blend property group - R 391 721.93

Redefine Properties Limited - Value: R 9 329 233.33

5. JT Ross Property Services (Pty) Ltd

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 57. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

The Health Department Region A offices are located at Unit 6, 7 and 8, Alphen Square North Randjespark, Midrand. The public has been using the premises to engage with the Department; hence the request for a deviation to extend the lease for those premises as it is impractical to go out on tender on the same building.

R 2 372 843.86

6. Universal Knowledge Software (UKS)

The provision and support of the Library System and that of a new contract for the supply and maintenance of the Library System for a period of thirty six (36) months, starting on 1 February 2013.

R 861 203.51

7. LexisNexis

In the past Group Central HR and Group Legal and Contracts solicited quotations from services that provide research engine licenses for law reports, cases, training etc., for HR related cases and ordinary cases. Three service providers Sabinet, Juta and LexisNexis submitted their products and were interviewed and presentations were held to determine which product best suits the requirements of CJMM. The product by LexisNexis proved to provide a reliable reference and research engine that can be used as a reference work. CJMM has been using the product by LexisNexis since then, hence the request to deviate from the normal procurement process and appoint LexisNexis to provide licenses and services to be used as a research engine for the CJMM

R 1 803 739.50

8. Liberty Life Properties (Pty) Ltd

Economic Development is currently occupying Jorissen Place, and the contract expired.

R 10 535 545.61

9. Axton Matrix Construction CC

Tax clearance not submitted/No evidence that the municipal account statement was submitted

R 958 803.51

10. Vimtsiri Security and Protection Services

Non Compliance with SCM regulation 28(1)(a)(ii) (Tenders not evaluated on preference point system)

R 10 677 453.51

11. KRB Law Firm (A466)

Winning bidder's municipal accounts in arrears 90 days overdue Ramapala W L MJ46569.88 Issued on 2 May 2012, and Kunene 90 days overdue R5 204.26 on 2 April 2012

Value: R 11 017 403.07

12. Ratification: Reg. 36(1)(b)

Authorisation for payment of Late Harvest Caterers and Events

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 57. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

The Housing Department (Office of the MMC) requested catering for the launch of the allocation of units to the beneficiaries in Jabulani Extention 1 (Hostel) and Imbizo organized for 2000 people.

The department followed the normal procurement process in terms of soliciting quotations from their panel (A473) but however their process was not completed as they did not comply with Practise Note 4 of 2011(Utilisation of Panels) in that they engaged the service provider without their report being signed by the delegated authority for a threshold of R30 000 to R200 000 hence the ratification.

Value: R 178 293.80

#### 13. Events Galore

The Housing Department (Office of the MMC) requested catering for the establishment of the steering committee in Princess plot and Thulamntwana. The purpose of the event was to address the service delivery to avoid an eruption of unrest as well as the handing over of projects to the Ward Councillor and his team.

The department followed the normal procurement process in terms of soliciting quotations from their panel (A473) but their process was not completed as they did not comply with Practise Note 4 of 2011(Utilisation of Panels) in that they engaged the service provider without their report being signed by the delegated authority for a threshold of R30 000 to R200 000 hence the request for ratification.

#### Value: R 92 240.49

14. Brandhead Strategic Marketing Communications

The department followed the normal procurement process in terms of soliciting quotations from their panel (A471) but the process was not completed as they did not comply with Practise Note 4 of 2011(Utilisation of Panels) in that they engaged the service provider without their report being signed by the delegated authority for a threshold of R30 000 to R200 000 hence the request for ratification.

Value: R 60 326.92

#### 15. Elphash Trading Enterprise

The department followed the normal procurement process in terms of soliciting quotations but the process was flawed in that they engaged the service provider outside contract 402/12 and without proper authorisation by the delegated authority for a threshold of R30 000 to R200 000, hence the request for ratification.

Value: R21 190.92

#### 16. EMS Private Providers

The Health Department requested the approval or ratification of its actions for using services of EMS private providers while in the process of appointing a contract for the EMS services for the 81 local government Primary Health Care (PHC) facilities. The EMS private ambulance service are used in the event of non-response by provincial ambulances in life threatening situations to prevent loss of life and avoid possible medico-legal implications for the Health facilities of the City of Johannesburg.

R 208 135.39

17. Events Galore CC

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 57. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

The department followed the normal procurement process in terms of soliciting quotations from their panel (A473) but the process was not completed as they did not comply with Practise Note 4 of 2011(Utilisation of Panels) in that they engaged the service provider without their report being signed by the delegated authority and the threshold amount exceeded the delegation authority of the Group Head: Strategic Supply Chain Management and the report was referred to the EAC for approval, hence the request for ratification.

