

PRESS RELEASE

10 June 2011

Local Government Revenue and Expenditure for the period ended 31 March 2011
Third Quarter Local Government Section 71 Report

SUMMARY:

- 1. National Treasury has today released local government's revenue and expenditure for the third quarter of the 2010/11 financial year. The statement covers revenue and expenditure as well conditional grant spending for the period 1 July to 31 March 2011. It is available on the National Treasury's website: www.treasury.gov.za.
- 2. National Treasury publishes this information in terms of Section 71 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003)(MFMA) and in terms of Section 30(3) of the 2010 Division of Revenue Act. The budgeted figures shown are based on the 2010/11 adjusted budgets approved by municipal councils during January and February 2011.
- 3. This information, referred to as the In-year Management, Monitoring and Reporting System for Local Government (IYM), will enable provincial and national government to exercise oversight over municipalities, and identify possible problems in implementing municipal budgets and conditional grants. The information will also be of interest to policy makers, researchers, sector specialists and academics with an interest in local government. It is also envisaged that regularly published budget implementation information will empower communities to hold their Municipal Councils accountable.
- 4. All information in this statement is based on the Section 71 MFMA reports that Municipal Managers and Chief Financial Officers were required to sign and submit to the National Treasury by 14 April 2011. Any queries on the figures in the statement should therefore be referred to the relevant Municipal Manager or Chief Financial Officer. Queries on conditional grants may be referred to the national department responsible for administering the grant.
- 5. The number of municipalities that submitted reports increased to full coverage of 283 in the third quarter of 2010/11, from 282 in the second quarter of 2010/11.

HIGHLIGHTS:

6. The fact that all municipalities now consistently produce in-year financial reports every quarter is a remarkable achievement for local government. This is a massive improvement from just three years ago, when less than 50 municipalities produced quarterly financial reports regularly. This facilitates transparency and better in-year management of budgets.

- 7. Although the aggregate outstanding debtors of municipalities have risen to R64.4 billion, this amount expressed as a proportion of total municipal revenue has begun to decrease. This suggests that the efforts by many municipalities to improve their revenue and debt management processes are beginning to bear fruit. The debtors also include amounts that need to be written off as there is a low probability that they can be recovered. In addition, governments' portion of outstanding debtors has also decreased significantly. Municipalities' billing of revenue is broadly in line with their budgets.
- 8. Municipalities' reporting on their cash flow situations has improved. Initial analysis indicates that the cash coverage of many municipalities is better than a year ago.
- 9. Municipal operating spending is broadly on track at 73.5 per cent of municipalities' total adjusted operating budgets.

CHALLENGES:

- 10. Now that consistent in-year financial reporting is in place, many municipalities need to pay attention to the quality of their reporting. Also municipalities need to institutionalise processes to use these reports as management information to improve their performance. In addition, councils need to use the information to exercise in-year oversight of the implementation of their approved adjusted budgets.
- 11. Municipalities need to unbundle their outstanding debtors total so that steps can be taken to address each of the components for instance making provision for the writing-off the amounts that are uncollectable, or ensuring that amounts owed by government institutions get paid timeously.
- 12. Spending of the capital budget and conditional grants at the end of the third quarter of the municipal financial year is low. This may be partly due to the reluctance of management teams to approve projects in the run-up to the local government elections, as well as weaknesses in the project planning and supply chain management procedures.
- 13. Capital spending remains slow and this is a concern for government. From the start of the 2011/12 national financial year, the Department of Co-operative Governance is responsible for the engineering and infrastructure component of the Siyenza Manje programme and is exploring ways of strengthening the programme so as to provide more effective and sustainable assistance to municipalities to improve their capital spending performance.

KEY TRENDS:

Aggregated trends

- 14. As at 31 March 2011, municipalities had in aggregate spent 62.5 per cent or R148.6 billion of the R237.8 billion total adjusted budget. The total revenue adjusted budget amounted to R247.7 billion for 2010/11, of which aggregated billing accounted for R171.8 billion or 69.4 per cent.
- 15. Metropolitan municipalities had billed R94.7 billion or 67 per cent of their total adjusted revenue budget of R140.6 billion by the end of the third quarter. Nelson Mandela Bay had billed the highest proportion of its adjusted revenue at 72.4 per cent, followed by Ekurhuleni at 68.6 per cent.
- 16. The aggregated adjusted capital budget for all municipalities for 2010/11 is R41.6 billion, of which R19 billion or 45.7 per cent had been spent by the end of the third quarter. The aggregated capital adjusted budget of metropolitan municipalities amounted to R19.2 billion, of which R8.6 billion or 45 per cent had been spent by 31 March 2011. Most metros had

- spent less than 50.0 per cent of their capital budget in the third quarter. The Ekurhuleni Metro and Cape Town had the lowest capital spending rate at only 37.1 per cent.
- 17. The municipalities owed their creditors R9.7 billion as at 31 March 2011. This is R1 billion less than the R10.7 billion owed at the end of the second quarter of 2010/11. Free State had the highest percentage of creditors outstanding for more than 90 days at 54.2 per cent, followed by Mpumalanga at 44.4 per cent, Limpopo at 40.3 per cent and the Northern Cape at 31.21 per cent. The creditor age analysis results differ vastly from quarter to quarter.

Conditional Grants

- 18. On 15 December 2010, the Minister of Finance approved an adjustment Gazette (Government Gazette No. 33879 of 2010). This Gazette updates the information that was originally published in Government Gazette No. 33100 of 2010 published on 13 April 2010.
- 19. Based on the revised allocations the amount allocated in transfers to local government amounts to R51.9 billion. This consists of the unconditional transfer (Equitable Share) of R30.2 billion and conditional transfers of R21.7 billion. The conditional transfers consist of direct conditional grants to municipalities of R17.9 billion and allocations-in-kind of R3.8 billion. It should be noted that the direct conditional grant amount of R17.9 billion does not include the Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG Cities) to metropolitan municipalities as they are required to report performance on the entire capital programme.
- 20. By the end of the third quarter national departments administering conditional grants had transferred R17.7 billion which constitutes 81.6 per cent of the direct conditional grants to municipalities for the 2010/11 financial year.
- 21. Expenditure reports from national departments indicate that municipalities had spent only 62.1 per cent or R11.2 billion by the end of the third quarter. The percentage however excludes all schedules 4, 7 and 8 grants. Municipalities receiving direct conditional grants reported third quarter aggregate expenditure of R9.2 billion or 51.2 per cent of the R17.9 billion conditional grants allocated to municipalities. However, one must also take into account that only 236 of 283 municipalities have complied with the expenditure verification process, hence the number could be understated.
- 22. The Electricity Demand Side Management Grant, Municipal Systems Improvement Grant, Neighbourhood Development Partnership Grant and Integrated National Electrification Programme (Municipal) Grant reported expenditures of less than 50 per cent at the end of 31 March 2011.
- 23. It should be noted that the 51.2 per cent expenditure reported by municipalities for the third quarter excludes the amounts that the metros may have spent in relation to the Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG Cities). In terms of Section 11(2)(b)(ii) of the Division of Revenue Act, 2010, metros are required to report on the implementation of their entire capital programme, and not specifically on the spending of the MIG cities grant. This is because the MIG cities grant is designed to supplement the capital budgets of the metros. Secondly, the EPWP incentive grant performance is also not reflected in the publication due to it's "after the event" performance nature.
- 24. A summary of key aggregated information is included in the tables in **Annexure A**.

