



national treasury

Department:
National Treasury
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Enquiries: **Jan Hattingh**
Tel: **012 315 5009**

E-mail: Jan.Hattingh@treasury.gov.za

PRESS RELEASE

27 May 2010

Local Government Budgets 2009/10 Financial Year Third Quarter Local Government Section 71 Report

SUMMARY:

1. The third quarter local government budget statement was released today by the National Treasury. The statement covers revenue and expenditure for the first 9 months of the 2009/10 municipal financial year, which ended on 31 March 2010. The publication also includes information on the spending of local government conditional grants. The statement is available on the National Treasury's website: www.treasury.gov.za.
2. National Treasury publishes this information in terms of section 71 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003)(MFMA), and in terms of section 44(3) of the 2009 Division of Revenue Act.
3. This information, referred to as the In-year Management, Monitoring and Reporting System for Local Government (IYM), will enable provincial and national government to exercise oversight of municipalities, and identify possible problems in the implementation of municipal budgets and conditional grants.
4. All information in this publication is based on the section 71 MFMA reports that each Municipal Manager and Chief Financial Officer were required to sign and submit to the National Treasury by 7 May 2010. Therefore, any queries on the budget, revenue or expenditure figures reflected in the statement must be referred to the relevant Municipal Manager or Chief Financial Officer. Queries on conditional grants may be referred to the relevant transferring official of the national department responsible for administering the grant.
5. The information released with this press statement aggregates the municipal financial performance information for the third quarter of the 2009/10 financial year for 280 municipalities. The coverage of municipal financial information in terms of Section 71 of the MFMA has increased from 239 municipalities published for the first quarter and 274 for the second quarter of the 2009/10 financial year to 280 municipalities for the third quarter of 2009/10.
6. The budgeted figures disclosed are based on the 2009/10 adjusted budget statements tabled in the various municipal councils during January and February 2010.
7. Some of the notable trends that emerge from this information include:

HIGHLIGHTS:

8. At 31 March 2010 (third quarter YTD results for the 2009/10 financial year), municipalities in aggregate had spent 65.7 per cent or R135.0 billion of the R205.6 billion total adjusted budget. On the revenue side they have collected, in aggregate, 70.7 per cent or R153.3 billion of the R216.8 billion total adjusted revenue budget.
9. Metropolitan municipalities have collected 66.7 per cent of their revenue by the end of the third quarter or R87.3 billion of the total adjusted budget of R130.7 billion. eThekweni has collected the highest proportion of its revenue at 70.1 per cent with Cape Town following at 68.2 per cent.
10. Of the aggregated adjusted capital budget amounting to R24.9 billion for metropolitan municipalities, R13.9 billion or 55.8 per cent has been spent as at 31 March 2010. The highest percentage spent is by eThekweni at 76.7 per cent or R4.6 billion out of a R5.9 billion adjusted capital budget, followed by City of Johannesburg at 60.3 per cent or R3.3 billion out of a R5.5 billion adjusted capital budget and Cape Town at 52.6 per cent or R2.9 billion of a R5.6 billion adjusted capital budget. The lowest capital spending is in the Ekurhuleni Metro at 31.5 per cent.
11. National consumer debts amount to R56.8 billion as at 31 March 2010 (unaudited figures) while R290 million in bad debt has been written off. Metropolitan municipalities are owed a total of R30.6 billion as at 31 March 2010. This is an increase of R219 million or 0.7 per cent from the same period the previous year. The biggest growth compared to the same quarter in the previous year are in eThekweni at 14.4 per cent or R613 million, Cape Town at 14.1 per cent or R599 million and Ekurhuleni Metro at 12.8 per cent or R858 million.
12. Consumer debts owing to secondary cities increased from R11.5 billion in the second quarter to R11.9 billion as at 31 March 2010 and have increased from R10.7 billion to R11.9 billion or 11.2 per cent from the corresponding period last year. As with the metropolitan municipalities consumer debtors over 90 days constitute a very large proportion, comprising of R9.5 billion or 79.8 per cent of the total debt outstanding.
13. The creditor age analysis shows R8.1 billion is owed by municipalities as at 31 March 2010. Compared to the R8.3 billion reported in the second quarter of 2009/10, there was an overall decrease of R2 million. Mpumalanga has the highest percentage of creditors outstanding for more than 90 days at 56.1 per cent, followed by North West at 43.3 per cent, Free State at 38.1 per cent and Northern Cape at 20.2 per cent. The creditor age analysis results differ vastly from quarter to quarter. The rest of the provinces' creditors outstanding for more than 90 days are lower than 12 per cent.
14. To align the required electronic reporting with the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations, repairs and maintenance now forms part of the asset management reporting. This will assist to eliminate the distortion by under-reporting of repairs and maintenance due to classification discrepancies when municipalities capture these expenditures. While the new budget formats begin to deal with this problem, it will only be fully resolved once there is a uniform Municipal Standard Chart of Accounts in place. Municipalities will start to use these new reports on 1 July 2010 for the new municipal financial year 2010/11.

CONDITIONAL GRANTS:

15. As far as conditional grants are concerned, R21.9 billion was originally gazetted through the 2009 Division of Revenue Act (Act No.12 of 2009) for local government for both direct and indirect grants. However, this amount does not include the unconditional grant (Equitable Share) amounting to R23.8 billion which brings the total amount allocated to local government to R45.7 billion. Direct conditional grants to municipalities amounted to R19 billion for the 2009/10 financial year.
16. These allocations have since been adjusted in line with the December adjustments gazette reflecting additional allocations, new allocation, re-allocations, rollovers and technical

adjustments to local government spheres. These adjustments were done in terms of Sections 6(3) and 37 and re-allocations in terms of Section 29 of the 2009 Division of Revenue Act.

17. To date, of a revised total of R22.2 billion allocated to municipalities for 2009/10 financial year, an amount of R19.3 billion was allocated as direct conditional grants of which R18.4 billion was transferred as at 31 March 2010. According to expenditure reports provided by the national departments only 72 per cent was spent against the total conditional grant allocations.
18. The spending analysis for the third quarter indicates that the 2010 World Cup Stadiums Development Grant remains the best performing programme in both the previous and the current financial year with expenditure of 93.8 per cent for this third quarter, followed by Public Transport Infrastructure and Systems Grant and Water Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy Grant with expenditure of 69.8 and 60.5 per cent respectively.