R 263 733.63

18. Gourmet Food Services (Pty) Ltd

The department followed the normal procurement process in terms of soliciting quotations from their panel (A473) but their process was not completed as they did not comply with Practise Note 4 of 2011(Utilisation of Panels) in that they engaged the service provider without their report being signed by the delegated authority for a threshold of R30 000 to R200 000 hence the request for ratification.

R 169 898.09

19. Events Galore CC

The department followed the normal procurement process in terms of soliciting quotations from their panel (A473) but the process was not completed as they did not comply with Municipal Supply Chain Management Policy, in that they engaged the service provider without their report being signed and approved by the Central Adjudication Committee, hence the request for ratification.

#### R 1 099 131.30

20. Seipelo Consulting Services, Mantshakala Catering and Mbedzi Events Management

The Environmental And Infrastructure Services Section 79 Committee scheduled a Public Hearings for the Treated Effluent Draft By-Law in various regions on 14 March 2015 and this event required catering and PA Systems. Quotations were sourced from suitably qualified service providers and while the department was still busy with the procurement process, they were advised that the Public Hearings were postponed (as per the decision made in the Programming Committee on 11 March 2015). On the day before the Public Hearings (13 March 2015), the department was advised that the public hearing were going through (as per the decision made by the By-Law Task Team on 12 March 2015) and that they should proceed with the procurement process. This left the department with no time to complete the procurement process, hence the request for ratification.

Seipelo Consulting Services

R 25 614.04 (for catering)

Mantshakala (Catering)

R 25 438.60 (for catering)

Mbedzi Events Management

R 24 561.40 (PA Systems)

21. Sunday Kit Uniform Supplies CC, Faithfullness Business Enterprise CC, Link Reflective and Protective

Designs CC,Bonamini Trading Enterprise and Rads Investments. Bid Advertised for less than the minimum required period (Contract number 434/14)

R 2 333 768.90

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 57. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

22. Kendon Medical Supplies, Instant Office Furniture Cc and Zamchem CC

Non - compliance with SCM regulation 5 which may result in irregular expenditure no evidence that the following quotation were approved by a appropriately delegated official or committee as required by SCM regulation 5.

R 48 227.48

23.Moagi Technologies cc and Zamchem CC It appears that there has been a deliberate splitting of quotations to avoid compliance with the ACM regulations.

R 51 548.28

24.Mulilavhathu trading, Botlefela Trading cc, Iyer Urban Studio CC and Royal Haskning DHV. Municipal acount that is in arreas for more than 3 months.

R 6709536.06

25.Griffiths and Griffiths CC

Purchase of Antique Furniture pieces.

R 125 780.78

26.Dimension Data - Setting up of ICT Infrastructure

Setting up of ICT infrastructure for the New office of the Ombudsman and its Business Operations The incorporation of the Ombudsman's Office ought to have been adequately planned for and hence justification is inadequate. It was not impossible neither was it impractical for CJMM to follow the official procurement process hence the deviation is contrary to Reg.36 (1)(a)(v).

R 3 996 177.42

27.EOH - Setting up of infrastructure network cabling

Setting up of ICT infrastructure for the New office of the Ombudsman and its Business Operations. The incorporation of the Ombudsman's Office ought to have been adequately planned for and hence justification is inadequate. It was not impossible neither was it impractical for CJMM to follow the official procurement process hence the deviation is contrary to Reg.36 (1)(a)(v).

R 792 816.69

#### 28. Yeo Technologies - Setting up and Hosting of the Contact centre

Setting up of ICT infrastructure for the New office of the Ombudsman and its Business Operations The incorporation of the Ombudsman's Office ought to have been adequately planned for and hence justification is inadequate. It was not impossible neither was it impractical for CJMM to follow the official procurement process hence the deviation is contrary to Reg.36 (1)(a)(v).

R 5 129 167.41

29. Tefo Efithlile Trading

Supplier in service of the CJMM

R 30 965.00

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 57. IRREGULAR EXPENDITURE (continued)

30. In addition to the above, we have identified potential deliberate splitting of quotations and quotation not approved by a appropriately delegated official or committee. The maximum value which is currently being investigated amounts to R 83 953 280.33, however due to time constraints, at the date of finalising the financial statements it was impracticable to quantify the irregular expenditure as investigations were still in progress.