STRUCTURE OF INFORMATION RELEASED:

- 25. Other information released on National Treasury's website (www.treasury.gov.za) as part of this process includes the following:
 - Municipal Budget Statements:
 - a. Cash Flow closing balances as at 31 March 2011;
 - b. High-level summary of revenue for 283 municipalities; and
 - c. High-level summary of expenditure for 283 municipalities.
 - Summary of revenue and expenditure per function (electricity, water, etc):
 - a. High level summary of revenue per function; and
 - b. High level summary of expenditure per function.
 - Consolidation of revenue and expenditure numbers for each municipality in one file.
 - Detail per province per municipality.
 - Summary of Conditional Grant (CG) Information for all municipalities and per grant.
 - CG Detail per province per Municipality.
 - Section 71 summary information for the first quarter:
 - a. Summary of total monthly operating expenditure 283 municipalities:
 - b. Summary of total monthly capital expenditure 283 municipalities;
 - c. Summary Metros;
 - d. Conditional Grant summary Metros;
 - e. Summary Top 21 municipalities;
 - f. Conditional Grant summary Top 21 municipalities;
 - g. Summary Provinces;
 - h. Conditional Grant summary Provinces; and
 - i. Analysis of Sources of Revenue 283 municipalities.
 - Non Compliance:
 - a. List of Non Compliance to Section 71 of the MFMA.
- 26. The section 71 information reported by municipalities to National Treasury is now being published on the National Treasury website in the format of Schedule C, which is the format for municipal monthly and quarterly financial statements as prescribed by the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations.

SUMMARY TABLES:

Aggregated revenue and expenditure for municipalities

Table 1: National aggregrated revenue and expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

	Į.	Adjusted Budget			Third Quarter	2010/11		1	Year to date: 31 I	March 2011			Third Quarter	r 2009/10		
	Operating	Capital	Total	Operating	Capital	Total	3rd Q as	Operating	Capital	Total	Total as	Operating	Capital	Total	Total as	Q3 of
							% of adj				% of adj				% of adj	2009/10 to
							budget				budget				budget	Q3 of
R thousands																2010/11
Expenditure																
Category A (Metro)	115 251 436	19 160 224	134 411 660	25 522 122	2 779 506	28 301 628	21.1%	78 683 631	8 625 759	87 309 389	65.0%	21 238 044	4 196 469	25 434 513	64.5%	11.3%
Category B (Local)	67 988 680	16 975 642	84 964 322	13 884 466	2 182 480	16 066 945	18.9%	43 258 080	7 559 996	50 818 076	59.8%	11 771 959	2 111 307	13 883 266	60.5%	15.7%
Category C (District)	12 937 611	5 497 262	18 434 873	2 431 445	680 771	3 112 216	16.9%	7 634 845	2 861 347	10 496 192	56.9%	2 856 984	1 482 395	4 339 380	63.6%	(28.3%)
Total	196 177 727	41 633 128	237 810 855	41 838 033	5 642 756	47 480 789	20.0%	129 576 556	19 047 101	148 623 657	62.5%	35 866 987	7 790 172	43 657 159	63.0%	8.8%
Revenue																
Category A (Metro)	122 023 683	19 160 224	141 183 907	28 734 212	2 779 506	31 513 718	22.3%	86 034 627	8 625 758	94 660 386	67.0%	23 698 782	4 196 469	27 895 252	66.6%	13.0%
Category B (Local)	71 666 941	15 213 947	86 880 888	15 576 673	1 994 876	17 571 549	20.2%	54 065 714	6 990 228	61 055 942	70.3%	14 755 408	2 179 464	16 934 872	68.7%	3.8%
Category C (District)	15 046 706	4 611 039	19 657 745	3 518 974	585 046	4 104 020	20.9%	13 538 646	2 628 716	16 167 362	82.2%	4 245 566	1 452 076	5 697 642	93.5%	(28.0%)
Total	208 737 330	38 985 210	247 722 540	47 829 860	5 359 428	53 189 287	21.5%	153 638 987	18 244 702	171 883 689	69.4%	42 699 756	7 828 010	50 527 766	69.2%	5.3%

Source: National Treasury local government database

Aggregate revenue trends for Metros

Table 2: Metros aggregrated revenue as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

	А	djusted Budge	t		Third Quarter	r 2010/11		,	ear to date: 31	March 2011			Third Quarte	r 2009/10		
	Operating	Capital	T otal	Operating	Capital	Total	3rd Q as	Operating	Capital	Total	Total	Operating	Capital	Total	Total	Q3 of
	Revenue	Revenue		Revenue	Revenue		% of adj	Revenue	Revenue		Revenue	Revenue	Revenue		Revenue	2009/10
							budget				as % of				as % of	to Q3 of
											adj				adj	2010/11
R thousands											budget				budget	
Cape Town	28 750 145	3 995 477	32 745 622	7 150 110	495 052	7 645 161	23.3%	20 398 110	1 483 135	21 881 245	66.8%	6 438 268	748 742	7 187 011	68.2%	6.4%
Ekurhuleni Metro	19 818 757	2 160 091	21 978 848	4 238 009	262 038	4 500 047	20.5%	14 270 135	802 285	15 072 420	68.6%	3 489 392	224 318	3 713 710	66.2%	21.2%
eThekwini	22 918 545	5 125 772	28 044 317	5 741 364	668 730	6 410 094	22.9%	15 901 329	2 687 679	18 589 008	66.3%	4 796 299	1 240 124	6 036 423	70.1%	6.2%
City Of Johannesburg	28 406 716	3 827 969	32 234 685	6 344 353	723 018	7 067 371	21.9%	19 350 977	1 632 177	20 983 154	65.1%	4 351 144	1 230 719	5 581 863	64.3%	26.6%
Nelson Mandela Bay	6 182 078	1 626 634	7 808 712	1 666 330	210 644	1 876 973	24.0%	4 720 891	935 669	5 656 560	72.4%	1 016 453	355 148	1 371 600	59.9%	36.8%
City Of Tshwane	15 947 443	2 424 280	18 371 724	3 594 046	420 024	4 014 070	21.8%	11 393 185	1 084 813	12 477 998	67.9%	3 607 227	397 418	4 004 645	66.3%	0.2%
Total	122 023 683	19 160 224	141 183 907	28 734 212	2 779 506	31 513 718	22.3%	86 034 627	8 625 758	94 660 386	67.0%	23 698 782	4 196 469	27 895 252	66.6%	13.0%

Source: National Treasury local government database

Aggregate expenditure trends for Metros

Table 3: Metros aggregrated expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