STRUCTURE OF INFORMATION RELEASED:

The information released on National Treasury's website as part of this process includes the following:

- Press Release – this document
- Municipal Budget Statements:
 - a. Cash Flow closing balances as at 31 March 2010
 - b. High-level summary of revenue for 280 municipalities
 - c. High-level summary of expenditure for 280 municipalities
- Summary per function (electricity, water, etc):
 - a. High level summary of revenue per function
 - b. High level summary of expenditure per function
- Consolidation of revenue and expenditure numbers for each municipality in one file
- Detail per province per municipality:
 - a. Eastern Cape
 - b. Free State
 - c. Gauteng
 - d. KwaZulu-Natal
 - e. Limpopo
 - f. Mpumalanga
 - g. Northern Cape
 - h. North West
 - i. Western Cape
- Summary of Conditional Grant (CG) Information:
 - a. Consolidated Conditional Grant information for all municipalities
 - b. Per Programme
- CG - Detail per province per Municipality:
 - a. Eastern Cape
 - b. Free State
 - c. Gauteng

- d. KwaZulu-Natal
 - e. Limpopo
 - f. Mpumalanga
 - g. Northern Cape
 - h. North West
 - i. Western Cape
- Summary Information (Section 71):
 - a. Summary – 3rd Quarter – Metros
 - b. Conditional Grant summary – 3rd Quarter – Metros
 - c. Summary – 3rd Quarter – Top 21 municipalities
 - d. Conditional Grant summary – 3rd Quarter – Top 21 municipalities
 - e. Summary – 3rd Quarter – Provinces
 - f. Conditional Grant summary – 3rd Quarter – Provinces
 - g. Analysis of Sources of Revenue – 3rd Quarter – all municipalities
 - h. Summary of total monthly expenditure – 3rd Quarter – all municipalities
 - Audited results for 2008/09
 - a. Over- and Under spending – Audited outcomes 2008/09 – all municipalities
 - b. Unauthorised expenditure – Audited outcomes 2008/09 – all municipalities
 - Non Compliance:
 - a. List of Non Compliance to Section 71 of the MFMA

All information is available on the National Treasury's website at www.treasury.gov.za.

This information will assist policy makers, researchers, sector specialists, elected representatives, academics and those responsible for implementation. The MFMA envisages that regularly published budget implementation information will enable and empower communities to hold their Municipal Councils accountable. A summary of key aggregated tables is included and can be found as part of Annexure A.

SUMMARY TABLES:

Aggregated revenue and expenditure

Table 1: National aggregated revenue and expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	Adjusted Budget			Third Quarter 2009/10				Year to date: 31 March 2010				Third Quarter 2008/09			Total as % of adj budget	Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10
	Operating	Capital	Total	Operating	Capital	Total	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Operating	Capital	Total	Total as % of adj budget	Operating	Capital	Total		
Expenditure																
Category A (Metro)	98 382 269	24 878 832	123 261 101	20 992 555	4 196 469	25 189 025	20.4%	66 319 818	13 884 467	80 204 285	65.1%	19 079 643	4 970 766	24 050 410	65.7%	4.7%
Category B (Local)	52 398 249	17 147 306	69 545 555	11 299 733	1 908 306	13 208 040	19.0%	37 076 213	7 003 800	44 080 013	63.4%	10 239 789	2 621 015	12 860 805	63.9%	2.7%
Category C (District)	8 551 043	4 218 116	12 769 159	2 688 441	1 611 290	4 299 730	33.7%	6 850 753	3 897 787	10 748 540	84.2%	1 867 675	767 529	2 635 204	68.9%	63.2%
Total Expenditure	159 331 561	46 244 254	205 575 815	34 980 729	7 716 065	42 696 795	20.8%	110 246 784	24 786 055	135 032 838	65.7%	31 187 107	8 359 311	39 546 418	65.3%	8.0%
Revenue																
Category A (Metro)	105 866 112	24 878 832	130 744 944	23 389 973	4 196 469	27 586 442	21.1%	73 365 577	13 884 467	87 250 044	66.7%	22 485 928	4 970 800	27 456 727	65.2%	0.5%
Category B (Local)	55 446 001	16 566 480	72 012 481	14 085 520	1 817 144	15 902 665	22.1%	44 635 839	6 545 997	51 181 836	71.1%	13 062 081	2 567 763	15 629 845	70.4%	1.7%
Category C (District)	10 021 687	4 059 241	14 080 928	4 026 839	1 580 809	5 607 648	39.8%	10 930 774	3 909 614	14 840 388	105.4%	3 519 065	897 115	4 416 179	97.2%	27.0%
Total Revenue	171 333 800	45 504 553	216 838 353	41 502 332	7 594 422	49 096 755	22.6%	128 932 190	24 340 079	153 272 269	70.7%	39 067 074	8 435 678	47 502 751	68.5%	3.4%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Aggregate revenue trends for Metros

Table 2: Metros aggregated revenue as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	Adjusted Budget			Third Quarter 2009/10				Year to date: 31 March 2010				Third Quarter 2008/09			Total Rev as % of adj budget	Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10
	Operating Revenue	Capital Revenue	Total	Operating Revenue	Capital Revenue	Total	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Operating Revenue	Capital Revenue	Total	Total Rev as % of adj budget	Operating Revenue	Capital Revenue	Total		
Cape Town	25 335 568	5 593 664	30 929 232	6 438 268	748 742	7 187 011	23.2%	18 162 863	2 940 017	21 102 880	68.2%	5 736 789	882 342	6 619 132	69.8%	8.6%
Ekurhuleni Metro	14 996 606	2 272 350	17 268 955	3 180 582	224 318	3 404 900	19.7%	10 834 034	716 468	11 550 502	66.9%	3 120 679	383 759	3 504 437	66.1%	(2.8%)
eThekweni	20 502 224	5 950 166	26 452 390	4 796 299	1 240 124	6 036 423	22.8%	13 981 875	4 564 355	18 546 230	70.1%	4 332 105	1 310 171	5 642 276	63.9%	7.0%
City Of Johannesburg	25 411 907	5 473 900	30 885 807	4 351 144	1 230 719	5 581 863	18.1%	16 560 913	3 303 086	19 863 999	64.3%	5 026 363	1 447 340	6 473 703	61.7%	(13.8%)
Nelson Mandela Bay	5 179 569	2 911 819	8 091 389	1 016 453	355 148	1 371 600	17.0%	3 682 749	1 161 906	4 844 655	59.9%	827 251	545 082	1 372 333	71.9%	(0.1%)
City Of Tshwane	14 440 238	2 676 933	17 117 171	3 607 227	397 418	4 004 645	23.4%	10 143 143	1 198 635	11 341 778	66.3%	3 442 741	402 105	3 844 846	62.3%	4.2%
Total	105 866 112	24 878 832	130 744 944	23 389 973	4 196 469	27 586 442	21.1%	73 365 577	13 884 467	87 250 044	66.7%	22 485 928	4 970 800	27 456 727	65.2%	0.5%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Aggregate expenditure trends for Metros