#### 58. IN-KIND DONATIONS AND ASSISTANCE

The department of Environment and Infrastructure has received the following

To attend the International Symposium on Energy from biomass and Waste conference - R13 662( AIR TICKET WAS SPONSORED)

To represent the City as a participant at the C40 Climate Change Risk Assessment network works shop in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 10-13 November 2014 - R18 207

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## Figures in Rand thousand

## 59. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT

### Contributions to organised local government

Council subscriptions Amount paid - current year	10 500 (10 500)	10 264 (10 264)	10 500 (10 500)	10 264 (10 264)
	-	-	-	-
Audit fees				
Opening balance Current year audit fee Amount paid - current year Amount paid - previous years	4 528 49 202 (50 537) (333)	2 699 43 007 (36 814) (4 364)	1 912 21 333 (20 954)	1 639 20 639 (20 366)
·····	2 860	4 528	2 291	1 912
PAYE and UIF				
Opening balance Current year payroll deductions Amount paid - current year Amount paid - previous years	75 354 1 218 367 (1 136 273) (70 455)	64 403 963 566 (888 212) (64 403)	61 294 788 003 (722 241) (61 294)	56 104 723 929 (662 635) (56 104)
	86 993	75 354	65 762	61 294
Pension and Medical Aid Deductions				
Opening balance Current year payroll deductions and council contributions	107 135 2 061 675	99 751 1 774 982	106 706 1 592 691	99 751 1 358 500
Amount paid - current year Amount paid - previous years	(1 977 070) (120 572)	(1 563 348) (204 250)	(1 521 962) (106 706)	(1 251 794) (99 751)
· · · ·	71 168	107 135	70 729	106 706

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 59. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (continued)

#### Councillors' arrear consumer accounts

The following Councillors had arrear accounts outstanding for more than 90 days at 30 June 2015. All amounts are disclosed in Rands and are not rounded to the nearest thousand.

30 June 2015	Outstanding less than 90	Outstanding more than 90	Total R
	days	days	
	Rands	Rands	477 007
D DEWES P ZITHA	105 914	371 913 43 474	477 827 43 933
S RADEBE	459 10 213	43 474 38 553	43 933 48 766
D JANE	8 175	20 178	28 353
F ABDULLAH	1 110	19 604	20 333
C RADEBE	1 865	16 616	18 481
DNETNOW	295 244	14 834	310 078
AMBINGELELI	949	14 546	15 495
PLEKGETHO	9 404	20 899	30 303
MATHEBULA & GWALA	493	11 616	12 109
A PUTSOA	1 554	8 125	9 679
P DYODO	735	5 502	6 237
MMALULEKE	1 247	5 430	6 677
S MNGUNI	219	7 116	7 335
A SEFOLOKO	1 993	4 418	6 411
M LIBUSENG	1 769	4 062	5 831
MLOUW	1 780	3 937	5 717
E KENANA	527	2 935	3 462
J MAHLANGA	139	2 638	2 777
F MATHANG	1 392	2 510	3 902
P NYENGEZA	391	2 333	2 724
M MASEMOLA	898	2 183	3 081
L LAMOLA	744	1 847	2 591
COOPER	-	41	41
P NTOMBELA	4 484	272	4 756
DAGADA	797	167	964
ТНОМО	759	556	1 315
NGWEDZENI	704	651	1 355
MATHANG	869	97	966
SITHOLE	20	197	217
MAFOKWANE	1 463	1 512	2 975
DAWES	746	171	917
VALENTINE	1	51	52
VOLKER	30 055	1 999	32 054
RAMARU	518	2 408	2 926
	304	792 379	1 096
SHALE VONDO AND BANTU	274		653
NHOSE	445 12 131	14 222	459 12 353
NIIOSE			
	500 784	634 798	1 135 582

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 59. ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURE IN TERMS OF MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT (continued)

	25 405	323 535	348 940
Tsobane MM	1 413	165	1 578
Radebe C	2 589	13 890	16 479
Nyengeza Mp	274	1 194	1 468
Netnow DM	9 754	302 647	312 401
Motlhamme SBE	518	43	561
Matladi JM	-	19	19
Mahlanga JP	399	1 200	1 599
Louw MA	2 866	1 260	4 126
Lemao SJ	3 911	586	4 497
Jane DK	534	14	548
Dyodo P	1 190	2 517	3 707
Clarke SNM	1 957	-	1 957
	days (Rands)	days (Rands)	
30 June 2014	Outstanding less than 90	Outstanding more than 90	Total R
20 June 2011	Outstanding	Outstanding	Tatal

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS

Paragraph 12(1)(d)(i) of Government gazette No. 27636 issued on 30 May 2005 states that a supply chain management policy must provide for the procurement of goods and services by way of a competitive bidding process.

