



**national treasury**

Department:  
National Treasury  
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

## **PRESS RELEASE**

**3 June 2013**

**Local Government Revenue and Expenditure: Third Quarter Local Government  
Section 71 Report  
For the period: 1 July 2012 – 31 March 2013**

---

The National Treasury has today released data on revenue collection and expenditure as well as spending on conditional grants for the third quarter of the 2012/13 financial year by all of the 278 municipalities. The period under review runs from 1 July 2012 to 31 March 2013.

This report is part of the *In-year Management, Monitoring and Reporting System for Local Government (IYM)*, which enables provincial and national government to exercise oversight over municipalities, and identify possible problems in implementing municipal budgets and conditional grants.

In-year reporting is now well institutionalised with most municipalities consistently producing in-year financial reports.

The reporting facilitates transparency, better in-year management and oversight of budgets, making these reports management tools and early warning mechanisms for councils to improve municipal performance.

### **KEY TRENDS:**

#### *Aggregate trends*

1. On aggregate, municipalities spent 60.1 per cent or R172.7 billion of the total adjusted budget of R287.2 billion. In respect of revenue, aggregated billing and other revenue amounted to 68.7 per cent or R196.4 billion of a total adjusted revenue budget of R286 billion.
2. The aggregated adjusted capital budget for all municipalities in the 2012/13 financial year was R54 billion of which only R21.2 billion or 39.3 per cent was spent in the third quarter. However, past performance suggests that this number will improve toward the end of the municipal financial year, a trend that should not be a practice in a municipal context given the accrual nature of municipal accounts.
3. Metropolitan municipalities achieved 69.1 per cent or R115.6 billion of billed and other revenue of the total adjusted revenue budget of R167.2 billion. Mangaung has the highest proportion at 75.4 per cent, followed by Ekurhuleni Metro at 72.0 per cent. The lowest was reported by City of Tshwane at 66.5 per cent.

4. A comparison of the March 2013 and March 2012 quarters shows that on average metros increased revenues by 4.2 per cent. Most of this increase can be attributed to higher rates and tariffs, rather than efficiency improvements in revenue management.
5. Metropolitan municipalities spent 62.7 per cent or R104.4 billion of the total adjusted expenditure budget of R166.3 billion for the 2012/13 financial year.
6. The aggregated adjusted capital budget for metros in the 2012/13 financial year was R26.5 billion, 39.3 per cent of which (R10.4 billion) was spent by 31 March 2013:
  - a. By the end of the third quarter Mangaung had spent 54.8 per cent of its adjusted capital budget and Cape Town 44.9 per cent; and
  - b. Spending was low in Buffalo City, Ekurhuleni Metro and the City of Johannesburg where less than 35 per cent of the adjusted capital budget was spent by the end of the third quarter.
7. In aggregate, metros spent the following on core services when measured against their adjusted budgets:
  - a. Water R12.4 billion or 71.3 per cent;
  - b. Electricity R35.3 billion or 68.7 per cent;
  - c. Waste water management R2.6 billion or 66.6 per cent; and
  - d. Waste management R4.1 billion or 66.5 per cent.
8. The spending on core services for the secondary cities was as follows:
  - a. Water R2.6 billion or 65.3 per cent;
  - b. Electricity R7.3 billion or 62.6 per cent;
  - c. Waste water management R847 million or 59.6 per cent; and
  - d. Waste management R731.9 million or 69.1 per cent.
9. Aggregate municipal consumer debts were R84.2 billion as at 31 March 2013. National and provincial government debt accounts for 4.7 per cent or R3.9 billion of this amount. At R54 billion, (64.1 per cent) households account for the largest proportion of consumer debt.
10. As at 31 March 2013, outstanding debt due to Metropolitan municipalities increased by 6.8 per cent to R48.1 billion from the third quarter of the 2011/12 financial year. The City of Johannesburg's share was R16.8 billion or 34.9 per cent of all metros.
11. Outstanding consumer debt in secondary cities totalled R15.1 billion as at 31 March 2013. This represents an increase of 11.9 per cent from the R13.5 billion reported in the corresponding period in the 2011/12 financial year. Household debt accounts for R10.6 billion or 70.6 per cent of the total outstanding debt. Of the total debt, R12.1 billion or 80.3 per cent has been outstanding for more than 90 days.
12. The creditor age analysis shows R16.8 billion is owed by municipalities as at 31 March 2013. North West now has the highest percentage of creditors outstanding for more than 90 days at 67.1 per cent, followed by Limpopo at 66.0 per cent and Free State at 65.5 per cent. Concerning is the fact municipalities in only two provinces appear to be managing their creditors well – Gauteng and the Western Cape.
13. Analysis indicates that while municipalities have adjusted their collection rate to 92.5 per cent, the year-to-date figures indicate an actual collection of billed revenue of 90.1 per cent. The fact that some municipalities bill yearly property rates in July distorts this analysis.
  - a. The metros adjusted their collection rate to 93.3 per cent and achieved an actual collection of 94.3 per cent; 1 per cent higher than the target; and

- b. The secondary cities reported collection against billed revenue at 87.9 per cent which is significantly less than the adjusted target of 91.3 per cent.
14. Information on municipal borrowing detailing borrowing instruments by municipality is available every quarter. As at 31 March 2013, the total of all borrowing instruments issued by municipalities amounted to R36.5 billion. However, compared to the second quarter reporting, 41 less municipalities reported on the borrowing instruments.
15. Monthly repairs and maintenance figures reported by municipalities are now included in the Section 71 publication. Two dimensions are reported: per asset class and operational expenses.