Table 3: Metros aggregated expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	Adjusted Budget			Third Quarter 2009/10				Year to date: 31 March 2010				Third Quarter 2008/09			Total Exp as % of adj budget	Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10
	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total		
Cape Town	23 584 377	5 593 664	29 178 042	5 247 195	748 742	5 995 937	20.5%	15 681 202	2 940 017	18 621 218	63.8%	4 372 743	882 309	5 255 053	65.9%	14.1%
Ekurhuleni Metro	15 611 039	2 272 350	17 883 389	3 094 240	224 318	3 318 558	18.6%	10 448 127	716 468	11 164 596	62.4%	2 848 524	383 759	3 232 283	61.8%	2.7%
eThekweni	18 043 416	5 950 166	23 993 582	4 074 176	1 240 124	5 314 300	22.1%	12 345 850	4 564 355	16 910 205	70.5%	3 392 022	1 310 171	4 702 193	64.0%	13.0%
City Of Johannesburg	22 480 843	5 473 900	27 954 743	4 996 708	1 230 719	6 227 427	22.3%	15 817 122	3 303 086	19 120 208	68.4%	4 592 524	1 447 340	6 039 864	72.2%	3.1%
Nelson Mandela Bay	5 243 401	2 911 819	8 155 220	1 010 239	355 148	1 365 387	16.7%	2 966 102	1 161 906	4 128 007	50.6%	1 015 658	545 082	1 560 740	62.7%	(12.5%)
City Of Tshwane	13 419 192	2 676 933	16 096 125	2 569 998	397 418	2 967 416	18.4%	9 061 416	1 198 635	10 260 051	63.7%	2 858 172	402 105	3 260 277	62.6%	(9.0%)
Total	98 382 269	24 878 832	123 261 101	20 992 555	4 196 469	25 189 025	20.4%	66 319 818	13 884 467	80 204 285	65.1%	19 079 643	4 970 766	24 050 410	65.7%	4.7%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Secondary cities

Table 4: 21 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	Adjusted Budget			Third Quarter 2009/10				Year to date: 31 March 2010				Third Quarter 2008/09			Total Exp as % of adj budget	Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10
	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total		
Buffalo City	2 804 726	1 015 284	3 820 010	492 065	68 433	560 499	14.7%	1 511 551	198 346	1 709 897	44.8%	565 781	63 286	629 067	53.3%	(13.0%)
City Of Matlosana	1 197 941	357 706	1 555 647	277 114	38 376	315 490	20.3%	739 401	131 873	871 275	56.0%	241 900	25 866	267 766	58.2%	17.8%
Drakenstein	940 719	293 079	1 233 798	216 129	9 075	225 204	18.3%	635 303	-24 936	610 367	49.5%	130 833	25 707	156 540	53.4%	43.9%
Emalahleni (Mp)	959 635	328 378	1 288 013	175 652	21 766	197 418	15.3%	680 487	71 457	751 943	58.4%	168 559	56 390	224 949	143.9%	(12.2%)
Emluleni	2 882 698	360 505	3 243 203	565 645	-12 909	552 736	17.0%	1 691 562	140 265	1 831 828	56.5%	438 779	16 692	455 471	50.3%	21.4%
George	892 861	280 972	1 173 834	143 298	33 136	176 434	15.0%	455 989	141 850	597 838	50.9%	137 462	60 155	197 617	58.0%	(10.7%)
Govan Mbeki	805 772	108 670	914 443	171 457	12 874	184 331	20.2%	601 488	39 395	640 883	70.1%	183 767	7 347	191 114	65.9%	(3.5%)
Madibeng	767 382	263 499	1 030 881	152 887	17 675	170 561	16.5%	462 080	49 276	511 355	49.6%	35 256	14 362	49 617	80.7%	243.8%
Mangaung	2 693 617	928 321	3 621 939	573 579	143 880	717 459	19.8%	1 813 741	441 706	2 255 447	62.3%	440 708	137 095	577 803	54.8%	24.2%
Majjhahabeng	1 222 018	260 388	1 482 406	154 654	39 046	193 700	13.1%	645 290	93 247	738 537	49.8%	-	24 802	24 802	31.9%	681.0%
Mbombela	1 076 163	1 339 190	2 415 353	238 102	166 940	405 042	16.8%	656 627	658 490	1 315 117	54.4%	185 380	135 388	320 769	45.1%	26.3%
Mogale City	1 116 937	152 526	1 269 463	214 099	16 899	230 999	18.2%	657 080	58 676	715 756	56.4%	181 878	12 366	194 243	62.4%	18.9%
Msunduzi	2 276 849	327 343	2 604 192	364 769	6 846	371 615	14.3%	1 616 028	143 588	1 759 616	67.6%	525 936	37 108	563 044	78.2%	(34.0%)
Newcastle	887 645	167 261	1 054 906	182 661	14 457	197 118	18.7%	639 563	63 676	703 240	66.7%	185 176	3 067	188 243	707.3%	4.7%
Polokwane	1 091 460	1 297 758	2 389 218	217 477	72 596	290 073	12.1%	662 442	558 593	1 221 036	51.1%	547 666	219 898	767 564	57.0%	(62.2%)
Rustenburg	1 609 495	348 792	1 958 287	457 321	50 488	507 809	25.9%	1 482 252	162 814	1 645 066	84.0%	370 235	45 646	415 881	68.9%	22.1%
Sol Plaatje	862 577	113 199	975 776	182 785	27 297	210 082	21.5%	593 848	78 276	672 123	68.9%	67 020	38 738	105 757	61.9%	98.6%
Stellenbosch	630 696	269 218	899 914	104 579	34 303	138 882	15.4%	368 402	63 622	432 024	48.0%	101 388	16 875	118 263	55.9%	17.4%
Steve Tshwete	663 046	355 399	1 018 445	187 911	37 715	225 625	22.2%	463 700	76 467	540 167	53.0%	110 334	28 785	139 119	53.0%	62.2%
Tlokwe	565 113	122 464	687 577	123 487	11 021	134 508	19.6%	395 265	56 310	451 575	65.7%	109 390	25 285	134 675	62.1%	(0.1%)
uMhlatuze	1 442 768	286 309	1 729 077	306 458	38 087	344 545	19.9%	1 048 459	179 236	1 227 695	71.0%	253 791	104 687	358 478	56.5%	(3.9%)
Total	27 390 119	8 976 263	36 366 382	5 502 129	848 003	6 350 131	17.5%	17 820 558	3 382 226	21 202 784	58.3%	4 981 240	1 099 544	6 080 784	60.6%	4.4%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Operating Expenditure per functions for Metros