Paragraph 36 of the same gazette states that the accounting officer may dispense with the official procurement process in certain circumstances, provided that he records the reasons for any deviations and reports them to the next meeting of the accounting officer and includes a note to the Group Annual Financial Statements.

In terms of Section 36 (2) of the supply chain management regulation.

#### GROUP

#### Johannesburg City Parks NPC

- 1. Purchase order number REQ17749 for procurement of Website changes was made to Studio 63 for the amount of R16 500 as the Service Provider was being utilised by Johannesburg ZOO when the merger took place and therefore they had to continue to render the service as this was part of the SLA between Johannesburg ZOO and Studio 63.
- 2. Purchase order number REQ17625 for procurement of Tweet technology at Joburg ZOO was made to Candice Hellens for the amount of R13 158 as they are the sole provider of the service.
- 3. Purchase order number REQ18477 for procurement of frogs was made to WCB Imports for the amount of R111 189 as this is procurement of animals in terms of Section 36 (1) of the SCM regulations.
- 4. Purchase order number REQ18397 for procurement of tigers was made to Lory Park Zoo for the amount of R90 000 as this is procurement of animals in terms of Section 36 (1) of the SCM regulations.
- 5. Purchase order number REQ18293 for procurement of tigers was made to Wild Life Assignment International for the amount of R72 950 as this is procurement of animals in terms of Section 36 (1) of the SCM regulations.
- 6. Purchase order number REQ18561 for procurement of a Cheetah was made to Chazen for the amount of R140 000 as the preferred supplier for the required animal.
- 7. Purchase order number REQ18940 for procurement of the organisational structure review was made to Vortex Strategic Alignment for the amount of R107 800 as this was an emergency.
- 8. Purchase order number REQ18771 for procurement of Additional Catering was made to Gourmet Food Services for the amount of R 24 396 as original PO was for a total amount of R29 548 Excl. Vat but on the day the event the number of attendees exceeded the original estimate and an additional amount of R24 396 was to paid.
- 9. Purchase order number REQ22396 for procurement of Wild African Dogs was made to Bester Birds and Animals for the amount of R42 000 as the preferred supplier for the required service.
- 10.Purchase order number REQ22002 for procurement of a Male Cheetah was made to Jacobs Safaris for the amount of R114 000 as the preferred supplier for the required animal.
- 11.Purchase order number REQ22773 for procurement of a Female Cheetah was made to Jacobs Safaris for the amount of R150 000 as the preferred supplier for the required animal.
- 12.Purchase order number REQ22829 for procurement of 702 Walk the Talk was made to Primedia/702 for the amount of R20 750 as they are sole provider for the required service.
- 13.Purchase order number REQ23060 for procurement of Birds was made to Bester Birds and Animals for the amount of R32 000 as the preferred supplier for the required service.
- 14.Purchase order number REQ23227 for procurement of White Pelicads was made to Bester Birds and Animals for the amount of R72 000 as the preferred supplier for the required service.

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

- 15.Purchase order number REQ23061 for procurement of Sable was made to Sable for the amount of R120 000 as the preferred supplier for the required service.
- 16.Purchase order number REQ23577 for procurement of Impala was made to Sable for the amount of R7 500 as the preferred supplier for the required service.

#### Joburg Market SOC Limited

- 1. Sole supplier deviations Value :R34 089
- 2. Extension of contracts Value : R1 632 965
- During the year under review the Board became aware of procurement irregularities. This necessitated investigations, which resulted in the appointment of forensic investigators and legal counsel. Value: R6 548 224
- 4. Avoidable deviations R297 300

## Johannesburg Social Housing Company SOC Limited

- 1. Delta Link 12 659 -
- 2. Stanford M Electrical 106 422 -
- 3. Akula Trading 211 210 972 526 -
- 4. Pitney Bowes S.A 5 408 -
- 5. CEO Global 6 500 -
- 6. Greg & Vermaak Attorneys 50 981 -
- 7. South African Housing Foundation 57 000 -
- 8. Otis Elevators 103 000 -
- 9. CGF Research 40 000 -
- 10.Cambridge Energy Efficiency Solution 1 572 447 -
- 11.Detalink Consulting 6 726 -
- 12.Calgro M3 (PTY) Ltd 26 570 718 -
- 13.Reabusa Construction And Suppliers 62 608 -
- 14.ETHIQS 259 319 -
- 15.Munyai Malaka 3 677 143 -
- 16.Work in Development 26 298 213 -
- 17.SIRAC Gauteng & North Region 112 860 -
- 18.Marcus Evans SA LTD 18 474 -

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

19.Government Printing Works 2 465 -

20.Dailtron 6 213 -

21.Nyoni Projects 2 941 398 -

22. Thembakele Consulting 468 534 -

23.MMQS 160 611 -

24.Motheo 2 461 105 -

25.Joe Malherbe Attorneys 189 074 -

26.Ezzy property Maintenance & Security 51 289 -

27.Lightyway Skiylights 391 432 -

28.Stanford M Electrical 106 422 -.