Tubic o. Michos ag	ggregrated expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 march 2011															
	A	djusted Budge	t		Third Quarte	er 2010/11		,	Year to date: 31	March 2011			Third Quarte	r 2009/10		
	Operating	Capital	Total	Operating	Capital	Total	3rd Q as	Operating	Capital	Total	Total	Operating	Capital	Total	Total	Q3 of
	Expenditure	Expenditure		Expenditure	Expenditure		% of adj	Expenditure	Expenditure		Expenditu	Expenditure	Expenditure		Expenditu	2009/10
							budget				re as % of				re as % of	to Q3 of
											adj				adj	2010/11
R thousands											budget				budget	
Cape Town	26 966 888	3 995 477	30 962 365	6 063 184	495 052	6 558 236	21.2%	18 312 367	1 483 135	19 795 503	63.9%	5 247 195	748 742	5 995 937	63.8%	9.4%
Ekurhuleni Metro	20 265 721	2 160 091	22 425 812	4 336 845	262 038	4 598 883	20.5%	13 772 888	802 285	14 575 173	65.0%	3 301 930	224 318	3 526 248	59.2%	30.4%
eThekwini	20 823 768	5 125 772	25 949 540	4 316 901	668 730	4 985 631	19.2%	13 646 599	2 687 679	16 334 278	62.9%	4 074 176	1 240 124	5 314 300	70.6%	(6.2%)
City Of Johannesburg	26 020 194	3 827 969	29 848 163	6 358 737	723 018	7 081 756	23.7%	19 050 075	1 632 177	20 682 252	69.3%	4 998 895	1 230 719	6 229 614	68.1%	13.7%
Nelson Mandela Bay	6 035 990	1 626 634	7 662 624	1 368 279	210 644	1 578 923	20.6%	4 046 256	935 669	4 981 925	65.0%	1 045 850	355 148	1 400 998	51.1%	12.7%
City Of Tshwane	15 138 875	2 424 280	17 563 156	3 078 175	420 024	3 498 199	19.9%	9 855 446	1 084 813	10 940 259	62.3%	2 569 998	397 418	2 967 416	63.7%	17.9%
Total	115 251 436	19 160 224	134 411 660	25 522 122	2 779 506	28 301 628	21.1%	78 683 631	8 625 759	87 309 389	65.0%	21 238 044	4 196 469	25 434 513	64.5%	11.3%

Aggregated revenue and expenditure for Secondary cities

Table 4: 21 Secondary cities aggregrated budgets and expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

1 4010 41 21 0000		djusted Budge			Third Quarte			Y	ear to date: 31	March 2011			Third Quarte	r 2009/10		
	Operating	Capital	Total	Operating	Capital	Total	3rd Q as	Operating	Capital	Total	Total Exp	Operating	Capital	Total	Total Exp	Q3 of
	Expenditure	Expenditure		Expenditure	Expenditure		% of adj	Expenditure	Expenditure		as % of	Expenditure	Expenditure		as % of	2009/10 to
							budget				adj budget				adj	Q3 of
															budget	2010/11
R thousands																
Buffalo City	4 028 283	480 112	4 508 395	696 754	62 087	758 840	16.8%	2 163 286	198 377	2 361 663	52.4%	492 065	68 433	560 499	48.4%	35.4%
City Of Matlosana	1 410 352	314 317	1 724 669	557 991	36 537	594 528	34.5%	1 212 915	122 229	1 335 144	77.4%	277 114	38 376	315 490	55.9%	88.4%
Drakenstein	1 101 891	278 518	1 380 409	246 572	42 223	288 796	20.9%	684 672	103 632	788 304	57.1%	216 129	25 502	241 631	58.0%	19.5%
Emalahleni (Mp)	1 226 797	1 500	1 228 297	343 319	26 812	370 131	30.1%	814 076	64 154	878 230	71.5%	175 661	21 766	197 427	72.2%	87.5%
Emfuleni	3 182 886	337 148	3 520 033	400 549	23 922	424 471	12.1%	1 617 929	125 091	1 743 020	49.5%	570 261	59 285	629 545	59.6%	(32.6%)
George	1 061 883	150 517	1 212 400	160 260	11 870	172 130	14.2%	535 162	85 915	621 077	51.2%	143 298	33 136	176 434	50.9%	(2.4%)
Gov an Mbeki	953 686	148 226	1 101 912	237 758	16 740	254 498	23.1%	691 592	73 579	765 171	69.4%	173 055	12 874	185 929	63.8%	36.9%
Madibeng	710 763	172 031	882 794	170 988	5 499	176 487	20.0%	483 389	17 350	500 740	56.7%	152 887	17 675	170 561	49.6%	3.5%
Mangaung	3 080 947	789 711	3 870 658	635 752	96 602	732 353	18.9%	1 944 228	293 044	2 237 272	57.8%	573 579	143 880	717 459	62.3%	2.1%
Matjhabeng	1 419 343	159 604	1 578 947	235 508	29 918	265 425	16.8%	739 448	89 234	828 681	52.5%	154 182	39 046	193 228	49.8%	37.4%
Mbombela	1 504 346	700 290	2 204 636	266 990	114 402	381 392	17.3%	727 030	269 624	996 654	45.2%	376 107	165 788	541 895	55.2%	(29.6%)
Mogale City	1 307 887	200 044	1 507 931	263 227	28 906	292 133	19.4%	824 921	72 112	897 033	59.5%	214 098	16 899	230 998	56.4%	26.5%
Msunduzi	2 388 296	295 937	2 684 234	312 609	18 256	330 866	12.3%	1 342 555	32 848	1 375 403	51.2%	364 769	6 846	371 615	58.3%	(11.0%)
New castle	1 005 337	229 804	1 235 141	249 292	15 697	264 989	21.5%	683 396	55 002	738 397	59.8%	202 015	13 208	215 223	69.0%	23.1%
Polokw ane	1 284 353	609 734	1 894 087	265 337	45 028	310 364	16.4%	794 016	211 304	1 005 320	53.1%	219 413	72 596	292 009	47.9%	
Rustenburg	1 943 353	387 566	2 330 919	541 537	37 431	578 968	24.8%	1 542 849	115 902	1 658 751	71.2%	457 321	50 488	507 809	85.6%	
Sol Plaatje	1 011 833	119 469	1 131 302	183 717	26 999	210 716	18.6%	675 180	56 593	731 773	64.7%	182 785	27 297	210 082	63.2%	
Stellenbosch	747 507	144 689	892 196	165 107	21 958	187 065	21.0%	408 481	44 642	453 123	50.8%	106 828	34 303	141 131	47.4%	
Steve Tshwete	835 606	437 553	1 273 159	183 304	44 931	228 235	17.9%	591 451	170 562	762 013	59.9%	187 911	37 715	225 625	53.0%	
Tlokwe	669 779	111 972	781 751	130 950	41 748	172 698	22.1%	447 663	55 948	503 611	64.4%	123 487	11 021	134 508	63.6%	
uMhlathuze	1 719 174	169 441	1 888 616	479 441	8 165	487 606	25.8%	1 253 026	36 129	1 289 155	68.3%	306 458	38 087	344 545	71.0%	41.5%
Total	32 594 302	6 238 183	38 832 485	6 726 962	755 731	7 482 693	19.3%	20 177 265	2 293 271	22 470 535	57.9%	5 669 422	934 221	6 603 643	58.6%	13.3%