#### *Conditional Grants*

16. The Division of Revenue Act, 2012 (Act No.5 of 2012) allocated R35.5 billion worth of conditional grants (both direct and indirect transfers) to municipalities. This amount includes R7.4 billion for the Urban Settlements Development Grant (USDG) allocated to metropolitan municipalities and R330 million unallocated Disaster Management Grant (MDG).
17. The RSC Levy replacement grant, support for councillor remuneration and the sharing of the fuel levy are classified as unconditional grants and municipalities can appropriate the grants as own revenue and subsequently do not have to report on specific performance.
18. From the R35.5 billion allocated to municipalities for 2012/13 financial year, R30.1 billion (including the USDG) of conditional grants is cash transfers to municipalities and R5.1 billion is allocations in-kind.
19. Of the R330 million worth of Disaster Management Grant (MDG), only R57.7 million was allocated to Limpopo and Eastern Cape municipalities.
20. By the end of March 2013, national departments had transferred R22.7 billion to municipalities which constitutes 98.9 per cent of the total direct conditional grant allocations. According to expenditure reports provided by the national departments, only 46.1 per cent of the transfers had been spent as at 31 March 2013.
21. Based on reports from the municipalities, an average of 49.3 per cent, or R10.3 billion, of the R22.9 billion of the grants received had been spent by the end of March 2013. This expenditure excludes the data from metropolitan municipalities who received the USDG grant (R7.4 billion) and all schedule 7 grants.
22. Third quarter performance continues to indicate low performance with both the 2011/12 and 2012/13 financial years indicating expenditure less than 50 per cent. Over the years municipalities struggled to improve the grant performance because they are still confronted by poor multi-year planning, technical delays, supply chain management challenges and other external factors that delay the implementation of projects, particularly infrastructure related projects.
23. A summary of key aggregated information is included in the tables in **Annexure A**.

Further details on this report can be accessed on the National Treasury's website: [www.treasury.gov.za](http://www.treasury.gov.za).

ENDS

## **NOTE TO EDITORS:**

24. This information is published in terms of Sections 71 of the Municipal Finance Management Act, 2003 (Act No. 56 of 2003) (MFMA) and 30(3) of the 2012 Division of Revenue Act. The budgeted figures shown are based on the 2012/13 adjusted budgets approved by municipal councils after 31 December 2012.
25. In terms of the process, Municipal Managers and Chief Financial Officers were required to sign and submit adjusted budget figures to the National Treasury by 28 February 2013.
26. Results for the third quarter of the financial year start to provide an indication of trends that are emanating in municipal expenditure when compared to the previous year.
27. All information in this statement is based on the Section 71 monthly MFMA reports that Municipal Managers and Chief Financial Officers were required to sign and submit to the National Treasury by 6 May 2013. Any queries on the figures in the statement should therefore be referred to the relevant Municipal Manager or Chief Financial Officer. Queries on conditional grants may be referred to the national department responsible for administering the grant.
28. This third quarter publication covers all of the 278 municipalities.

## STRUCTURE OF INFORMATION RELEASED:

29. Other information released on National Treasury's website ([www.treasury.gov.za](http://www.treasury.gov.za)) as part of this process includes the following:
- Municipal Budget Statements:
    - a. Cash flow closing balances as at 31 March 2013,
    - b. High-level summary of revenue for 278 municipalities, and
    - c. High-level summary of expenditure for 278 municipalities.
  - Summary of revenue and expenditure per function (electricity, water, etc):
    - a. High level summary of revenue per function, and
    - b. High level summary of expenditure per function.
  - Consolidation of revenue and expenditure numbers for each municipality in one file.
  - Detail per province per municipality.
  - Summary of Conditional Grant (CG) Information for all municipalities and per grant.
  - CG - Detail per province per Municipality.
  - Summary of Conditional Grant (CG) information per programme.
  - Section 71 summary information for the fourth quarter:
    - a. Summary of total monthly operating revenue – 278 municipalities;
    - b. Summary of total monthly operating expenditure – 278 municipalities;
    - c. Summary of total monthly capital revenue – 278 municipalities;
    - d. Summary of total monthly capital expenditure – 278 municipalities;
    - e. Aggregated information for Metros – Section 71;
    - f. Aggregated information for Metros – Conditional Grants;
    - g. Aggregated information for secondary cities – Section 71;
    - h. Aggregated information for secondary cities – Conditional Grants;
    - i. Aggregated information for Provinces – Section 71;
    - j. Aggregated information for Provinces – Conditional Grant;
    - k. Analysis of Sources of Revenue – 278 municipalities;
    - l. Listing of borrowing instruments – 168 municipalities;
    - m. Repairs and maintenance reported per asset class; and
    - n. Repairs and maintenance reported operational expenditure.
  - Non Compliance:
    - a. List municipalities not complying with Section 71 of the MFMA.
30. The section 71 information reported by municipalities to National Treasury is now being published on the National Treasury website in the format of Schedule C, which is the format for monthly and quarterly municipal financial statements as prescribed by the Municipal Budget and Reporting Regulations.

## SUMMARY TABLES:

## Aggregated revenue and expenditure for municipalities

Table 1: National aggregated revenue and expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2013