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

#### Johannesburg Roads Agency SOC Limited

- 1. AECOM SA Professional Services for the M1 Double Decker Viaduct in Johannesburg R 119 708
- ARQ CONSULTING Engineers Professional Services for the M1 Double Decker Viaduct in Johannesburg R 100 161
- Thembakele Consulting Engineers Professional Services for the Design & Construction monitoring of stormwater management system at David Street, Olivedale R 767 495
- SNA Civil Structural Engineers Professional Services for Urgent repair work on the M1 Double Decker Bridge R 7 881 500
- 5. Otis Pty Ltd The Upgrade of basement Elevator at JRA Head office R 1 124 000
- 6. Egoli Gas Bulk Gas Supply to the Asphalt Plant R 550 741
- 7. Gillcels Construction & Projects cc Repairs to a Burst Pipe at the Laboratory Main Ablution Facilities R4 200
- Madisha and Associates cc Emergency Appointment of Stormwater Project in Auckland Park Country Club, Culvert R 825 203
- 9. Madisha and Associates cc Emergency Appointment Stormwater Project in Auckland Park, BRT Wall R 825 203
- 10.Lettam Building & Civils cc Emergency Appointment Remedial Interventions at Erven 98 & Rooiels Road, Sharonlea Extension 1 R 200 000
- 11.Molemo Consulting Engineers Emergency Appointment Stormwater Project in Surrey Road, Ferndale R 959 955
- 12.Molemo Consulting Engineers Emergency Appointment Stormwater Project in Grosvenor Road, Bryanston R 851 955
- 13.SAP (Sole supplier New accounting software) R 17 414 232
- 14.Rodecon Engineering Sole Supplier Calibration of weighbridges at Asphalt Plant R 148 392
- 15.AHI Carries SA Emergency Appointment Repairs of air-condition systems at JRA Head Office R 10 436
- 16.Bizstrat Intelligent Business Sole Supplier Sole provider for the 5th Business continuity management disaster recovery and risk management conference R 20 497
- 17.Document Warehouse Sole Supplier Storage facilities for JRA documents R 411 064
- 18.Moodie & Robertson R 50 0006

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

#### Pikitup Johannesburg SOC Limited

1. There were Supply chain management deviations during the year under review resulting from various services procured within the entity amounting to R101 350 322.

#### City Power Johannesburg SOC Limited

- 1. Emergency maintenance on Data centre and Disaster recovery Site R87 000.
- 2. Emergency procurement of a network core switch R3 200 000.
- 3. Emergency replacement of CT's at Multichoice R100 000.
- 4. Emergency repairs to restore supply at Ponorama sws R62 920.
- 5. Emergency procurement for transformer replacement services R381 544.
- 6. Emergency procurement of material for the installation of new meters R24 845 828.
- 7. Emergency repairs to 11kv feeder board for the recommissioned standby transformer R500 000.
- 8. Emergency repairs to restore Data Centre Firewall R105 923.
- 9 .Emergency repairs to ABB panel which caused the shutdown of incomer number 3 board R261 679.
- 10.Emergency repairs to Roosevelt Park substation R283 575