Operating Expenditure per functions for Metros

Table 5: Metros aggregrated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

Table 5: Metros aggre			•		•			
	Adjusted	Third Quarte	er 2010/11	Year to		Third Quarte	er 2009/10	Q3 of
	Budget			31 March			T = =	2009/10 to
		Actual	3rd Q as	Actual	Total Exp	Actual	Total Exp	Q3 of
		Expenditure	% of adj	Expenditure	as % of	Expenditure	as % of	2010/11
5			budget		adj		adj	
R thousands					budget		budget	
Water								
Cape Town	3 142 363	790 843	25.2%	2 209 442	70.3%	674 224	66.9%	17.3%
Ekurhuleni Metro	2 743 208	614 953	22.4%	1 905 114	69.4%	654 404	67.1%	(6.0%)
eThekw ini	2 994 655	648 236	21.6%	1 877 982	62.7%	700 119	73.2%	(7.4%)
City Of Johannesburg	2 571 101	1 144 228	44.5%	3 323 961	129.3%	950 319	124.9%	20.4%
Nelson Mandela Bay	399 701	148 483	37.1%	353 662	88.5%	78 274	48.8%	89.7%
City Of Tshwane	1 511 831	352 395	23.3%	1 074 338	71.1%	362 941	66.1%	(2.9%)
Total	13 362 860	3 699 138	27.7%	10 744 499	80.4%	3 420 282	78.4%	8.2%
Electricity								
Cape Town	6 429 727	1 320 149	20.5%	4 343 692	67.6%	1 074 779	67.8%	22.8%
Ekurhuleni Metro	7 504 073	1 395 473	18.6%	5 080 126	67.7%	1 542 738	70.3%	(9.5%)
eThekw ini	6 974 509	1 381 056	19.8%	4 703 301	67.4%	1 172 045	67.7%	17.8%
City Of Johannesburg	8 393 472	1 908 902	22.7%	6 122 856	72.9%	1 322 669	67.9%	44.3%
Nelson Mandela Bay	2 286 081	424 861	18.6%	1 260 800	55.2%	344 819	58.6%	23.2%
City Of Tshwane	5 331 549	1 085 604	20.4%	3 918 003	73.5%	891 507	72.2%	21.8%
Total	36 919 411	7 516 045	20.4%	25 428 777	68.9%	6 348 558	68.4%	18.4%
Sanitation								
Cape Town	1 531 381	360 278	23.5%	1 067 163	69.7%	373 467	74.9%	(3.5%)
Ekurhuleni Metro	50 326	3 804	7.6%	19 415	38.6%	1 185	5.6%	220.9%
eThekw ini	1 015 778	197 352	19.4%	617 850	60.8%	195 300	67.4%	1.1%
City Of Johannesburg	1 714 068	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Nelson Mandela Bay	396 364	108 392	27.3%	229 362	57.9%	82 769	57.6%	31.0%
City Of Tshwane	519 825	150 665	29.0%	338 113	65.0%	238 470	68.8%	(36.8%)
Total	5 227 741	820 490	15.7%	2 271 903	43.5%	891 190	47.7%	(7.9%)
Refuse removal								, ,
Cape Town	1 923 013	448 484	23.3%	1 288 842	67.0%	387 289	69.2%	15.8%
Ekurhuleni Metro	891 330	177 352	19.9%	535 984	60.1%	176 128	64.0%	0.7%
eThekw ini	939 363	244 218	26.0%	621 493	66.2%	229 560	63.3%	6.4%
City Of Johannesburg	1 067 968	280 246	26.2%	828 474	77.6%	286 240	74.8%	(2.1%)
Nelson Mandela Bay	261 138	58 758	22.5%	171 052	65.5%	62 674	68.0%	(6.2%)
City Of Tshwane	661 819	170 394	25.7%	320 390	48.4%	-	-	-
Total	5 744 632	1 379 451	24.0%	3 766 235	65.6%	1 141 891	68.4%	20.8%

Expenditure per functions for secondary cities

Table 6a: 21 Secondary cities aggregrated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

	Adjusted	Third Quar	rter 2010/11	Year to date:	31 March 2011	Third Quar	ter 2009/10	Q3 of 2009/10
	Budget	Actual	3rd Q as %	Actual	Total Exp as	Actual	Total Exp as	to Q3 of
		Expenditure	of adj budget	Expenditure	% of adj	Expenditure	% of adj	2010/11
					budget		budget	
R thousands								
Water								
Buffalo City	466 848	61 930	13.3%	210 693	45.1%	49 429	62.4%	25.3%
City Of Matlosana	152 254	42 785	28.1%	98 799	64.9%	40 138	287.6%	6.6%
Drakenstein	58 184	14 855	25.5%	39 372	67.7%	9 329	50.9%	59.2%
Emalahleni (Mp)	124 622	35 830	28.8%	83 950	67.4%	18 623	68.2%	92.4%
Emfuleni	321 399	63 393	19.7%	234 761	73.0%	85 170	66.5%	(25.6%)
George	110 839	7 201	6.5%	41 987	37.9%	16 011	49.0%	(55.0%)
Gov an Mbeki	153 784	37 195	24.2%	92 432	60.1%	28 166	62.8%	32.1%
Madibeng	87 118	13 618	15.6%	30 578	35.1%	14 123	37.5%	(3.6%)
Mangaung	340 632	84 676	24.9%	273 884	80.4%	64 850	67.8%	30.6%
Matjhabeng	203 350	28 197	13.9%	92 325	45.4%	5 543	46.3%	408.7%
Mbombela	141 878	21 320	15.0%	58 132	41.0%	23 392	59.2%	(8.9%)
Mogale City	174 089	33 007	19.0%	107 417	61.7%	32 231	65.0%	2.4%
Msunduzi	278 620	36 349	13.0%	146 776	52.7%	66 820	71.7%	(45.6%)
New castle	194 929	39 248	20.1%	145 349	74.6%	36 809	60.5%	6.6%
Polokw ane	192 019	44 269	23.1%	121 633	63.3%	36 791	60.1%	20.3%
Rustenburg	310 334	86 527	27.9%	236 595	76.2%	62 075	85.5%	39.4%
Sol Plaatje	108 287	26 298	24.3%	59 853	55.3%	22 771	59.9%	15.5%
Stellenbosch	57 540	9 373	16.3%	21 600	37.5%	9 083	35.0%	3.2%
Steve Tshwete	46 473	10 789	23.2%	32 975	71.0%	15 870	71.2%	(32.0%)
Tlokwe	36 773	5 987	16.3%	22 668	61.6%	5 971	50.1%	0.3%
uMhlathuze	333 086	106 241	31.9%	228 530	68.6%	41 112	69.8%	158.4%
Total	3 893 057	809 088	20.8%	2 380 308	61.1%	684 306	65.5%	18.2%

Source: National Treasury local government database

Table 6b: 21 Secondary cities aggregrated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

Adjusted Third Quarter 2010/11 Year to date: 31 March 2011 Third Quarter 2009/10 Q3 of 2009/10