R thousands	Adjusted Budget			Third Quarter 2012/13				Year to date: 31 March 2013				Third Quarter 2011/12				Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13	
	Operating	Capital	Total	Operating	Capital	Total	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Operating	Capital	Total	Total as % of adj budget	Operating	Capital	Total	Total as % of adj budget		
<b>Expenditure</b>																	
Category A (Metro)	139 842 060	26 489 831	166 331 890	29 424 211	3 700 304	33 124 515	19.9%	93 957 533	10 402 963	104 360 496	62.7%	28 071 715	3 633 207	31 704 922	65.0%	4.5%	
Category B (Local)	78 503 830	19 444 393	97 948 223	15 876 228	2 513 583	18 389 811	18.8%	48 623 850	7 088 171	55 712 021	56.9%	14 439 650	2 117 218	16 556 868	61.3%	11.1%	
Category C (District)	14 868 454	8 035 144	22 903 598	3 032 967	1 092 986	4 125 952	18.0%	8 799 365	3 697 538	12 496 903	54.6%	2 975 522	1 036 014	4 011 536	54.0%	2.9%	
<b>Total</b>	<b>233 214 344</b>	<b>53 969 368</b>	<b>287 183 711</b>	<b>48 333 405</b>	<b>7 306 873</b>	<b>55 640 278</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>151 380 748</b>	<b>21 188 672</b>	<b>172 569 421</b>	<b>60.1%</b>	<b>45 486 886</b>	<b>6 786 439</b>	<b>52 273 325</b>	<b>62.8%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	
<b>Revenue</b>																	
Category A (Metro)	140 670 748	26 489 831	167 160 579	32 304 553	3 700 304	36 004 857	21.5%	105 147 510	10 402 963	115 550 473	69.1%	30 918 427	3 633 207	34 551 635	71.1%	4.2%	
Category B (Local)	76 592 724	19 444 663	96 037 387	16 728 170	2 514 697	19 242 867	20.0%	57 981 235	7 052 932	65 034 167	67.7%	15 270 712	1 975 192	17 245 905	69.9%	11.6%	
Category C (District)	14 805 116	8 035 144	22 840 260	3 764 627	1 092 986	4 857 613	21.3%	12 135 622	3 697 582	15 833 204	69.3%	3 263 064	1 052 682	4 315 746	65.8%	12.6%	
<b>Total</b>	<b>232 068 588</b>	<b>53 969 638</b>	<b>286 038 226</b>	<b>52 797 350</b>	<b>7 307 987</b>	<b>60 105 336</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>175 264 368</b>	<b>21 153 476</b>	<b>196 417 844</b>	<b>68.7%</b>	<b>49 452 204</b>	<b>6 661 082</b>	<b>56 113 285</b>	<b>70.3%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	

Source: National Treasury Local Government database

## Aggregate revenue trends for metros

Table 2: Metros aggregated revenue as at 3rd Quarter Ended 31 March 2013

R thousands	Adjusted Budget			Third Quarter 2012/13				Year to date: 31 March 2013				Third Quarter 2011/12				Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13
	Operating Revenue	Capital Revenue	Total	Operating Revenue	Capital Revenue	Total	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Operating Revenue	Capital Revenue	Total	Total Rev as % of adj budget	Operating Revenue	Capital Revenue	Total	Total Rev as % of adj budget	
Buffalo City	3 958 541	978 103	4 936 644	779 559	82 770	862 330	17.5%	3 288 469	232 113	3 520 583	71.3%	688 392	50 369	738 761	72.2%	16.7%
Cape Town	23 951 546	6 221 809	30 173 356	5 741 139	942 192	6 683 331	22.1%	17 729 315	2 795 780	20 525 094	68.0%	5 644 057	850 133	6 494 190	70.0%	2.9%
Ekurhuleni Metro	22 587 094	2 557 739	25 144 833	4 479 713	341 982	4 821 695	19.2%	17 222 608	889 565	18 112 173	72.0%	4 637 996	540 331	5 178 327	74.0%	(6.9%)
eThekweni	23 873 493	5 316 381	29 189 874	5 839 349	811 787	6 651 136	22.8%	18 354 307	2 243 518	20 597 825	70.6%	5 340 295	687 044	6 027 339	70.3%	10.3%
City Of Johannesburg	33 563 118	4 547 859	38 110 977	7 650 187	549 044	8 199 231	21.5%	24 317 160	1 289 284	25 606 443	67.2%	6 924 676	614 497	7 539 174	70.1%	8.8%
Mangaung	4 374 349	753 667	5 128 016	1 107 367	142 014	1 249 380	24.4%	3 453 367	413 157	3 866 524	75.4%	750 150	121 655	871 806	63.9%	43.3%
Nelson Mandela Bay	7 333 457	1 500 404	8 833 861	1 870 505	191 822	2 062 327	23.3%	5 601 573	656 496	6 258 069	70.8%	1 574 024	226 123	1 800 147	70.5%	14.6%
City Of Tshwane	21 029 151	4 613 868	25 643 019	4 836 733	638 694	5 475 428	21.4%	15 180 710	1 883 051	17 063 761	66.5%	5 358 837	543 055	5 901 892	73.5%	(7.2%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>140 670 748</b>	<b>26 489 831</b>	<b>167 160 579</b>	<b>32 304 553</b>	<b>3 700 304</b>	<b>36 004 857</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>105 147 510</b>	<b>10 402 963</b>	<b>115 550 473</b>	<b>69.1%</b>	<b>30 918 427</b>	<b>3 633 207</b>	<b>34 551 635</b>	<b>71.1%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government database

## Aggregate expenditure trends for metros

Table 3: Metros aggregated expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2013

R thousands	Adjusted Budget			Third Quarter 2012/13				Year to date: 31 March 2013				Third Quarter 2011/12				Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13
	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
Buffalo City	4 031 666	978 103	5 009 769	776 494	82 770	859 264	17.2%	2 386 973	232 113	2 619 086	52.3%	741 588	50 369	791 957	54.5%	8.5%
Cape Town	24 436 318	6 221 809	30 658 127	5 486 784	942 192	6 428 975	21.0%	16 524 748	2 795 780	19 320 528	63.0%	4 848 919	850 133	5 699 052	63.8%	12.8%
Ekurhuleni Metro	22 175 696	2 557 739	24 733 435	4 718 819	341 982	5 060 800	20.5%	14 727 741	889 565	15 617 305	63.1%	4 743 260	540 331	5 283 591	68.3%	(4.2%)
eThekweni	23 962 646	5 316 381	29 279 027	4 865 354	811 787	5 677 141	19.4%	16 166 496	2 243 518	18 410 014	62.9%	4 814 140	687 044	5 501 184	64.0%	3.2%
City Of Johannesburg	32 468 972	4 547 859	37 016 831	6 992 016	549 044	7 541 060	20.4%	22 605 454	1 289 284	23 894 738	64.6%	6 641 245	614 497	7 255 742	68.5%	3.9%
Mangaung	4 176 315	753 667	4 929 982	968 653	142 014	1 110 667	22.5%	2 579 785	413 157	2 992 942	60.7%	748 844	121 655	870 499	56.4%	27.6%
Nelson Mandela Bay	7 518 798	1 500 404	9 019 202	1 587 821	191 822	1 779 642	19.7%	4 732 504	656 496	5 389 000	59.8%	1 526 246	226 123	1 752 369	63.8%	1.6%
City Of Tshwane	21 071 649	4 613 868	25 685 517	4 028 270	638 694	4 666 964	18.2%	14 233 833	1 883 051	16 116 884	62.7%	4 007 473	543 055	4 550 527	63.0%	2.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>139 842 060</b>	<b>26 489 831</b>	<b>166 331 890</b>	<b>29 424 211</b>	<b>3 700 304</b>	<b>33 124 515</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>93 957 533</b>	<b>10 402 963</b>	<b>104 360 496</b>	<b>62.7%</b>	<b>28 071 715</b>	<b>3 633 207</b>	<b>31 704 922</b>	<b>65.0%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government database