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

#### Johannesburg Development Agency SOC Limited

- The Accounting officer approved a deviation from normal supply chain processes as per regulation 36 (1)(a)(v) for the appointment of a service provider to design, manufacture and erect a monumental bronze statue of former President Nelson Mandela for the City of Ramallah in Palestine. The deviation was to shorten the tender advertising period from 14 days to 7 days. The reason for shortening the tender advertising period was due to the stringent time frames to deliver the project. Koketso Growth (Pty)Ltd R5 974 551
- 2. The Accounting officer approved a deviation from normal supply chain processes as per regulation 36 (1)(a)(v) for the appointment of a contractor for the construction of the Inner City Commuter Links Phases 5 and 6. The deviation was to shorten the tender advertising period from 30 days to 21 days. The tender was initially advertised publicly for 30 days however all submissions were found to be non-compliant by the Bid Evaluation Committee. The tender was then readvertised and due to the stringent timeframes the tender advertising period was shortened. GVK Siya Zama (Pty) Ltd R 126 095 085
- 3. The Accounting officer approved a deviation from normal supply chain processes as per regulation 36 (1)(a)(i) for the appointment of a service provider to undertake repairs to a burst geyser. The deviation was to request a quotation from one service provider instead of three quotations from three service providers in the JDA database. This was done to minimise further damage to property and goods as a result of the burst geyser. MBP Earthworks R9340
- 4. The Accounting officer approved a deviation from normal supply chain processes as per regulation 36 (1)(a)(v) for the appointment of a service provider to manage Community Liaison Officers (CLOs) based in Alexandra. Since the beginning of the Alexandra Renewal Programme in 2001 the project has had the services of a group of CLOs. These CLO's were appointed by the Gauteng Department of Human Settlements through an independent service provider. The contract between the Gauteng Department of Human Settlements and the service provider came to an end on 31 March 2015. Replacing the group of CLOs through an open tender process would pose a serious threat to the delivery of projects in Alexandra. The deviation from normal supply chain processes was to enter into direct negotiations with the Cooperative formed by the CLOs with a view of appointing them to provide community liaison services for the Alexandra Renewal Programme. Ditlodi Community Development Co-operative R968 604

#### Johannesburg Water SOC Limited

- Emergencies was procured via negotiations at bid committees R9,441,000 while those procured via written price quotations amounted to R10,505,000.
   Total R 19,946,000.
- Sole suppliers: procured via negotiations at bid committees R49,456,000, while those procured via written price quotations amounted to R 1,748,000.
   Total R 51,204,000.
- Impracticality represents exceptional cases where it was impractical or impossible to follow the official procurement process, procured via negotiations at bid committees R70,829,000, while those procured via written price quotations amounted to R50,697,000.
   Total R 121,526,000.

#### Joburg Theatre SOC Limited

- 1. Special Work of Art: Services and goods were procured during the financial year which are a special woks of art Total R 6,721,458
- 2. Emergency procurement of good and services Total R 18,842
- 3. Procurement of goods and services under exceptional cases Total R 573,202

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

 Procurement of goods and services from a sole supplier Total R 619,601

#### Johannesburg Metrobus Company (Pty) Ltd

1. Month-to-month extensions. The irregular expenditure relates to month-to-month extensions that was granted to existing suppliers pending tender procedures. The tender procedures have been completed for 100% of all

#### Johannesburg Property Company

- 1. Contracted Cleaning Contracts extended to allow for finalisation the tender process Total R 1,817,650.
- 2. Operating lease rentals Lease extended to finalise the Office Space Optimisation programe Total R97,333,056
- 3. Other expenditures Contracts extended to allow for finalisation the tender process Total R 6,472,802
- 4. Sole Suppliers procurement of goods and services from a sole supplier Total R2,423,124.

## СЈММ

1. Extension of Joburg Tourism Rental Lease Agreement.

#### <u>Reason</u>

The Johannesburg Tourism Company (JTC) has been operating its head office at Ground Floor, Grosvenor Corner, Parktown North since its inception in 2005. Due to the incorporation of JTC to Group Communication and Tourism Department (GC&T), the rental agreement for the current lease which expired at end May 2014 had to be extended. GC&T is currently finalising new Tourism office space with Joburg Property Company (JPC) at the Sandton Library (owned by the City of Johannesburg) Nelson Mandela Square. The process has not yet been finalised and is awaiting finalisation a competitive bidding processes for the necessary renovations required for tourism and for the relocation. Hence then deviationn.

#### Value: R397 125

2. Software Support for the Heritage Asset Management Software by the Sole Provider.

## <u>Reason</u>

Glomas Africa, is the only company licenced to support the STAR system in South Africa.

Value: R505 644

3. Housing Department

Request for Approval to Purchase Approved Detailed Designs and Bill of Quantities from AS Nonyane Consortium for Driziek Extension 3 & 5.

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

## Reason.

AS Nonyane Consortium were appointed as the lead consultant by CoJ Housing Department on 15th January 2012 to provide project management services for the Mayibuye Programme for Driziek Ext 3 & 5. The appointment of AS Nonyane Consortium was conducted through the competitive bidding process and it should be noted that the award was done prior to the promulgation of the SCM Policy Section 111 of the MFM Act 56 of 2003 and Supply Chain Management Regulations.