	Adjusted	Third Qua	rter 2010/11	Year to date:	31 March 2011	Third Quar	ter 2009/10	Q3 of 2009/10
	Budget	Actual	3rd Q as %	Actual	Total Exp as	Actual	Total Exp as	to Q3 of
		Expenditure	of adj budget	Expenditure	% of adj	Expenditure	% of adj	2010/11
					budget		budget	
R thousands								
Electricity								
Buffalo City	1 194 657	197 150	16.5%	653 770	54.7%	137 761	62.3%	43.1%
City Of Matlosana	351 151	69 255	19.7%	268 499	76.5%	69 026	72.2%	0.3%
Drakenstein	417 571	96 528	23.1%	266 624	63.9%	84 122	79.1%	14.7%
Emalahleni (Mp)	562 825	174 519	31.0%	411 545	73.1%	68 662	74.8%	154.2%
Emfuleni	965 208	124 059	12.9%	597 395	61.9%	206 519	81.8%	(39.9%)
George	288 197	52 386	18.2%	170 007	59.0%	41 535	56.5%	26.1%
Gov an Mbeki	267 291	92 361	34.6%	311 602	116.6%	42 384	68.7%	117.9%
Madibeng	196 205	58 544	29.8%	187 720	95.7%	54 071	76.2%	8.3%
Mangaung	1 224 696	229 397	18.7%	752 073	61.4%	192 755	69.0%	19.0%
Matjhabeng	236 260	67 360	28.5%	231 872	98.1%	28 937	74.9%	132.8%
Mbombela	348 071	74 359	21.4%	218 802	62.9%	12 749	65.4%	483.2%
Mogale City	441 012	85 815	19.5%	265 709	60.2%	54 450	55.9%	57.6%
Msunduzi	911 514	117 951	12.9%	553 474	60.7%	132 003	75.9%	(10.6%)
New castle	342 033	86 882	25.4%	183 217	53.6%	50 773	65.9%	71.1%
Polokw ane	424 998	76 603	18.0%	274 410	64.6%	59 739	62.9%	28.2%
Rustenburg	901 483	253 473	28.1%	728 829	80.8%	184 060	107.3%	37.7%
Sol Plaatje	266 765	41 747	15.6%	215 692	80.9%	55 011	67.7%	(24.1%)
Stellenbosch	213 955	39 072	18.3%	125 585	58.7%	27 545	65.8%	41.9%
Steve Tshwete	293 817	53 415	18.2%	206 843	70.4%	48 526	71.4%	10.1%
Tlokwe	251 359	24 293	9.7%	160 805	64.0%	41 890	75.7%	(42.0%)
uMhlathuze	758 501	180 037	23.7%	582 053	76.7%	144 502	78.7%	24.6%
Total	10 857 567	2 195 205	20.2%	7 366 524	67.8%	1 737 018	74.0%	26.4%

Table 6c: 21 Secondary cities aggregrated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

	Adjusted	Third Quar	rter 2010/11	Year to date:	31 March 2011	Third Quar	ter 2009/10	Q3 of 2009/10
	Budget	Actual	3rd Q as %	Actual	Total Exp as	Actual	Total Exp as	to Q3 of
		Expenditure	of adj budget	Expenditure	% of adj	Expenditure	% of adj	2010/11
					budget		budget	
R thousands								
Sanitation								
Buffalo City	465 844	65 625	14.1%	194 306	41.7%	44 466	53.3%	47.6%
City Of Matlosana	101 822	30 769	30.2%	35 494	34.9%	15 092	3.8%	103.9%
Drakenstein	49 775	12 067	24.2%	34 398	69.1%	8 901	50.7%	35.6%
Emalahleni (Mp)	39 756	14 525	36.5%	29 041	73.0%	6 491	85.1%	123.8%
Emfuleni	256 040	14 950	5.8%	59 428	23.2%	24 864	26.3%	(39.9%)
George	151 517	15 396	10.2%	58 137	38.4%	13 415	47.3%	14.8%
Gov an Mbeki	58 492	14 848	25.4%	37 848	64.7%	15 562	71.3%	(4.6%)
Madibeng	30 370	8 260	27.2%	26 076	85.9%	8 686	109.9%	(4.9%)
Mangaung	119 535	25 977	21.7%	68 676	57.5%	21 813	68.5%	19.1%
Matjhabeng	59 876	12 817	21.4%	31 107	52.0%	21 787	-	(41.2%)
Mbombela	75 014	10 269	13.7%	28 977	38.6%	21 874	64.1%	(53.1%)
Mogale City	60 162	20 735	34.5%	44 250	73.6%	5 918	46.5%	250.4%
Msunduzi	17 515	738	4.2%	4 734	27.0%	2 038	71.2%	(63.8%)
Newcastle	53 367	21 077	39.5%	37 287	69.9%	9 054	69.2%	132.8%
Polokw ane	45 730	6 442	14.1%	16 386	35.8%	5 788	62.7%	11.3%
Rustenburg	84 760	29 098	34.3%	67 213	79.3%	17 263	67.7%	68.6%
Sol Plaatje	37 673	8 560	22.7%	23 348	62.0%	7 606	72.6%	12.5%
Stellenbosch	47 857	9 065	18.9%	20 532	42.9%	7 127	36.3%	27.2%
Steve Tshwete	49 991	12 273	24.6%	35 326	70.7%	11 030	72.6%	11.3%
Tlokwe	66 096	17 178	26.0%	35 078	53.1%	5 677	29.5%	202.6%
uMhlathuze	109 034	37 005	33.9%	81 764	75.0%	18 181	66.3%	103.5%
Total	1 980 225	387 674	19.6%	969 408	49.0%	292 631	51.3%	32.5%

Source: National Treasury local government database

Table 6d: 21 Secondary cities aggregrated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

	Adjusted	Third Qua	rter 2010/11	Year to date:	31 March 2011	Third Quar	ter 2009/10	Q3 of 2009/10
	Budget	Actual	3rd Q as %	Actual	Total Exp as	Actual	Total Exp as	to Q3 of
		Expenditure	of adj budget	Expenditure	% of adj	Expenditure	% of adj	2010/11
					budget		budget	
R thousands								
Refuse removal								
Buffalo City	212 816	35 919	16.9%	99 018	46.5%	31 114	46.9%	15.4%
City Of Matlosana	43 070	10 706	24.9%	30 385	70.5%	9 385	67.3%	14.1%
Drakenstein	41 479	9 114	22.0%	26 049	62.8%	10 643	58.3%	(14.4%)
Emalahleni (Mp)	51 892	17 427	33.6%	39 927	76.9%	8 911	80.0%	95.6%
Emfuleni	183 716	12 942	7.0%	59 190	32.2%	10 805	42.7%	19.8%
George	41 109	6 354	15.5%	21 567	52.5%	7 408	52.9%	(14.2%)
Gov an Mbeki	56 673	10 806	19.1%	26 334	46.5%	6 309	55.9%	71.3%
Madibeng	-	-	-	-	-	-	30.9%	-
Mangaung	70 248	18 030	25.7%	52 378	74.6%	20 364	76.1%	(11.5%)
Matjhabeng	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mbombela	101 409	29 836	29.4%	65 555	64.6%	49 805	56.8%	(40.1%)
Mogale City	73 039	11 584	15.9%	41 120	56.3%	15 685	66.0%	(26.1%)
Msunduzi	212 925	25 243	11.9%	98 464	46.2%	42 025	72.4%	(39.9%)
New castle	82 138	10 205	12.4%	37 008	45.1%	12 538	51.7%	(18.6%)
Polokw ane	68 743	14 611	21.3%	36 172	52.6%	8 614	46.7%	69.6%
Rustenburg	79 961	25 513	31.9%	67 678	84.6%	21 809	75.9%	17.0%
Sol Plaatje	37 374	4 233	11.3%	26 657	71.3%	7 271	66.7%	(41.8%)
Stellenbosch	25 575	6 413	25.1%	15 742	61.6%	6 408	59.3%	0.1%
Steve Tshwete	47 716	11 760	24.6%	35 350	74.1%	8 786	71.5%	33.9%
Tlokwe	-	-	-	10 384	-	8 180	128.3%	(100.0%)
uMhlathuze	59 879	16 497	27.5%	46 200	77.2%	11 984	88.7%	37.7%
Total	1 489 764	277 193	18.6%	835 178	56.1%	298 041	60.0%	(7.0%)