Table 5: Metros aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	Budget		Third Quarter 2009/10		Year to date: 31 March 2010		Third Quarter 2008/09		Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10
	Main appropriation	Adjusted Budget	Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
Water									
Cape Town	2 947 207	2 894 578	674 224	23.3%	1 912 636	66.1%	690 310	75.4%	(2.3%)
Ekurhuleni Metro	4 440 118	2 452 409	650 889	26.5%	1 637 018	66.8%	530 907	72.8%	22.6%
eThekwini	2 564 098	2 564 098	700 119	27.3%	1 877 794	73.2%	584 846	64.5%	19.7%
City Of Johannesburg	3 783 728	3 757 011	950 319	25.3%	2 815 321	74.9%	873 376	82.3%	8.8%
Nelson Mandela Bay	393 333	378 227	70 250	18.6%	176 580	46.7%	81 256	76.1%	(13.5%)
City Of Tshwane	1 534 975	1 570 567	362 941	23.1%	1 037 673	66.1%	304 831	62.1%	19.1%
Total	15 663 459	13 616 890	3 408 743	25.0%	9 457 021	69.5%	3 065 526	72.9%	11.2%
Electricity									
Cape Town	5 269 374	5 075 085	1 074 779	21.2%	3 440 582	67.8%	846 306	66.6%	27.0%
Ekurhuleni Metro	556 603	6 141 779	1 488 922	24.2%	4 261 889	69.4%	810 750	64.8%	83.6%
eThekwini	5 716 807	5 716 807	1 172 045	20.5%	3 898 832	68.2%	977 282	60.3%	19.9%
City Of Johannesburg	6 555 881	6 889 873	1 322 669	19.2%	4 758 365	69.1%	1 134 675	71.9%	16.6%
Nelson Mandela Bay	1 649 032	1 774 111	340 346	19.2%	1 035 303	58.4%	212 070	55.1%	60.5%
City Of Tshwane	4 132 372	4 227 720	891 507	21.1%	3 053 679	72.2%	769 400	76.2%	15.9%
Total	23 880 069	29 825 374	6 290 269	21.1%	20 448 651	68.6%	4 750 484	67.1%	32.4%
Waste water management									
Cape Town	1 303 626	1 298 811	373 467	28.8%	1 007 272	77.6%	286 971	73.2%	30.1%
Ekurhuleni Metro	445 502	50 376	1 185	2.4%	2 833	5.6%	1 107	40.9%	7.1%
eThekwini	891 874	891 874	195 300	21.9%	603 950	67.7%	175 184	68.3%	11.5%
City Of Johannesburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nelson Mandela Bay	370 009	348 699	71 289	20.4%	189 205	54.3%	48 539	51.7%	46.9%
City Of Tshwane	718 622	766 837	238 470	31.1%	527 268	68.8%	161 384	74.2%	47.8%
Total	3 729 633	3 356 597	879 710	26.2%	2 330 528	69.4%	673 184	69.8%	30.7%
Waste management									
Cape Town	1 588 255	1 596 966	387 289	24.3%	1 104 988	69.2%	342 172	71.5%	13.2%
Ekurhuleni Metro	637 840	759 131	172 229	22.7%	477 455	62.9%	152 014	80.4%	13.3%
eThekwini	851 840	851 840	229 560	26.9%	539 040	63.3%	177 394	76.0%	29.4%
City Of Johannesburg	1 214 047	1 057 566	286 248	27.1%	791 128	74.8%	238 635	67.0%	20.0%
Nelson Mandela Bay	236 186	243 468	62 501	25.7%	165 448	68.0%	55 142	59.7%	13.3%
City Of Tshwane	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	4 528 169	4 508 971	1 137 826	25.2%	3 078 059	68.3%	965 356	71.5%	17.9%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Expenditure per functions for secondary cities