After completing activities on 26th July 2002, AS Nonyane Consortium were instructed to facilitate the construction of internal services i.e water and sewer, roads and stormwater to Driziek Ext 3 & 5, later were instructed to proceed with the procurement of contractors to construct the services. Subsequent to detailed design approval by JRA in 2004, construction of tarred roads and stormwater was delayed due to budget constraints. And changes of design standards introduced by JRA had a negative effect on time and cost for implementing this project.7

Value: DRIZIEK EXTENSION 3 R4 963 716 and DRIZIEK EXTENSION 5 R4 963 716

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

4. City Manager's office (Ombudsman's office)

Purchase of Antique Furniture pieces

#### <u>Reason</u>

Purchase of Antique furniture per regulation 36(1)(a)(iii)

Value: R140 799

5. GROUP CSS: GICT

Setting up of ICT infrastructure for the New office of the Ombudsman and its Business Operations

#### <u>Reason</u>

Due to the requirement to set up the office of the Ombudsman prior to establishing its formal procurement office. Due to the short time required to establish the Ombudsman Office it became impractical to follow procurement process

Value: Dimension Data R4 473 321, EOH R887 479 and Yeo Technologies R887 479

6. Housing Department

Extension of Proposal A456: Panel of Service Providers for the Relocation of Families within the City of Johannesburg Municipality

#### <u>Reason</u>

The Housing Departments requested the extension of Proposal A456: Panel of Service Provides for the Relocation of Families within the City of Johannesburg, which has exhausted the approved amount of R10 million VAT inclusive within the period of twenty four (24) months instead of the approved thirty six (36) months.

The extension should be for a period of five (5) months and not exceeding R5 million VAT inclusive, in order to allow the Housing Department to follow procurement processes for a new panel of service providers.

Value: R5 000 000

7. Housing Department

The appointment of Valumax Northern Farms (Pty) Ltd Turnkey Developer as Implementing Agent of the remainder of Portion 1 Land Description) and Incorporation of the Remainder of Portion 1 as part of Diepsloot 388 JR Riverside Extension 28 Integrated Housing Development

#### <u>Reason</u>

The Housing Departments requests the authorisation of the EAC to incorporate the Remainder of Portion 1 of the Farm Diepsloot 388 with Riverside View Extension 28 which was approved by EAC in line with Mayoral approval report presented by JPC and also to appoint Valumax Northern Farm (Pty) Ltd to be turnkey developer for Riverside View Extension 28 and Remainder of Portion 1

Value: R294 780 000

8. Housing Department

Payment of the Service Provider

#### <u>Reason</u>

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

## 60. DEVIATION FROM SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS (continued)

The Housing Departments requests the extension of the management contract held by MES of Linatex Building and Ekuthuleni for emergencies and temporary accommodation for people who are either evicted or without accommodation within the Innercity. ValueR777000

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

## Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

	GRO	DUP	CJ	MM
Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014

## 61. HEDGING ACTIVITIES

During the financial year 2010/2011, CJMM entered into an interest rate swap by exchanging the Nedbank R1 billion 3 months JIBAR rate + 280 bsp for a 11.66% fixed interest rate.

Swap Details

Trade Date :	30 March 2011
Settlement Date:	29 March 2018
Nominal Amount:	R 1,000 (million)
Fixed Rate:	11.66%
Payable:	Semi- annual

Given that the effectiveness of the cash flow hedge is 165.78 percent which is not within the 80-125 percent effectiveness range, the hedge no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting in IAS 39.88. Given the ineffectiveness of the cash flow hedge in the current period, the COJ will discontinue with hedge accounting. Thus the reserve of R 37,720,743 shall be reclassified from equity to profit or loss when the forecast transaction occurs. During the current financial year R 18,150,931 of the reserve was reclassified to profit/loss.

The hedge effectiveness was assessed using the following method:

Dollar - Offset Method 118,51%

## CASH FLOW RESERVE

Opening Balance Fair value movement - SWAP	37 721 (18 151)	54 928 (17 207)	37 721 (18 151)	54 928 (17 207)
	19 570	37 721	19 570	37 721
Interest expense recognised in the statement of financial performance during the financial period	37 381	34 205	37 381	34 205

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

Figures in Rand thousand         2015         2014         2015         2014		GRO	OUP	CJ	MM
	Figures in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014