Aggregated Municipal Debtors Age Analysis

Table 7a: National Debtor Age Analysis for 3rd quarter as at 31 March 2011

	0 - 30 Day	s	31 - 60 Day	/S	61 - 90 Day	'S	Over 90 Da	ıys	Total		Written	Off
R thousands	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Debtor Age Analysis By Income Source												
Water	1 965 598	11.4%	684 055	4.0%	612 083	3.5%	14 012 730	81.1%	17 274 465	26.8%	260 667	1.5%
Electricity	3 947 525	39.9%	645 012	6.5%	397 178	4.0%	4 904 949	49.6%	9 894 664	15.4%	26 113	.3%
Property Rates	2 431 439	15.8%	423 150	2.7%	549 971	3.6%	12 008 501	77.9%	15 413 061	23.9%	111 840	.7%
Sanitation	760 996	12.8%	224 862	3.8%	183 580	3.1%	4 786 868	80.4%	5 956 306	9.2%	9 032	.2%
Refuse Removal	433 302	9.5%	143 271	3.1%	131 847	2.9%	3 842 460	84.4%	4 550 880	7.1%	17 765	.4%
Other	260 294	2.3%	299 641	2.6%	312 743	2.8%	10 457 927	92.3%	11 330 605	17.6%	37 173	.3%
Total By Income Source	9 799 155	15.2%	2 419 990	3.8%	2 187 402	3.4%	50 013 434	77.6%	64 419 981	100.0%	462 588	.7%
Debtor Age Analysis By Customer Grou												
Government	331 717	11.6%	158 653	5.5%	80 612	2.8%	2 289 826	80.0%	2 860 809	4.4%	59 558	2.1%
Business	4 298 941	33.4%	542 996	4.2%	538 537	4.2%	7 488 990	58.2%	12 869 465	20.0%	87 881	.7%
Households	4 496 668	11.1%	1 394 666	3.5%	1 217 307	3.0%	33 277 826	82.4%	40 386 468	62.7%	350 937	.9%
Other	671 828	8.1%	323 675	3.9%	350 948	4.2%	6 956 795	83.8%	8 303 246	12.9%	15 639	.2%
Total By Customer Group	9 799 155	15.2%	2 419 990	3.8%	2 187 405	3.4%	50 013 438	77.6%	64 419 988	100.0%	514 014	.8%

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Debtors' Age Analysis for the Metros

Table 7b: Metros Debtors Age Analysis as at 3rd guarter ended 31 March 2011

	0 - 30 Day	s	31 - 60 Day	ys	61 - 90 Day	ys	Over 90 Da	ys	Total	
R thousands	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011										
Nelson Mandela Bay	438 228	26.9%	104 637	6.4%	62 537	3.8%	1 023 498	62.8%	1 628 900	4.5%
Ekurhuleni Metro	924 671	10.6%	361 981	4.1%	253 043	2.9%	7 198 775	82.4%	8 738 470	24.4%
City Of Johannesburg	2 518 083	22.2%	42 197	0.4%	402 374	3.5%	8 389 857	73.9%	11 352 512	31.6%
City Of Tshwane	765 658	20.8%	83 431	2.3%	73 232	2.0%	2 759 450	74.9%	3 681 772	10.3%
eThekwini	1 007 972	21.1%	226 875	4.7%	165 754	3.5%	3 379 017	70.7%	4 779 618	13.3%
Cape Town	1 213 453	21.3%	308 941	5.4%	175 527	3.1%	3 992 089	70.2%	5 690 010	15.9%
Total	6 868 066	19.1%	1 128 062	3.1%	1 132 467	3.2%	26 742 687	74.6%	35 871 282	16.7%
3rd quarter ended 31 March 2010										
Nelson Mandela Bay	442 534	34.1%	65 492	5.0%	20 700	1.6%	770 591	59.3%	1 299 318	4.3%
Ekurhuleni Metro	768 764	10.2%	297 410	3.9%	260 269	3.4%	6 240 176	82.5%	7 566 620	24.8%
City Of Johannesburg	1 131 611	13.5%	482 271	5.7%	320 971	3.8%	6 462 081	77.0%	8 396 934	27.5%
City Of Tshwane	815 488	22.9%	54 194	1.5%	100 289	2.8%	2 585 656	72.7%	3 555 627	11.6%
eThekwini	658 274	13.5%	318 806	6.5%	98 101	2.0%	3 805 412	78.0%	4 880 593	16.0%
Cape Town	856 799	17.6%	295 386	6.1%	131 643	2.7%	3 577 003	73.6%	4 860 832	15.9%
Total	4 673 470	15.3%	1 513 561	5.0%	931 973	3.0%	23 440 919	76.7%	30 559 923	16.7%
Movement between 31 March 2010 and	31 March 2011									
Nelson Mandela Bay	(4 307)		39 144		41 837		252 907		329 582	
Ekurhuleni Metro	155 907		64 571		(7 227)		958 600		1 171 850	
City Of Johannesburg	1 386 473		(440 074)		81 404		1 927 776		2 955 578	
City Of Tshwane	(49 830)		29 237		(27 057)		173 794		126 145	
eThekwini	349 698		(91 931)		67 653		(426 396)		(100 975)	
Cape Town	356 654		13 554		43 884		415 086		829 179	
Total	2 194 596		(385 499)		200 494		3 301 768		5 311 359	
Growth rate Q3 of 2009/10 to Q3 of 2010	/11									
Nelson Mandela Bay	(1.0%)		59.8%		202.1%		32.8%		25.4%	
Ekurhuleni Metro	20.3%		21.7%		(2.8%)		15.4%		15.5%	
City Of Johannesburg	122.5%		(91.3%)		25.4%		29.8%		35.2%	
City Of Tshwane	(6.1%)		53.9%		(27.0%)		6.7%		3.5%	
eThekwini	53.1%		(28.8%)		69.0%		(11.2%)		(2.1%)	
Cape Town	41.6%		4.6%		33.3%		11.6%		17.1%	
Total	47.0%		-25.5%		21.5%		14.1%		17.4%	

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Table 7c: Debtor Age Analysis by customer group for metros as at 31 March 2011

Table 10: Debtor Age Arialysis b	e 7c: Debtor Age Analysis by customer group for metros as at 31 warch 2011													
	0 - 30 Day	'S	31 - 60 Day	ys	61 - 90 Day	/S	Over 90 Da	iys	Total		Written (Off		
R thousands	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%		
Debtor Age Analysis By Customer Group	p													
Government	155 717	14.1%	66 354	6.0%	6 189	.6%	879 631	79.4%	1 107 892	3.1%	1 709	.2%		
Business	3 427 917	36.1%	251 730	2.7%	361 714	3.8%	5 454 218	57.4%	9 495 579	26.5%	1 500	-		
Households	3 114 095	13.5%	717 421	3.1%	641 318	2.8%	18 602 354	80.6%	23 075 187	64.3%	5 465	-		
Other	170 337	7.8%	92 556	4.2%	123 246	5.6%	1 806 484	82.4%	2 192 624	6.1%	3 076	.1%		
Total By Customer Group	6 868 066	19.1%	1 128 062	3.1%	1 132 467	3.2%	26 742 687	74.6%	35 871 282	100.0%	11 751	-		

Debtors' Age Analysis for secondary cities

Table 8a: 21 Secondary cities Debtors Age Analysis as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