Table 6a: 21 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	Adjusted Budget	Third Quarter 2009/10		Year to date: 31 March 2010		Third Quarter 2008/09		Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10
		Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
Water								
Buffalo City	247 708	49 429	20.0%	154 506	62.4%	62 949	66.0%	-21.5%
City Of Matlosana	33 159	40 138	121.0%	95 371	287.6%	36 982	72.8%	8.5%
Drakenstein	52 671	9 329	17.7%	28 380	53.9%	3 156	23.9%	195.6%
Emalahleni (Mp)	101 387	18 623	18.4%	77 142	76.1%	16 900	0.0%	10.2%
Emfuleni	438 929	85 162	19.4%	252 554	57.5%	73 961	58.2%	15.1%
George	93 809	16 011	17.1%	45 959	49.0%	14 498	54.4%	10.4%
Govan Mbeki	136 174	28 166	20.7%	90 264	66.3%	30 010	82.4%	-6.1%
Madibeng	77 989	14 123	18.1%	29 244	37.5%	2 887	32.0%	389.2%
Mangaung	320 546	64 850	20.2%	217 308	67.8%	76 257	63.5%	-15.0%
Majhabeng	253 549	5 543	2.2%	117 347	46.3%	-	25.3%	0.0%
Mbombela	103 082	23 392	22.7%	67 506	65.5%	21 182	63.5%	10.4%
Mogale City	133 683	32 231	24.1%	86 880	65.0%	27 431	61.3%	17.5%
Msunduzi	263 121	66 820	25.4%	210 002	79.8%	73 532	78.0%	-9.1%
Newcastle	205 759	41 011	19.9%	122 428	59.5%	35 702	0.0%	14.9%
Polokwane	175 372	36 006	20.5%	104 985	59.9%	86 400	0.0%	-58.3%
Rustenburg	242 727	62 075	25.6%	205 414	84.6%	58 432	84.8%	6.2%
Sol Plaatje	94 457	22 771	24.1%	59 766	63.3%	16 952	54.6%	34.3%
Stellenbosch	53 578	8 952	16.7%	24 284	45.3%	16 191	62.3%	-44.7%
Steve Tshwete	41 589	15 870	38.2%	29 594	71.2%	6 578	65.6%	141.2%
Tlokwe	34 508	5 971	17.3%	17 713	51.3%	4 001	55.0%	49.2%
uMhlathuze	173 729	41 112	23.7%	121 267	69.8%	32 408	66.8%	26.9%
Total	3 277 524	687 584	21.0%	2 157 915	65.8%	696 411	72.9%	-1.3%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Table 6b: 21 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	Adjusted Budget	Third Quarter 2009/10		Year to date: 31 March 2010		Third Quarter 2008/09		Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10
		Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
Electricity								
Buffalo City	745 003	137 761	18.5%	464 334	62.3%	122 075	62.6%	12.8%
City Of Matlosana	272 008	69 026	25.4%	196 460	72.2%	45 792	73.0%	50.7%
Drakenstein	360 915	84 122	23.3%	281 531	78.0%	50 425	64.8%	66.8%
Emalahleni (Mp)	380 477	68 662	18.0%	296 554	77.9%	65 259	0.0%	5.2%
Emfuleni	786 094	206 515	26.3%	629 479	80.1%	111 034	66.4%	86.0%
George	240 093	41 535	17.3%	135 629	56.5%	35 809	58.0%	16.0%
Govan Mbeki	237 432	42 192	17.8%	143 313	60.4%	47 120	72.1%	-10.5%
Madibeng	175 233	54 071	30.9%	133 453	76.2%	3 914	-41.5%	1281.5%
Mangaung	1 040 083	192 755	18.5%	717 799	69.0%	173 209	59.3%	11.3%
Matjhabeng	209 942	28 937	13.8%	157 329	74.9%	-	28.1%	0.0%
Mbombela	55 257	12 749	23.1%	36 214	65.5%	11 252	56.2%	13.3%
Mogale City	342 055	54 450	15.9%	191 184	55.9%	42 178	51.6%	29.1%
Msunduzi	938 462	132 003	14.1%	596 074	63.5%	125 330	78.8%	5.3%
Newcastle	251 742	52 111	20.7%	185 845	73.8%	43 937	0.0%	18.6%
Polokwane	333 812	58 814	17.6%	211 721	63.4%	175 076	0.0%	-66.4%
Rustenburg	676 977	184 060	27.2%	697 322	103.0%	90 327	70.2%	103.8%
Sol Plaatje	254 569	55 011	21.6%	173 338	68.1%	54 134	70.7%	1.6%
Stellenbosch	190 826	27 371	14.3%	109 554	57.4%	49 774	75.7%	-45.0%
Steve Tshwete	210 959	48 526	23.0%	150 637	71.4%	28 047	66.3%	73.0%
Tlokwe	197 028	41 890	21.3%	142 586	72.4%	33 277	72.6%	25.9%
uMhlathuze	593 911	144 502	24.3%	467 272	78.7%	78 543	56.7%	84.0%
Total	8 492 876	1 737 061	20.5%	6 117 629	72.0%	1 386 513	77.5%	25.3%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Table 6c: 21 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	Adjusted Budget	Third Quarter 2009/10		Year to date: 31 March 2010		Third Quarter 2008/09		Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10
		Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
Waste Water Management								
Buffalo City	248 524	44 466	17.9%	132 529	53.3%	71 992	65.8%	-38.2%
City Of Matlosana	98 257	15 092	15.4%	3 769	3.8%	17 201	48.8%	-12.3%
Drakenstein	47 528	8 901	18.7%	23 091	48.6%	4 688	41.2%	89.9%
Emalahleni (Mp)	24 446	6 491	26.6%	28 463	116.4%	8 722	0.0%	-25.6%
Emfuleni	245 929	24 775	10.1%	63 714	25.9%	16 510	37.4%	50.1%
George	123 137	13 415	10.9%	58 221	47.3%	14 408	54.2%	-6.9%
Govan Mbeki	55 359	15 348	27.7%	41 793	75.5%	15 647	88.2%	-1.9%
Madibeng	14 515	8 686	59.8%	15 945	109.9%	1 474	63.6%	489.4%
Mangaung	99 900	21 813	21.8%	68 481	68.5%	15 205	54.2%	43.5%
Majhabeng	-	21 787	0.0%	22 503	0.0%	-	24.6%	0.0%
Mbombela	23 367	5 612	24.0%	14 003	59.9%	4 573	62.5%	22.7%
Mogale City	48 078	5 918	12.3%	22 339	46.5%	7 851	57.5%	-24.6%
Msunduzi	20 929	2 038	9.7%	6 009	28.7%	32 586	77.2%	-93.7%
Newcastle	49 156	9 398	19.1%	28 351	57.7%	10 950	0.0%	-14.2%
Polokwane	24 149	5 605	23.2%	15 090	62.5%	10 913	0.0%	-48.6%
Rustenburg	62 328	17 263	27.7%	44 588	71.5%	12 802	58.9%	34.8%
Sol Plaatje	31 876	7 606	23.9%	23 182	72.7%	3 546	61.6%	114.5%
Stellenbosch	46 707	6 903	14.8%	20 985	44.9%	9 486	57.7%	-27.2%
Steve Tshwete	33 256	11 030	33.2%	24 142	72.6%	5 757	69.8%	91.6%
Tlokwe	25 636	5 677	22.1%	18 321	71.5%	4 975	72.1%	14.1%
uMhlathuze	93 839	18 181	19.4%	62 171	66.3%	17 825	59.1%	2.0%
Total	1 416 916	276 005	19.5%	737 690	52.1%	287 111	64.7%	-3.9%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Table 6d: 21 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	Adjusted Budget	Third Quarter 2009/10		Year to date: 31 March 2010		Third Quarter 2008/09		Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10
		Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
Waste Management								
Buffalo City	185 901	31 114	16.7%	87 255	46.9%	33 009	57.5%	-5.7%
City Of Matlosana	39 448	9 385	23.8%	26 547	67.3%	8 030	65.2%	16.9%
Drakenstein	37 629	10 643	28.3%	23 613	62.8%	5 558	49.7%	91.5%
Emalahleni (Mp)	38 602	8 911	23.1%	34 019	88.1%	9 638	0.0%	-7.5%
Emfuleni	177 764	8 485	4.8%	73 470	41.3%	20 762	25.0%	-59.1%
George	39 589	7 408	18.7%	20 959	52.9%	7 885	69.3%	-6.1%
Govan Mbeki	41 370	6 309	15.2%	26 897	65.0%	10 526	86.4%	-40.1%
Madibeng	33 415	-	0.0%	10 334	30.9%	1 172	0.0%	-100.0%
Mangaung	74 714	20 364	27.3%	56 851	76.1%	18 600	74.6%	9.5%
Matjhabeng	-	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	0.0%
Mbombela	72 333	17 402	24.1%	48 884	67.6%	16 938	67.4%	2.7%
Mogale City	60 762	15 685	25.8%	40 078	66.0%	10 076	67.9%	55.7%
Msunduzi	196 758	42 025	21.4%	145 037	73.7%	18 611	90.0%	125.8%
Newcastle	73 894	14 331	19.4%	49 404	66.9%	14 811	0.0%	-3.2%
Polokwane	50 821	8 571	16.9%	23 708	46.6%	20 241	0.0%	-57.7%
Rustenburg	75 431	21 809	28.9%	56 330	74.7%	4 555	51.8%	378.8%
Sol Plaatje	31 275	7 271	23.2%	20 850	66.7%	6 187	65.6%	17.5%
Stellenbosch	31 068	6 406	20.6%	16 074	51.7%	7 844	61.3%	-18.3%
Steve Tshwete	31 730	8 786	27.7%	22 699	71.5%	6 042	73.4%	45.4%
Tlokwe	32 088	8 180	25.5%	20 871	65.0%	5 340	63.8%	53.2%
uMhlathuze	40 235	11 984	29.8%	35 686	88.7%	7 573	59.5%	58.3%
Total	1 364 827	265 066	19.4%	839 567	61.5%	233 396	66.6%	13.6%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Total National Debtors Age Analysis