## 62. RELATED PARTIES

Relationships		
CORE Other members of the group	City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Muni Johannesburg City Parks Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Service Johannesburg Social Housing Company City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Development Agency (Pt Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) L The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Marke Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd City of Johannesburg Property Company	td et (Pty) Ltd v (Pty) Ltd y) Ltd td et (Pty) Ltd
Joint ventures Associates	Golden Triangle Development Company refer to note 19 Joshco Madulamoho Joint Venture (JMJ Friedshelf 128 (Pty) Ltd Refer to note 20	v (Pty) Ltd IV)
Related party balances		
Amounts included in Loans, Trade and other receivables regarding related parties		
City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	3 981 965	
City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd	236 037	
Johannesburg City Parks	39 133	
Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd	70 854	
Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd	323 182	
Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd	123 055 16 436	
Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd	4 366 719	
Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd	1 088 547	
The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd	3 220	
The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd	111 427	
	10 360 575	8 896 715

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

		GR	OUP	CJM	М
Figu	res in Rand thousand	2015	2014	2015	2014
62.	RELATED PARTIES (continued)				
	Amounts included in Loans,				
	Trade and other payables regarding related parties				
	City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			2 251 768	2 704 046
	City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd			252 262	393 954
	Johannesburg City Parks			604 570	533 864
	Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd			868 828	493 16
	Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd			30 113	32 23
	Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd			822 118	557 449
	Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd			246 143	141 786
	Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd			643 470	688 680
	Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			1 060 557	912 25
	The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd			7 196	6 046
	The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd			40 546	94 463
				6 827 571	6 557 942
				0.021.011	0 001 042
	Related party transactions				
	Revenue from related parties				
	City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			422 634	437 454
	City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd			7 005	4 57
	Johannesburg City Parks			50 215	11 05
	Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd			7 832	1 46
	Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd			49 142	21 51
	Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd			26 695	11 91
	Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd			569 004	565 20
	Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			25 775	60 096
	The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd			8 413	6 854
	The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd			64 397	17 710
				1 231 112	1 137 846
	Operating Expenditure				
	City Power Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			186 494	334 743
	City of Johannesburg Property Company (Pty) Ltd			294 133	282 970
	Johannesburg City Parks			691 953	585 17
	Johannesburg Development Agency (Pty) Ltd			26 855	27 380
	Johannesburg Metropolitan Bus Services (Pty) Ltd			403 775	331 049
	Johannesburg Roads Agency (Pty) Ltd			768 941	660 483
	Johannesburg Social Housing Company (Pty) Ltd			25 580	20 158
	Johannesburg Water (Pty) Ltd			272 182	262 366
	Pikitup Johannesburg (Pty) Ltd			592 918	583 788
	The Johannesburg Civic Theatre (Pty) Ltd			70 545	63 913
	The Johannesburg Fresh Produce Market (Pty) Ltd			16 730	14 119
				3 350 106	3 166 146

Group Annual Financial Statements for the year ended 30 June 2015

# Notes to the Group Annual Financial Statements

#### Figures in Rand thousand

#### 63. CHANGE IN ESTIMATE

#### Allowance for debt impairment of consumer debtors

Management utilises judgement in evaluating credit risk related to customers. Judgement is based on various factors including, but not limited to, historical information available for different categories of consumer debtors.

Evidence of impairment may include indications that the debtors or group of debtors is experiencing significant financial difficulty, default of delinquency in interest or principal payments.

Management has revised its estimates on impairment of water consumer debtors:

#### 64. SINKING FUND

The following table indicates the value of the sinking fund disclosed as other financial assets at fair value note11 and other financial liabilities at fair value note 30.

The investments pledged as collateral cannot be sold until the related liability is settled in full.

The Terms are such that the collateralised asset upon maturity should be of the same value as the liability so that the liability can be redeemed. Sinking Fund - Maturity - 5 June 2023

	GROU	JP
Cash and Cash Equivalents	401 982	14 234
Current Assets at Fair Value	3 788 897	2 483 152
Non-Current Assets at Fair Value	4 588 635	2 245 558
Total Assets	8 779 514	4 742 944
Current Liabilities at Fair Value	(137 929)	(1 081 897)
Non-Current Liabilities at Fair Value	(4 881 892)	(413 553)
Total Value of Fund	3 759 693	3 247 494

## 65. EVENTS AFTER THE REPORTING PERIOD

On 14 October 2015 a scaffolding which was being erected in preparation for the construction of the Great Walk Pedestrian Bridge over the M1 highway in Sandton collapsed. Regrettably there were two fatalities and nineteen injuries. An investigation process is in progress to determine the root cause of the collapse of the scaffolding equipment which was completed in preparation for construction of the pedestrian bridge over the M1 freeway along the Grayston Drive Bridge.

Three independent engineering investigation processes have been commission by:

- City of Johannesburg
- Department of Labour
- Murray & Roberts (main contractor)

Findings of the investigation would be provided to the relevant stakeholders in the middle of 2016.