	0 - 30 Day	ys	31 - 60 Da	ıys	61 - 90 Da	ıys	Over 90 D	ays	Total		
R thousands	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	
Buffalo City	140 186	19.0%	44 400	6.0%	26 872	3.6%	525 577	71.3%	737 034	6.0%	
City Of Matlosana	63 210	8.2%	29 518	3.8%	23 696	3.1%	655 754	84.9%	772 178	6.3%	
Drakenstein	72 288	25.7%	10 996	3.9%	8 047	2.9%	189 586	67.5%	280 916	2.3%	
Emalahleni (Mp)		-		-		-		-		-	
Emfuleni	138 049	6.5%	67 985	3.2%	59 898	2.8%	1 869 277	87.5%	2 135 208	17.3%	
George	25 114	28.2%	3 376	3.8%	2 742	3.1%	57 913	65.0%	89 146	0.7%	
Govan Mbeki	24 643	5.0%	12 689	2.6%	14 308	2.9%	438 161	89.5%	489 801	4.0%	
Madibeng		-		-		-		-		-	
Mangaung	200 396	14.2%	68 834	4.9%	64 476	4.6%	1 082 364	76.4%	1 416 071	11.5%	
Matjhabeng	90 305	8.5%	40 763	3.9%	38 496	3.6%	887 902	84.0%	1 057 465	8.6%	
Mbombela	61 688	15.8%	432	0.1%	21 827	5.6%	305 312	78.4%	389 260	3.2%	
Mogale City	188 027	24.3%	14 551	1.9%	13 547	1.7%	558 635	72.1%	774 760	6.3%	
Msunduzi	164 734	22.2%	37 645	5.1%	27 771	3.7%	512 829	69.0%	742 979	6.0%	
Newcastle	36 215	5.6%	30 595	4.7%	23 717	3.7%	558 555	86.1%	649 082	5.3%	
Polokwane	66 566	23.8%	13 914	5.0%	10 122	3.6%	188 805	67.6%	279 407	2.3%	
Rustenburg	(497)	(0.0%)	144 455	9.6%	64 881	4.3%	1 296 468	86.1%	1 505 308	12.2%	
Sol Plaatje	55 129	9.6%	24 023	4.2%	21 397	3.7%	470 926	82.4%	571 475	4.6%	
Stellenbosch	27 118	21.2%	5 140	4.0%	3 645	2.9%	91 853	71.9%	127 757	1.0%	
Steve Tshwete	5 418	17.8%	2 293	7.6%	1 719	5.7%	20 929	68.9%	30 359	0.2%	
Tlokwe	43 383	25.9%	5 207	3.1%	4 212	2.5%	114 824	68.5%	167 626	1.4%	
uMhlathuze	60 210	53.7%	9 858	8.8%	4 173	3.7%	37 908	33.8%	112 149	0.9%	
Total	1 462 182	11.9%	566 674	4.6%	435 548	3.5%	9 863 579	80.0%	12 327 982	4.8%	

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Table 8b: 21 Secondary cities Debtors Age Analysisby customer group as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2011

Table 05. 21 decondary class bestors Age Analysisby customer group as at ora quarter chaca or march 2011													
	0 - 30 Da	ys	31 - 60 Days		61 - 90 Days		Over 90 D	ays	Total		Written Off		
R thousands	Amount	%	Amount % A		Amount	%	Amount %		Amount	%	Amount	%	
Debtor Age Analysis By Customer Group													
Government	57 974	12.9%	26 734	6.0%	18 964	4.2%	344 696	76.9%	448 368	3.6%	-	-	
Business	574 788	32.8%	177 177	10.1%	96 337	5.5%	903 911	51.6%	1 752 212	14.2%	-	-	
Households	742 565	8.2%	327 502	3.6%	293 622	3.2%	7 742 815	85.0%	9 106 504	73.9%	-	-	
Other	86 856	8.5%	35 262	3.5%	26 624	2.6%	872 157	85.4%	1 020 898	8.3%	-	-	
Total By Customer Group	1 462 182	11.9%	566 674	4.6%	435 548	3.5%	9 863 579	80.0%	12 327 982	100.0%	-		

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Aggregated Municipal Creditors Age Analysis

Table 9: Creditor Age Analysis for 3rd quarter as at 31 March 2011

	0 - 30 Day	/s	30 - 60 Da	ıys	60 - 90 Day	ys	Over 90 Da	ays	Total		
R thousands	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	
Eastern Cape	369 992	62.2%	38 312	6.4%	14 009	2.4%	172 056	28.9%	594 370	13.1%	
Free State	285 139	33.7%	52 517	6.2%	50 336	5.9%	459 041	54.2%	847 033	5.5%	
Gauteng	4 391 135	95.9%	69 738	1.5%	21 190	.5%	96 262	2.1%	4 578 326	37.9%	
KwaZulu-Natal	1 706 279	95.8%	23 067	1.3%	11 543	.6%	39 291	2.2%	1 780 181	29.9%	
Limpopo	235 315	49.4%	28 377	6.0%	20 484	4.3%	191 846	40.3%	476 022	2.2%	
Mpumalanga	268 409	49.2%	19 315	3.5%	15 597	2.9%	241 781	44.4%	545 102	2.0%	
Northern Cape	83 547	56.1%	8 111	5.4%	10 854	7.3%	46 517	31.2%	149 030	.9%	
North West	213 519	46.9%	111 800	24.6%	10 629	2.3%	119 083	26.2%	455 031	2.2%	
Western Cape	278 993	91.4%	20 582	6.7%	766	.3%	4 893	1.6%	305 234	6.2%	
Total	7 832 328	80.5%	371 820	3.8%	155 409	1.6%	1 370 770	14.1%	9 730 328	100.0%	

Conditional grants transfers, payments and expenditure as at 31 March 2011

3rd Quarter Ended 31 March 2011

CONDITIONAL GRANTS TRANSFERRED FROM NATIONAL DEPARTMENTS AND ACTUAL PAYMENTS MADE BY MUNICIPALITIES: PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Summary for all provinces