## Aggregated revenue and expenditure for secondary cities

Table 4: 19 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2013

R thousands	Adjusted Budget			Third Quarter 2012/13				Year to date: 31 March 2013				Third Quarter 2011/12				Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13
	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Operating Expenditure	Capital Expenditure	Total	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
City Of Matlosana	1 741 722	215 644	1 957 366	504 532	10 264	514 796	26.3%	1 188 294	54 175	1 242 470	63.5%	362 953	11 243	374 196	58.8%	37.6%
Drakenstein	1 345 430	319 382	1 664 812	304 819	44 026	348 845	21.0%	870 843	125 711	996 553	59.9%	249 610	48 031	297 641	64.9%	17.2%
Emalahleni (Mp)	1 574 716	149 380	1 724 096	272 498	7 454	279 952	16.2%	688 972	16 174	705 147	40.9%	251 439	7 066	258 506	-	8.3%
Emluleni	4 240 545	346 325	4 586 870	737 655	77 079	814 733	17.8%	2 137 316	92 445	2 229 761	48.6%	658 652	39 437	698 089	153.0%	16.7%
George	1 019 256	153 373	1 172 630	260 161	30 871	291 033	24.8%	719 103	65 438	784 541	66.9%	191 658	14 666	206 324	65.1%	41.1%
Gov an Mbeki	1 384 340	261 809	1 646 149	183 382	24 716	208 098	12.6%	598 306	56 790	655 095	39.8%	242 422	12 007	254 429	68.3%	(18.2%)
Madibeng	1 167 096	278 807	1 445 904	227 244	66 129	293 373	20.3%	626 975	159 351	786 326	54.4%	208 426	52 620	261 046	49.8%	12.4%
Matjhabeng	1 617 318	246 627	1 863 945	295 547	33 455	329 002	17.7%	967 913	131 745	1 099 658	59.0%	287 146	38 865	326 011	46.4%	0.9%
Mbombela	1 734 156	523 096	2 257 252	444 357	44 815	489 172	21.7%	1 204 596	148 315	1 352 910	59.9%	436 543	79 372	515 915	59.4%	(5.2%)
Mogale City	2 012 524	358 511	2 371 036	429 270	89 503	518 773	21.9%	1 313 381	143 077	1 456 458	61.4%	492 716	33 175	525 890	68.9%	(1.4%)
Msunduzi	3 138 747	309 756	3 448 503	707 493	48 798	756 290	21.9%	2 219 331	95 283	2 314 614	67.1%	678 693	29 083	707 776	54.1%	6.9%
Newcastle	1 444 941	331 203	1 776 144	314 640	25 033	339 673	19.1%	972 340	98 322	1 070 662	60.3%	319 189	24 966	344 155	60.5%	(1.3%)
Polokwane	1 670 108	485 070	2 155 178	339 286	55 075	394 361	18.3%	1 034 033	233 021	1 267 054	58.8%	303 060	41 824	344 884	54.5%	14.3%
Rustenburg	2 587 146	888 773	3 475 919	543 929	183 821	727 750	20.9%	1 601 376	360 519	1 961 895	56.4%	408 052	47 837	455 889	51.1%	59.6%
Sol Plaatje	1 424 615	258 471	1 683 086	240 659	21 424	262 082	15.6%	894 986	118 428	1 013 414	60.2%	239 552	29 060	268 612	60.1%	(2.4%)
Stellenbosch	931 090	198 352	1 129 442	193 657	22 976	216 633	19.2%	544 918	61 577	606 495	53.7%	143 824	26 419	170 243	50.1%	27.2%
Sleve Tshwele	1 053 781	292 734	1 346 515	239 988	28 339	268 327	19.9%	732 501	111 128	843 629	62.7%	214 217	31 769	245 987	59.1%	9.1%
Tlokwe	879 485	157 673	1 037 158	180 819	6 355	187 174	18.0%	606 592	44 030	650 622	62.7%	177 332	14 487	191 819	66.3%	(2.4%)
uMhlatuze	2 057 308	233 547	2 290 855	461 036	15 446	476 482	20.8%	1 528 394	62 209	1 590 603	69.4%	489 123	28 692	517 815	79.2%	(8.0%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>33 024 324</b>	<b>6 008 535</b>	<b>39 032 859</b>	<b>6 880 970</b>	<b>835 579</b>	<b>7 716 549</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>20 450 170</b>	<b>2 177 738</b>	<b>22 627 907</b>	<b>58.0%</b>	<b>6 354 607</b>	<b>610 621</b>	<b>6 965 228</b>	<b>65.8%</b>	<b>10.8%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government database