Debtor Age Analysis as at 31 March 2010

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		30 - 60 Days		60 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total		Bad Debt Written Off	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Debtor Age Analysis By Income Source												
Water	1 547 435	9.6	722 106	4.5	582 033	3.6	13 321 951	82.4	16 173 526	28.5	48 415	0.3
Electricity	3 057 996	39.8	550 381	7.2	299 101	3.9	3 774 022	49.1	7 681 501	13.5	46 141	0.6
Property Rates	1 944 235	13.6	728 735	5.1	450 210	3.2	11 138 148	78.1	14 261 329	25.1	80 563	0.6
Sanitation	408 192	10.1	168 342	4.2	130 590	3.2	3 331 972	82.5	4 039 097	7.1	36 628	0.9
Refuse Removal	316 901	8.2	134 870	3.5	116 518	3.0	3 275 709	85.2	3 843 997	6.8	41 539	1.1
Other	239 297	2.2	366 507	3.4	288 116	2.7	9 936 115	91.7	10 830 035	19.1	37 450	0.3
Total	7 514 056	13.2	2 670 942	4.7	1 866 569	3.3	44 777 918	78.8	56 829 484	100.0	290 737	0.5
Debtor Age Analysis By Customer Group												
Government	191 753	6.3	318 523	10.4	107 661	3.5	2 446 924	79.8	3 064 861	5.4	14 246	0.5
Business	2 579 282	4.3	458 596	0.8	275 136	0.5	56 136 960	94.4	59 449 975	104.6	52 007	0.1
Households	3 416 166	-17.0	1 272 422	-6.3	974 873	-4.9	(25 761 467)	128.2	(20 098 006)	-35.4	168 213	-0.8
Other	1 326 855	9.2	621 401	4.3	508 898	3.5	11 955 501	83.0	14 412 654	25.4	56 271	0.4
Total	7 514 056	13.2	2 670 942	4.7	1 866 569	3.3	44 777 918	78.8	56 829 484	100.0	290 737	0.5

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

¹Misreporting in LIM366 Bela-Bela detected for the period over 90 days.

All figures in this report is unaudited.

Debtors' Age Analysis for the Metros

Table 7a: Metros Debtors Age Analysis as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		31 - 60 Days		61 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
3rd Quarter ended 31 March 2010										
Nelson Mandela Bay	442 534	34.1%	65 492	5.0%	20 700	1.6%	770 591	59.3%	1 299 318	4.3%
Ekurhuleni Metro	768 764	10.2%	297 410	3.9%	260 269	3.4%	6 240 176	82.5%	7 566 620	24.8%
City Of Johannesburg	1 131 611	13.5%	482 271	5.7%	320 971	3.8%	6 462 081	77.0%	8 396 934	27.5%
City Of Tshwane	815 488	22.9%	54 194	1.5%	100 289	2.8%	2 585 656	72.7%	3 555 627	11.6%
eThekwini	658 274	13.5%	318 806	6.5%	98 101	2.0%	3 805 412	78.0%	4 880 593	16.0%
Cape Town	856 799	17.6%	295 386	6.1%	131 643	2.7%	3 577 003	73.6%	4 860 832	15.9%
Total	4 673 470	15.3%	1 513 561	5.0%	931 973	3.0%	23 440 919	76.7%	30 559 923	16.7%
3rd Quarter ended 31 March 2009										
Nelson Mandela Bay	378 803	31.5%	60 577	5.0%	22 952	1.9%	739 168	61.5%	1 201 501	4.0%
Ekurhuleni Metro	737 423	11.0%	264 537	3.9%	219 330	3.3%	5 487 752	81.8%	6 709 042	22.1%
City Of Johannesburg	1 390 009	13.0%	501 282	4.7%	322 527	3.0%	8 494 457	79.3%	10 708 275	35.3%
City Of Tshwane	671 248	21.0%	89 574	2.8%	73 797	2.3%	2 358 450	73.9%	3 193 069	10.5%
eThekwini	723 592	17.0%	311 288	7.3%	127 432	3.0%	3 105 222	72.8%	4 267 534	14.1%
Cape Town	891 393	20.9%	216 609	5.1%	134 282	3.2%	3 019 440	70.9%	4 261 723	14.0%
Total	4 792 468	15.8%	1 443 868	4.8%	900 320	3.0%	23 204 488	76.5%	30 341 144	16.7%
Movement between 31 March 2009 and 31 March 2010										
Nelson Mandela Bay	63 731		4 915		(2 252)		31 423		97 817	
Ekurhuleni Metro	31 342		32 873		40 939		752 424		857 578	
City Of Johannesburg	(258 398)		(19 011)		(1 556)		(2 032 376)		(2 311 341)	
City Of Tshwane	144 240		(35 380)		26 491		227 206		362 557	
eThekwini	(65 319)		7 519		(29 332)		700 191		613 059	
Cape Town	(34 594)		78 778		(2 639)		557 563		599 109	
Total	(118 998)		69 693		31 653		236 431		218 779	
Growth rate Q3 of 2008/09 to Q3 of 2009/10										
Nelson Mandela Bay	16.8%		8.1%		(9.8%)		4.3%		8.1%	
Ekurhuleni Metro	4.3%		12.4%		18.7%		13.7%		12.8%	
City Of Johannesburg	(18.6%)		(3.8%)		(0.5%)		(23.9%)		(21.6%)	
City Of Tshwane	21.5%		(39.5%)		35.9%		9.6%		11.4%	
eThekwini	(9.0%)		2.4%		(23.0%)		22.5%		14.4%	
Cape Town	(3.9%)		36.4%		(2.0%)		18.5%		14.1%	
Total	(2.5%)		4.8%		3.5%		1.0%		0.7%	

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Table 7b: Metros Debtors Age Analysis by Customer Group as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		30 - 60 Days		60 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total		Bad Debt Written Off	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Debtor Age Analysis By Customer Group												
Government	12 607	.9%	215 617	16.2%	29 951	2.2%	1 073 813	80.6%	1 331 989	4.4%	-	-
Business	1 821 852	40.7%	270 030	6.0%	156 989	3.5%	2 230 700	49.8%	4 479 570	14.7%	-	-
Households	2 240 527	12.9%	717 152	4.1%	494 424	2.8%	13 902 761	80.1%	17 354 863	56.8%	30 000	.2%
Other	598 485	8.1%	310 762	4.2%	250 609	3.4%	6 233 645	84.3%	7 393 500	24.2%	14 953	.2%
Total	4 673 470	15.3%	1 513 561	5.0%	931 973	3.0%	23 440 919	76.7%	30 559 923	100.0%	44 953	.1%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Debtors' Age Analysis for secondary cities