					Year to date		First Quarter		Second			Quarter	YTD Expenditure		% Changes from 2nd to 3rd Q			
	Division of	Adjustment (Mid	Other	Total Available	Approved	Transferred to	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual Actual		Exp as % of Exp as % of	
	revenue Act No.	year)	Adjustments	2010/11	payment	municipalities for	expenditure	expenditure by	expenditure	expenditure by	expenditure	expenditure by	expenditure	expenditure by	expenditure	expenditure by	Allocation	Allocation by
	1 of 2010		-		schedule	direct grants	National	municipalities by	National	municipalities by	National	municipalities by	National	municipalities	National	municipalities	National	municipalities
						1	Department by	30 September	Department by	31 December	Department by	31 March 2011	Department		Department	·	Department	
							30 September	2010	31 December	2010	31 March 2011							
R thousands							2010		2010									
National Treasury (Vote 8)																		
Local Government Restructuring Grant	_		_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_						
Local Government Financial Management Grant	364 589		_	364 589	364 589	364 589	61 043	96 395	76 805	92 638	64 223	84 112	202 071	273 144	(16.4%)	(9.2%)	55.4%	74.9
Neighbourhood Development Partnership (Schedule 6)	1 030 000		_	1 030 000	1 030 000	749 525	123 300	61 249	116 899	135 849	198 015	83 539	438 214	280 636	69.4%	(38.5%)	42.5%	27.2
Neighbourhood Development Partnership (Schedule 7)	125 000		_	125 000	125 000	37 567	120 000	0.2.0	- 110 000		-		100 211	200 000		(00.070)	12.070	
Sub-Total Vote	1 519 589			1 519 589	1 519 589	1 151 681	184 343	157 644	193 704	228 487	262 238	167 650	640 285	553 781	35.4%	(26.6%)	45.9%	39.7
Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)					10.000	1.01.001		10.011		220 101		10.000	0.10 200	1		(20.070)		1
Municipal Systems Improvement Grant	212 000	_	_	212 000	212 000	212 000	8 320	38 080	15 000	49 872	_	41 693	23 320	129 644	(100.0%)	(16.4%)	11.0%	61.2
Disaster Relief Funds	212 000			212 000	212 000	212000	0 020	00 000	10 000	43 072		41 050	20 020	123 044	(100.070)	(10.470)	11.070	01.2
Internally Displaced People Management Grant		_			_				_									
Sub-Total Vote	212 000			212 000	212 000	212 000	8 320	38 080	15 000	49 872		41 693	23 320	129 644	(100.0%)	(16.4%)	11.0%	61.2
Transport (Vote 33)	212 000			212 000	212 000	212 000	0 320	30 000	10 000	75 012		71 050	20 320	123 044	(100.070)	(10.470)	11.0/6	01.2
Public Transport Infrastructure and Systems Grant	3 699 462			3 699 462	3 699 462	3 699 462	2 019 659	298 284	369 481	529 209	184 765	487 813	2 573 905	1 315 306	(50.0%)	(7.8%)	69.6%	35.6
Rural Transport Grant	10 400	-	_	10 400	10 400	10 400	2 0 13 039	3 263	JUJ 40 I	3 268	1 039	467 613	1 039	6 600	(30.0%)	(97.9%)	10.0%	63.5
Sub-Total Vote	3 709 862			3 709 862	3 709 862	3 709 862	2 019 659	301 547	369 481	532 477	185 804	487 882	2 574 944	1 321 907	(49.7%)	(8.4%)	69.4%	
Public Works	3 709 002	·	·	3 709 002	3 709 002	3 709 002	2 0 19 039	301 347	309 401	332 411	100 004	40/ 002	2 374 944	1 321 907	(49.176)	(0.476)	09.476	33.0
Expanded Public Works Programme Incentive Grant (Municipality)	622 999			622 999	622 999													
Sub-Total Vote	622 999	-	-	622 999	622 999	-	-	-	<u>-</u>		-	-		-		-		
Minerals and Energy (Vote 30)	022 999			022 999	022 999	ļ		ļ				ļ		ļ		ļ		ļ
Integrated National Electrification Programme (Municipal) Grant	1 020 105		_	1 020 105	1 020 105	1 020 105	110 274	126 838	167 647	229 754	199 935	230 138	477 856	586 729	19.3%	0.2%	46.8%	57.5
	1 751 780	(4)	-	1 751 776	1 751 776	510 594	110 274	120 030	107 047	229 / 34	199 900	230 130	4// 030	300 / 29	19.376	0.276	40.0%	37.5
National Electrification Programme (Allocation in-kind) Grant	1/51/60	(4)	-	1/51//6	1/51//6	510 594	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Backlogs in the Electrification of Clinics and Schools (Allocation in-kind)																		
	220 000	-	-	220 000	220 000	220 000	-	19 005	5 370	31 192	18 337	36 904	23 707	87 100	241.5%	18.3%	10.8%	39.6
Electricity Demand Side Management (Municipal) Grant Electricity Demand Side Management (Eskom) Grant	108 900	-	-	108 900	220 000	220 000	-	19 005	5 3/0	31 192	10 337	30 904	23 /0/	07 100	241.5%	10.3%	10.0%	39.0
Sub-Total Vote	3 100 785	(4)	-	3 100 781	2 991 881	1 750 699	110 274	145 842	173 017	260 945	218 272	267 041	501 563	673 829	26.2%	2.3%	40.4%	54.3
Water Affairs and Forestry (Vote 34)	3 100 785	(4)		3 100 781	2 991 001	1 / 50 699	110 2/4	143 642	1/3 01/	200 940	218 212	207 041	301 363	0/3 029	20.276	2.3%	40.4%	34.3
Backlogs in Water and Sanitation at Clinics and Schools Grant	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Implementation of Water Services Projects	-	07.000	-	-	860 382	368 484	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Regional Bulk Infrastructure Grant	833 000	27 382	-	860 382		368 484 670 102	070.040	474.500	179 943	040.040	100.000		578 964		(00.00()	4.00	- 00 404	91.6
Water Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy Grant (Schedule 6)	661 704	8 398	-	670 102	670 102		270 819	174 529	179 943	218 618	128 202	220 749	578 964	613 895	(28.8%)	1.0%	86.4%	91.6
Water Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy Grant (Schedule 7)	145 978	42 586	-	188 564	145 978	85 947	440.700	07.004		05.744	20.007	40.044	044.007		(00.70()	(00.00()	- 00.40/	
Municipal Drought Relief Grant	228 357	92 000		320 357	320 357	320 357	119 762	27 034	54 918	35 711	36 987	12 944	211 667	75 689	(32.7%)	(63.8%)	66.1%	23.6
Sub-Total Vote	1 869 039	170 366	-	2 039 405	1 996 819	1 444 890	390 581	201 562	234 861	254 329	165 189	233 693	790 631	689 584	(29.7%)	(8.1%)	118.0%	102.9
Sport and Recreation South Africa (Vote 19)																		
2010 World Cup Host City Operating Grant	210 280	-	-	210 280	210 280	210 280	181 611	173 386	11 738	47 170	349	56 740	193 698	277 296	(97.0%)	20.3%	92.1%	131.9
2010 FIFA World Cup Stadiums Development Grant	302 286			302 286	302 286	302 286	255 163		34 264	35 489	12 859	54 485	302 286	114 601	(62.5%)	53.5%	100.0%	37.9
Sub-Total Vote	512 566			512 566	512 566	512 566	436 774	198 013	46 002	82 659	13 208	111 226	495 984	391 897	(71.3%)	34.6%	96.8%	76.5
Human Settlements																		
Rural Households Infrastructure Grant	88 000	-	-	88 000	88 000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sub-Total Vote	88 000			88 000	88 000													
Sub-Total Sub-Total	11 634 840	170 362	-	11 805 202	11 653 716	8 781 698	3 149 951	1 042 688	1 032 065	1 408 769	844 711	1 309 185	5 026 727	3 760 643	(18.2%)	(7.1%)	62.4%	46.7
Provincial and Local Government (Vote 5)																		
Municipal Infrastructure Grant	9 924 806	-	-	9 924 806	9 924 805	9 921 806	2 712 253	1 616 518	1 703 897	2 170 696	1 719 454	1 654 089	6 135 604	5 441 303	0.9%	(23.8%)	61.8%	54.8
Sub-Total Vote	9 924 806	-	-	9 924 806	9 924 805	9 921 806	2 712 253	1 616 518	1 703 897	2 170 696	1 719 454	1 654 089	6 135 604	5 441 303	0.9%	(23.8%)	61.8%	54.8
Sub-Total	9 924 806	170 362		9 924 806 21 730 008	9 924 805 21 578 521	9 921 806 18 703 504	2 712 253	1 616 518	1 703 897	2 170 696	1 719 454	1 654 089	6 135 604	5 441 303	0.9%	(23.8%)	61.8%	
Total	21 559 646						5 862 204	2 659 207	2 735 962	3 579 465	2 564 165	2 963 273	11 162 331	9 201 945	(6.3%)	(17.2%)	62.1%	