## Operating expenditure per function for metros

Table 5: Metros aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2013

	Adjusted Budget	Third Quarter 2012/13		Year to date: 31 March 2013		Third Quarter 2011/12		Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13
		Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
<b>R thousands</b>								
<b>Water</b>								
Buffalo City	356 125	75 868	21.3%	233 606	65.6%	90 598	70.5%	(16.3%)
Cape Town	1 991 281	481 990	24.2%	1 392 451	69.9%	473 928	68.1%	1.7%
Ekurhuleni Metro	2 989 043	735 898	24.6%	2 117 233	70.8%	653 187	70.4%	12.7%
eThekwini	3 171 412	660 553	20.8%	2 236 853	70.5%	750 954	65.6%	(12.0%)
City Of Johannesburg	5 333 549	1 425 099	26.7%	4 198 110	78.7%	1 208 772	79.1%	17.9%
Mangaung	518 065	166 380	32.1%	402 250	77.6%	92 729	72.4%	79.4%
Nelson Mandela Bay	547 902	140 081	25.6%	363 652	66.4%	110 569	64.4%	26.7%
City Of Tshwane	2 497 687	369 385	14.8%	1 461 267	58.5%	557 896	68.1%	(33.8%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>17 405 064</b>	<b>4 055 254</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>12 405 422</b>	<b>71.3%</b>	<b>3 938 633</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
<b>Electricity</b>								
Buffalo City	1 275 698	242 191	19.0%	834 041	65.4%	216 906	66.4%	11.7%
Cape Town	7 818 016	1 594 733	20.4%	5 242 563	67.1%	1 443 238	67.7%	10.5%
Ekurhuleni Metro	9 168 636	1 752 946	19.1%	6 327 785	69.0%	1 716 563	71.4%	2.1%
eThekwini	8 690 776	1 721 660	19.8%	6 061 735	69.7%	1 606 779	68.6%	7.1%
City Of Johannesburg	11 781 077	2 209 712	18.8%	8 171 640	69.4%	1 976 721	71.1%	11.8%
Mangaung	1 656 949	290 883	17.6%	985 742	59.5%	278 550	60.9%	4.4%
Nelson Mandela Bay	2 760 196	541 507	19.6%	1 757 719	63.7%	496 991	63.9%	9.0%
City Of Tshwane	8 206 512	1 164 030	14.2%	5 907 038	72.0%	1 553 866	70.4%	(25.1%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>51 357 861</b>	<b>9 517 662</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>35 288 262</b>	<b>68.7%</b>	<b>9 289 614</b>	<b>69.3%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>
<b>Waste water management</b>								
Buffalo City	414 535	84 006	20.3%	238 028	57.4%	73 437	85.0%	14.4%
Cape Town	1 073 516	275 093	25.6%	780 939	72.7%	228 020	75.4%	20.6%
Ekurhuleni Metro	457 506	111 648	24.4%	333 984	73.0%	100 881	72.2%	10.7%
eThekwini	959 179	171 435	17.9%	607 338	63.3%	213 288	66.5%	(19.6%)
City Of Johannesburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mangaung	116 246	52 232	44.9%	103 022	88.6%	30 802	65.9%	69.6%
Nelson Mandela Bay	469 180	99 575	21.2%	277 682	59.2%	84 602	56.8%	17.7%
City Of Tshwane	480 151	98 810	20.6%	304 823	63.5%	104 786	60.8%	(5.7%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>3 970 313</b>	<b>892 799</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>2 645 816</b>	<b>66.6%</b>	<b>835 815</b>	<b>68.8%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>
<b>Waste management</b>								
Buffalo City	242 183	37 030	15.3%	97 019	40.1%	38 244	43.9%	(3.2%)
Cape Town	1 508 529	384 129	25.5%	1 072 674	71.1%	329 337	67.3%	16.6%
Ekurhuleni Metro	931 206	192 111	20.6%	574 762	61.7%	227 739	65.6%	(15.6%)
eThekwini	886 227	183 077	20.7%	581 408	65.6%	194 342	71.0%	(5.8%)
City Of Johannesburg	1 325 195	322 932	24.4%	992 573	74.9%	392 206	79.3%	(17.7%)
Mangaung	107 633	24 755	23.0%	69 456	64.5%	22 166	60.0%	11.7%
Nelson Mandela Bay	206 856	52 104	25.2%	136 780	66.1%	72 824	59.8%	(28.5%)
City Of Tshwane	957 616	219 698	22.9%	577 673	60.3%	230 463	62.4%	(4.7%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>6 165 444</b>	<b>1 415 836</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>4 102 345</b>	<b>66.5%</b>	<b>1 507 321</b>	<b>67.9%</b>	<b>(6.1%)</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government database



## Operating expenditure per function for secondary cities

Table 6a: 19 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 31 March 2013

R thousands	Adjusted Budget	Third Quarter 2012/13		Year to date: 31 March 2013		Third Quarter 2011/12		Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13
		Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
<b>Water</b>								
City Of Matlosana	210 143	36 985	17.6%	94 217	44.8%	34 017	61.1%	8.7%
Drakenstein	70 546	9 080	12.9%	28 409	40.3%	8 440	73.0%	7.6%
Emalahleni (Mp)	140 208	21 277	15.2%	60 160	42.9%	18 936	-	12.4%
Emfuleni	598 071	127 287	21.3%	339 319	56.7%	115 118	(242.6%)	10.6%
George	89 297	30 863	34.6%	68 562	76.8%	17 773	67.5%	73.7%
Govan Mbeki	179 035	33 559	18.7%	120 013	67.0%	50 513	79.7%	(33.6%)
Madibeng	139 916	32 524	23.2%	70 801	50.6%	3 311	3.7%	882.3%
Majhabeng	313 259	78 517	25.1%	224 255	71.6%	38 641	51.3%	103.2%
Mbombela	107 219	21 464	20.0%	67 511	63.0%	41 226	79.6%	(47.9%)
Mogale City	253 978	61 870	24.4%	174 579	68.7%	65 148	70.4%	(5.0%)
Msunduzi	511 448	116 876	22.9%	373 858	73.1%	145 809	45.9%	(19.8%)
New castle	119 076	-	-	-	-	40 607	62.7%	(100.0%)
Polokwane	196 987	43 998	22.3%	141 778	72.0%	44 330	68.7%	(0.7%)
Rustenburg	358 686	86 344	24.1%	250 821	69.9%	74 452	68.7%	16.0%
Sol Plaatje	131 364	33 623	25.6%	102 177	77.8%	26 517	56.9%	26.8%
Stellenbosch	60 742	9 633	15.9%	28 292	46.6%	10 953	47.1%	(12.1%)
Steve Tshwete	62 786	14 482	23.1%	42 436	67.6%	15 887	68.8%	(8.8%)
Tlokwe	49 447	10 357	20.9%	35 244	71.3%	10 392	140.7%	(0.3%)
uMhlathuze	352 048	84 437	24.0%	351 504	99.8%	76 652	96.7%	10.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3 944 257</b>	<b>853 176</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>2 573 936</b>	<b>65.3%</b>	<b>838 721</b>	<b>79.0%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government database