Table 8a: 21 Secondary cities Debtors Age Analysis as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		31 - 60 Days		61 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Buffalo City	123 307	18.6%	38 958	5.9%	27 296	4.1%	474 290	71.4%	663 850	5.6%
City Of Matlosana	60 062	7.0%	25 832	3.0%	20 031	2.3%	756 392	87.7%	862 317	7.3%
Drakenstein	61 469	25.1%	10 346	4.2%	7 797	3.2%	165 342	67.5%	244 955	2.1%
Emalahleni (Mp)	50 360	10.6%	17 974	3.8%	12 517	2.6%	396 264	83.1%	477 114	4.0%
Emfuleni	104 476	4.8%	65 234	3.0%	54 387	2.5%	1 969 700	89.8%	2 193 796	18.5%
George	33 400	37.2%	3 197	3.6%	2 061	2.3%	51 171	57.0%	89 829	0.8%
Govan Mbeki	40 487	10.4%	16 881	4.3%	10 638	2.7%	322 229	82.6%	390 234	3.3%
Madibeng	37 778	8.6%	25 712	5.9%	19 221	4.4%	354 342	81.1%	437 054	3.7%
Mangaung	145 161	12.8%	60 597	5.4%	44 041	3.9%	881 603	77.9%	1 131 403	9.5%
Majhabeng	70 857	9.6%	43 094	5.8%	32 613	4.4%	594 002	80.2%	740 567	6.2%
Mbombela	46 697	13.2%	4 126	1.2%	16 230	4.6%	287 010	81.1%	354 063	3.0%
Mogale City	180 502	26.2%	15 446	2.2%	16 232	2.4%	477 911	69.3%	690 091	5.8%
Msunduzi	237 291	35.7%	28 235	4.2%	26 610	4.0%	372 636	56.1%	664 772	5.6%
Newcastle	13 092	2.6%	16 475	3.3%	13 927	2.8%	454 314	91.3%	497 808	4.2%
Polokwane	52 688	23.6%	9 205	4.1%	9 274	4.2%	151 844	68.1%	223 010	1.9%
Rustenburg	97 709	7.9%	59 302	4.8%	36 119	2.9%	1 043 445	84.4%	1 236 574	10.4%
Sol Plaatje	56 637	9.5%	26 689	4.5%	22 042	3.7%	488 368	82.3%	593 736	5.0%
Stellenbosch	30 121	24.0%	5 067	4.0%	3 142	2.5%	87 107	69.4%	125 437	1.1%
Steve Tshwete	5 112	18.4%	2 464	8.9%	1 251	4.5%	18 936	68.2%	27 763	0.2%
Tlokwe	38 230	25.1%	5 282	3.5%	3 973	2.6%	105 065	68.9%	152 550	1.3%
uMhlathuze	48 705	54.7%	5 546	6.2%	5 014	5.6%	29 790	33.5%	89 056	0.7%
Total	1 534 142	12.9%	485 659	4.1%	384 416	3.2%	9 481 763	79.8%	11 885 980	4.8%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Table 8b: 21 Secondary cities Debtors Age Analysis by Customer Group as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		30 - 60 Days		60 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total		Bad Debt Written Off	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Government	62 487	13.0%	34 945	7.3%	22 590	4.7%	360 031	75.0%	480 054	4.0%	-	-
Business	512 721	35.6%	87 381	6.1%	60 634	4.2%	777 699	54.1%	1 438 435	12.1%	-	-
Households	702 217	9.1%	269 633	3.5%	241 636	3.1%	6 498 926	84.3%	7 712 412	64.9%	-	-
Other	256 716	11.4%	93 700	4.2%	59 555	2.6%	1 845 107	81.8%	2 255 079	19.0%	17	-
Total	1 534 142	12.9%	485 659	4.1%	384 416	3.2%	9 481 763	79.8%	11 885 980	100.0%	17	-

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Total National Creditors Age Analysis

Table 9: Creditor Age Analysis as at 31 March 2010

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		30 - 60 Days		60 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Eastern Cape	527 937	84.4%	38 252	6.1%	11 417	1.8%	47 929	7.7%	625 535	7.8%
Free State	175 177	41.9%	32 447	7.8%	51 637	12.3%	159 293	38.1%	418 554	5.2%
Gauteng	4 188 307	97.3%	67 127	1.6%	6 396	.1%	42 292	1.0%	4 304 123	53.3%
KwaZulu-Natal	1 442 117	95.1%	38 474	2.5%	8 978	.6%	26 929	1.8%	1 516 498	18.8%
Limpopo	151 980	82.8%	8 405	4.6%	2 008	1.1%	21 081	11.5%	183 474	2.3%
Mpumalanga	129 950	37.6%	11 286	3.3%	10 366	3.0%	193 823	56.1%	345 426	4.3%
Northern Cape	44 854	72.3%	1 962	3.2%	2 671	4.3%	12 521	20.2%	62 009	.8%
North West	142 541	42.8%	10 078	3.0%	35 583	10.7%	144 745	43.5%	332 947	4.1%
Western Cape	262 465	92.9%	15 398	5.4%	3 390	1.2%	1 294	.5%	282 547	3.5%
Total	7 065 329	87.5%	223 430	2.8%	132 446	1.6%	649 906	8.1%	8 071 112	100.0%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Conditional grants transfers, payments and expenditure as at 31 March 2010

3rd QUARTER ENDED 31 MARCH 2010

CONDITIONAL GRANTS TRANSFERRED FROM NATIONAL DEPARTMENTS AND ACTUAL PAYMENTS MADE BY MUNICIPALITIES: PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Summary