Table 6b: 19 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 31 March 2013

R thousands	Adjusted Budget	Third Quarter 2012/13		Year to date: 31 March 2013		Third Quarter 2011/12		Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13
		Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
<b>Electricity</b>								
City Of Matlosana	485 010	157 678	32.5%	356 754	73.6%	127 333	57.5%	23.8%
Drakenstein	580 709	127 443	21.9%	379 967	65.4%	71 098	70.8%	79.2%
Emalahleni (Mp)	753 481	141 930	18.8%	287 396	38.1%	129 164	-	9.9%
Emfuleni	1 597 254	274 556	17.2%	926 044	58.0%	232 750	(396.8%)	18.0%
George	374 409	80 664	21.5%	249 671	66.7%	64 407	66.8%	25.2%
Govan Mbeki	444 882	68 258	15.3%	186 043	41.8%	73 107	72.0%	(6.6%)
Madibeng	335 272	87 228	26.0%	267 193	79.7%	35 741	11.6%	144.1%
Majhabeng	512 560	48 053	9.4%	262 868	51.3%	89 331	114.3%	(46.2%)
Mbombela	466 049	104 299	22.4%	337 534	72.4%	108 668	71.2%	(4.0%)
Mogale City	595 963	132 936	22.3%	427 710	71.8%	150 045	67.1%	(11.4%)
Msunduzi	1 233 726	253 977	20.6%	893 706	72.4%	228 659	66.8%	11.1%
New castle	-	-	-	-	-	94 338	66.2%	(100.0%)
Polokwane	535 406	105 214	19.7%	380 100	71.0%	95 210	72.4%	10.5%
Rustenburg	1 289 685	206 345	16.0%	681 670	52.9%	151 595	47.7%	36.1%
Sol Plaatje	467 710	75 403	16.1%	316 017	67.6%	73 210	70.6%	3.0%
Stellenbosch	312 059	52 343	16.8%	184 102	59.0%	48 424	56.8%	8.1%
Steve Tshwete	378 153	78 397	20.7%	253 121	66.9%	65 000	68.8%	20.6%
Tlokwe	348 894	69 856	20.0%	252 401	72.3%	59 907	62.4%	16.6%
uMhlathuze	951 362	199 789	21.0%	658 930	69.3%	238 715	78.1%	(16.3%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>11 662 585</b>	<b>2 264 370</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>7 301 227</b>	<b>62.6%</b>	<b>2 136 704</b>	<b>83.0%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government database

Table 6c: 19 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 31 March 2013

	Adjusted Budget	Third Quarter 2012/13		Year to date: 31 March 2013		Third Quarter 2011/12		Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13
		Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
R thousands								
<b>Sanitation</b>								
City Of Matlosana	100 711	32 716	32.5%	69 258	68.8%	10 348	42.2%	216.2%
Drakenstein	60 934	12 523	20.6%	37 922	62.2%	15 570	82.6%	(19.6%)
Emalahleni (Mp)	78 472	10 875	13.9%	83 835	106.8%	8 933	-	21.7%
Emfuleni	212 367	25 820	12.2%	62 111	29.2%	16 534	(45.3%)	56.2%
George	66 573	18 827	28.3%	50 710	76.2%	9 268	73.9%	103.1%
Govan Mbeki	65 140	7 729	11.9%	25 950	39.8%	10 435	44.2%	(25.9%)
Madibeng	17 888	4 682	26.2%	10 822	60.5%	3 366	14.1%	39.1%
Matjhabeng	91 118	8 201	9.0%	26 626	29.2%	8 669	-	(5.4%)
Mbombela	74 259	23 704	31.9%	60 388	81.3%	17 259	50.5%	37.3%
Mogale City	108 705	4 699	4.3%	47 354	43.6%	33 957	73.8%	(86.2%)
Msunduzi	94 322	25 236	26.8%	72 909	77.3%	2 175	3.3%	1060.2%
New castle	-	-	-	-	-	10 803	76.4%	(100.0%)
Polokwane	35 746	8 671	24.3%	25 381	71.0%	6 924	49.6%	25.2%
Rustenburg	91 243	24 070	26.4%	56 963	62.4%	20 759	66.1%	15.9%
Sol Plaatje	46 980	9 420	20.1%	33 208	70.7%	10 807	66.2%	(12.8%)
Stellenbosch	58 431	8 533	14.6%	26 974	46.2%	8 715	54.0%	(2.1%)
Steve Tshwete	61 525	12 922	21.0%	42 835	69.6%	11 640	71.8%	11.0%
Tlokwe	27 957	7 061	25.3%	21 270	76.1%	8 710	91.2%	(18.9%)
uMhlatuze	127 809	30 991	24.2%	92 535	72.4%	29 611	99.9%	4.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 420 180</b>	<b>276 682</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>847 053</b>	<b>59.6%</b>	<b>244 483</b>	<b>69.5%</b>	<b>13.2%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government database

Table 6d: 19 Secondary cities aggregated budgets and expenditure per function as at 31 March 2013

	Adjusted Budget	Third Quarter 2012/13		Year to date: 31 March 2013		Third Quarter 2011/12		Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13
		Actual Expenditure	3rd Q as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	Actual Expenditure	Total Exp as % of adj budget	
R thousands								
<b>Refuse removal</b>								
City Of Matlosana	46 325	11 885	25.7%	34 354	74.2%	10 265	64.6%	15.8%
Drakenstein	42 105	10 558	25.1%	28 262	67.1%	10 551	76.0%	0.1%
Emalahleni (Mp)	58 249	11 998	20.6%	31 351	53.8%	13 192	-	(9.1%)
Emfuleni	113 504	18 504	16.3%	50 373	44.4%	25 542	(363.0%)	(27.6%)
George	44 061	11 187	25.4%	29 363	66.6%	9 466	68.0%	18.2%
Govan Mbeki	55 621	8 089	14.5%	28 589	51.4%	10 456	73.0%	(22.6%)
Madibeng	36 095	13 203	36.6%	34 360	95.2%	-	-	-
Matjhabeng	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mbombela	90 738	36 049	39.7%	87 649	96.6%	32 821	63.4%	9.8%
Mogale City	106 010	27 528	26.0%	74 470	70.2%	26 018	70.3%	5.8%
Msunduzi	86 513	18 227	21.1%	56 242	65.0%	38 744	91.9%	(53.0%)
New castle	-	-	-	-	-	20 726	72.5%	(100.0%)
Polokwane	54 269	12 089	22.3%	38 905	71.7%	18 515	83.9%	(34.7%)
Rustenburg	79 116	20 978	26.5%	58 067	73.4%	18 702	72.5%	12.2%
Sol Plaatje	38 567	9 159	23.7%	27 174	70.5%	8 635	73.0%	6.1%
Stellenbosch	30 213	7 830	25.9%	21 960	72.7%	5 903	59.9%	32.6%
Steve Tshwete	65 537	16 425	25.1%	47 716	72.8%	13 039	75.1%	26.0%
Tlokwe	40 910	9 068	22.2%	27 102	66.2%	8 355	64.0%	8.5%
uMhlatuze	71 525	19 102	26.7%	55 968	78.2%	18 530	77.7%	3.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 059 357</b>	<b>261 879</b>	<b>24.7%</b>	<b>731 905</b>	<b>69.1%</b>	<b>289 460</b>	<b>84.3%</b>	<b>(9.5%)</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government database

## Aggregated municipal debtors age analysis

Table 7a: National debtors age analysis for the 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2013

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		31 - 60 Days		61 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total		Bad debt written off	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
<b>Debtor Age Analysis By Income Source</b>												
Water	2 719 801	11.7%	870 720	3.8%	953 394	4.1%	18 626 392	80.4%	23 170 307	27.5%	216 164	.9%
Electricity	5 283 554	34.6%	821 741	5.4%	699 124	4.6%	8 444 368	55.4%	15 248 787	18.1%	88 623	.6%
Property Rates	3 039 683	16.3%	549 561	2.9%	841 657	4.5%	14 225 433	76.2%	18 656 334	22.2%	241 384	1.3%
Sanitation	1 051 833	12.6%	269 331	3.2%	318 100	3.8%	6 681 937	80.3%	8 321 202	9.9%	100 992	1.2%
Refuse Removal	578 634	9.3%	188 036	3.0%	279 060	4.5%	5 188 812	83.2%	6 234 543	7.4%	97 814	1.6%
Other	433 769	3.5%	319 593	2.6%	343 288	2.7%	11 432 122	91.2%	12 528 772	14.9%	176 878	1.4%
<b>Total By Income Source</b>	<b>13 107 275</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>3 018 983</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>3 434 623</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>64 599 064</b>	<b>76.8%</b>	<b>84 159 944</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>921 856</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>Debtor Age Analysis By Customer Group</b>												
Government	851 273	21.6%	229 927	5.8%	265 546	6.7%	2 598 826	65.9%	3 945 572	4.7%	28 872	.7%
Business	5 461 993	29.9%	763 592	4.2%	819 427	4.5%	11 214 193	61.4%	18 259 205	21.7%	171 121	.9%
Households	6 418 042	11.9%	1 748 107	3.2%	2 047 002	3.8%	43 740 961	81.1%	53 954 112	64.1%	661 209	1.2%
Other	375 967	4.7%	277 357	3.5%	302 648	3.8%	7 045 084	88.1%	8 001 055	9.5%	60 653	.8%
<b>Total By Customer Group</b>	<b>13 107 275</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>3 018 983</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>3 434 623</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>64 599 064</b>	<b>76.8%</b>	<b>84 159 944</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>921 856</b>	<b>1.1%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

## Debtors' age analysis for the metros

Table 7b: Metros Debtors Age Analysis as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2013