	Division of revenue Act No. 12 of 2009	Adjustment (Mid year)	Other Adjustments	Total Available 2009/10	Year to date		First Quarter		Second Quarter		Third Quarter		YTD Expenditure		% Changes from 2nd to 3rd Q		% Changes for the 3rd Q		
					Approved payment schedule	Transferred to municipalities for direct grants	Actual expenditure National Department by 30 September 2009	Actual expenditure by municipalities by 30 September 2009	Actual expenditure National Department by 31 December 2009	Actual expenditure by municipalities by 31 December 2009	Actual expenditure National Department by 31 March 2010	Actual expenditure by municipalities by 31 March 2010	Actual expenditure National Department	Actual expenditure by municipalities	Actual expenditure National Department	Actual expenditure National Department	Exp as % of Allocation National Department	Exp as % of Allocation by municipalities	
R thousands																			
National Treasury (Vote 8)																			
Local Government Restructuring Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13 145	-	26 794	-	18 626	-	58 565	-	(30.5%)	-	-	
Local Government Financial Management Grant	287 750	12 240	-	299 990	299 240	294 250	57 604	62 189	55 967	66 329	47 379	68 513	160 950	197 031	(15.3%)	3.3%	53.7%	65.7%	
Neighbourhood Development Partnership (Schedule 6)	582 000	(30 606)	-	551 394	551 394	433 799	92 075	45 098	26 164	95 725	202 620	83 997	320 859	224 820	674.4%	(12.3%)	58.2%	40.8%	
Neighbourhood Development Partnership (Schedule 7)	78 844	31 156	-	110 000	110 000	62 002	19 643	29 256	-	-	3 307	-	52 206	-	(88.7%)	-	47.5%	-	
Sub-Total Vote	948 594	12 790	-	961 384	960 634	790 051	169 322	120 432	111 387	188 848	253 306	171 136	534 015	480 416	127.4%	(9.4%)	55.5%	50.0%	
Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)																			
Municipal Systems Improvement Grant	200 000	-	-	200 000	200 000	200 000	25 408	33 022	38 576	39 827	18 654	32 532	82 638	105 381	(51.6%)	(18.3%)	41.3%	52.7%	
Disaster Relief Funds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Internally Displaced People Management Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sub-Total Vote	200 000	-	-	200 000	200 000	200 000	25 408	33 022	38 576	39 827	18 654	32 532	82 638	105 381	(51.6%)	(18.3%)	41.3%	52.7%	
Transport (Vote 33)																			
Public Transport Infrastructure and Systems Grant	2 418 177	-	-	2 418 177	2 418 177	2 410 440	890 726	362 572	621 030	1 196 882	154 507	470 382	1 704 447	2 029 836	(75.1%)	(60.7%)	70.5%	83.9%	
Rural Transport Grant	9 800	-	-	9 800	9 800	9 800	-	1 258	-	1 271	-	505	-	3 034	-	(60.3%)	-	31.0%	
Sub-Total Vote	2 427 977	-	-	2 427 977	2 427 977	2 420 240	890 726	363 830	621 030	1 198 153	154 507	470 887	1 666 263	2 032 870	(75.1%)	(60.7%)	68.6%	83.7%	
Public Works																			
Expanded Public Works Programme Incentive Grant (Municipality)	201 749	-	-	201 749	201 749	200 671	-	-	-	-	35 629	35 629	35 629	35 629	-	-	17.7%	17.7%	
Sub-Total Vote	201 749	-	-	201 749	201 749	200 671	-	-	-	-	35 629	35 629	35 629	35 629	-	-	17.7%	17.7%	
Minerals and Energy (Vote 30)																			
Integrated National Electrification Programme (Municipal) Grant	932 957	-	-	932 957	932 957	901 414	154 416	89 225	134 914	136 138	174 354	135 477	463 684	360 839	29.2%	(0.5%)	49.7%	38.7%	
National Electrification Programme (Allocation in-kind) Grant	1 467 365	(1 721)	-	1 465 644	1 465 644	1 119 372	362 928	-	333 676	-	331 922	-	1 028 526	-	(0.5%)	-	72.7%	-	
Backlogs in the Electrification of Clinics and Schools (Allocation in-kind)	148 950	-	-	148 950	148 950	78 150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Electricity Demand Side Management (Municipal) Grant	175 000	-	-	175 000	175 000	129 000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Electricity Demand Side Management (Eskom) Grant	75 000	-	-	75 000	75 000	75 000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sub-Total Vote	2 799 272	(1 721)	-	2 797 551	2 797 551	2 302 936	517 344	89 225	468 590	136 138	506 276	135 477	1 492 210	360 839	8.0%	(0.5%)	53.3%	12.9%	
Water Affairs and Forestry (Vote 34)																			
Backlogs in Water and Sanitation at Clinics and Schools Grant	331 774	(33 745)	-	298 029	294 729	101 045	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Implementation of Water Services Projects	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Regional Bulk Infrastructure Grant	541 500	2 821	-	544 321	413 921	331 419	126 745	-	196 169	-	230 677	-	553 591	-	17.6%	-	101.7%	-	
Water Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy Grant (Schedule 6)	978 579	(107 301)	-	871 278	871 278	848 637	191 557	155 714	188 496	245 107	147 084	182 879	527 137	583 700	(22.0%)	(25.4%)	60.5%	67.0%	
Water Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy Grant (Schedule 7)	135 199	111 839	-	247 038	247 038	53 534	58 790	-	20 313	-	39 121	-	118 224	-	92.6%	-	47.9%	-	
Municipal Drought Relief Grant	-	53 700	-	53 700	53 700	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 205	-	3 205	-	-	-	6.0%	
Sub-Total Vote	1 987 052	27 314	-	2 014 366	1 880 666	1 334 635	377 092	155 714	404 978	245 107	416 882	186 084	1 198 952	586 905	2.9%	(24.1%)	59.5%	29.1%	
Sport and Recreation South Africa (Vote 19)																			
2010 World Cup Host City Operating Grant	507 557	-	-	507 557	507 557	453 498	96 778	232 178	135 174	38 675	41 039	43 523	272 991	314 376	(69.6%)	12.5%	53.8%	61.9%	
2010 FIFA World Cup Stadiums Development Grant	1 661 107	-	-	1 661 107	1 661 107	1 661 106	881 429	667 203	541 325	302 182	210 110	110 954	1 632 864	1 080 339	(61.2%)	(63.3%)	98.3%	65.0%	
Sub-Total Vote	2 168 664	-	-	2 168 664	2 168 664	2 114 604	978 207	899 382	676 499	340 857	251 149	154 477	1 905 855	1 394 715	(62.9%)	(54.7%)	87.9%	64.3%	
Sub-Total	10 733 308	38 383	-	10 771 691	10 637 241	9 363 138	2 958 099	1 661 604	2 321 060	2 148 929	1 636 403	1 186 222	6 915 562	4 996 756	(29.5%)	(44.8%)	64.2%	46.4%	
Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)																			
Municipal Infrastructure Grant	11 084 860	348 634	-	11 433 494	11 433 494	10 863 065	1 871 080	1 506 432	2 298 676	2 028 955	2 629 793	1 962 044	6 799 549	5 497 431	14.4%	(3.3%)	59.5%	48.1%	
Sub-Total Vote	11 084 860	348 634	-	11 433 494	11 433 494	10 863 065	1 871 080	1 506 432	2 298 676	2 028 955	2 629 793	1 962 044	6 799 549	5 497 431	14.4%	(3.3%)	59.5%	48.1%	
Sub-Total	11 084 860	348 634	-	11 433 494	11 433 494	10 863 065	1 871 080	1 506 432	2 298 676	2 028 955	2 629 793	1 962 044	6 799 549	5 497 431	14.4%	(3.3%)	59.5%	48.1%	
TOTAL	21 818 168	387 017	-	22 205 185	22 070 735	20 226 203	4 829 179	3 168 037	4 619 736	4 177 883	4 266 196	3 148 266	13 715 111	10 494 187	(7.7%)	(24.6%)	70.8%	54.2%	