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		31 - 60 Days		61 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
<b>3rd Quarter Ended 31 March 2013</b>										
Buffalo City	189 498	19.1%	58 542	5.9%	41 401	4.2%	702 998	70.8%	992 439	2.1%
Cape Town	1 432 969	23.3%	232 459	3.8%	172 533	2.8%	4 306 347	70.1%	6 144 307	12.8%
Ekurhuleni Metro	1 333 288	15.3%	401 281	4.6%	260 182	3.0%	6 698 498	77.1%	8 693 249	18.1%
eThekweni	796 008	13.9%	346 877	6.0%	150 720	2.6%	4 447 148	77.5%	5 740 753	11.9%
City Of Johannesburg	3 045 113	18.2%	220 442	1.3%	552 768	3.3%	12 948 259	77.2%	16 766 582	34.9%
Mangaung	238 875	10.6%	133 951	5.9%	117 153	5.2%	1 768 411	78.3%	2 258 391	4.7%
Nelson Mandela Bay	570 525	26.5%	126 260	5.9%	69 280	3.2%	1 386 283	64.4%	2 152 348	4.5%
City Of Tshwane	1 356 323	25.4%	149 306	2.8%	127 628	2.4%	3 706 007	69.4%	5 339 263	11.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8 962 598</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>1 669 117</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>1 491 665</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>35 963 952</b>	<b>74.8%</b>	<b>48 087 332</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
<b>3rd Quarter Ended 31 March 2012</b>										
Buffalo City	166 495	19.6%	52 763	6.2%	32 718	3.9%	597 716	70.3%	849 692	1.9%
Cape Town	1 360 012	21.7%	228 492	3.6%	202 008	3.2%	4 480 063	71.4%	6 270 574	13.9%
Ekurhuleni Metro	1 029 556	10.5%	349 842	3.6%	268 246	2.7%	8 196 712	83.3%	9 844 356	21.9%
eThekweni	709 163	13.7%	260 690	5.1%	155 875	3.0%	4 034 718	78.2%	5 160 446	11.5%
City Of Johannesburg	2 066 350	14.4%	609 991	4.3%	429 073	3.0%	11 213 804	78.3%	14 319 219	31.8%
Mangaung	212 588	11.8%	203 717	11.3%	90 700	5.0%	1 296 135	71.9%	1 803 140	4.0%
Nelson Mandela Bay	550 710	29.9%	93 523	5.1%	28 789	1.6%	1 166 885	63.4%	1 839 908	4.1%
City Of Tshwane	1 101 296	22.4%	129 422	2.6%	100 583	2.0%	3 587 271	72.9%	4 918 573	10.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7 196 171</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>1 928 440</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>1 307 991</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>34 573 305</b>	<b>76.8%</b>	<b>45 005 908</b>	<b>12.5%</b>
<b>Movement between 31 March 2012 and 31 March 2013</b>										
Buffalo City	23 003		5 779		8 683		105 282		142 747	
Cape Town	72 957		3 967		(29 475)		(173 716)		(126 267)	
Ekurhuleni Metro	303 733		51 439		(8 064)		(1 498 214)		(1 151 106)	
eThekweni	86 844		86 187		(5 155)		412 430		580 306	
City Of Johannesburg	978 763		(389 550)		123 695		1 734 455		2 447 363	
Mangaung	26 287		(69 767)		26 454		472 276		455 250	
Nelson Mandela Bay	19 814		32 738		40 491		219 398		312 441	
City Of Tshwane	255 026		19 884		27 045		118 735		420 691	
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 766 427</b>		<b>(259 323)</b>		<b>183 674</b>		<b>1 390 647</b>		<b>3 081 424</b>	
<b>Growth rate Q3 of 2011/12 to Q3 of 2012/13</b>										
Buffalo City	13.8%		11.0%		26.5%		17.6%		16.8%	
Cape Town	5.4%		1.7%		(14.6%)		(3.9%)		(2.0%)	
Ekurhuleni Metro	29.5%		14.7%		(3.0%)		(18.3%)		(11.7%)	
eThekweni	12.2%		33.1%		(3.3%)		10.2%		11.2%	
City Of Johannesburg	47.4%		(63.9%)		28.8%		15.5%		17.1%	
Mangaung	12.4%		(34.2%)		29.2%		36.4%		25.2%	
Nelson Mandela Bay	3.6%		35.0%		140.6%		18.8%		17.0%	
City Of Tshwane	23.2%		15.4%		26.9%		3.3%		8.6%	
<b>Total</b>	<b>24.5%</b>		<b>(13.4%)</b>		<b>14.0%</b>		<b>4.0%</b>		<b>6.8%</b>	

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Table 7c: Metro debtors age analysis by customer group for the 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2013

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		31 - 60 Days		61 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
<b>Debtor Age Analysis By Customer Group</b>										
Government	367 141	26.6%	132 925	9.6%	50 307	3.6%	832 249	60.2%	1 382 622	2.9%
Business	4 213 176	31.0%	496 189	3.7%	471 629	3.5%	8 395 077	61.8%	13 576 071	28.2%
Households	4 497 943	14.8%	945 279	3.1%	888 210	2.9%	24 016 764	79.1%	30 348 196	63.1%
Other	(115 662)	(4.2%)	94 724	3.4%	81 518	2.9%	2 719 862	97.8%	2 780 442	5.8%
<b>Total By Customer Group</b>	<b>8 962 598</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>1 669 117</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>1 491 665</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>35 963 952</b>	<b>74.8%</b>	<b>48 087 332</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

## Debtors' age analysis for secondary cities

Table 8a: 19 Secondary cities Debtors Age Analysis as at 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2013

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		31 - 60 Days		61 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
City Of Matlosana	97 943	9.9%	33 361	3.4%	28 048	2.8%	834 142	84.0%	993 495	6.6%
Drakenstein	87 579	32.6%	16 298	6.1%	8 653	3.2%	156 060	58.1%	268 590	1.8%
Emalahleni (Mp)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Emfuleni	191 557	6.1%	118 207	3.8%	103 479	3.3%	2 718 487	86.8%	3 131 730	20.8%
George	48 510	36.9%	4 948	3.8%	4 267	3.2%	73 677	56.1%	131 401	0.9%
Gov an Mbeki	21 672	3.3%	18 602	2.8%	14 240	2.2%	603 177	91.7%	657 691	4.4%
Madibeng	112 002	13.2%	24 401	2.9%	19 800	2.3%	692 042	81.6%	848 245	5.6%
Matjhabeng	105 069	6.0%	60 836	3.5%	49 811	2.8%	1 545 859	87.8%	1 761 575	11.7%
Mbombela	72 808	15.2%	1 329	0.3%	20 080	4.2%	385 567	80.4%	479 783	3.2%
Mogale City	248 176	27.0%	15 424	1.7%	16 436	1.8%	639 869	69.6%	919 904	6.1%
Msunduzi	303 937	22.5%	(2 620)	(0.2%)	56 316	4.2%	992 703	73.5%	1 350 336	9.0%
New castle	50 483	5.4%	32 218	3.5%	26 684	2.9%	822 129	88.3%	931 514	6.2%
Polokwane	86 126	16.8%	27 458	5.4%	21 260	4.1%	377 790	73.7%	512 633	3.4%
Rustenburg	153 910	9.1%	74 822	4.4%	39 468	2.3%	1 418 637	84.1%	1 686 838	11.2%
Sol Plaatje	152 272	20.4%	38 826	5.2%	34 314	4.6%	520 308	69.8%	745 719	5.0%
Stellenbosch	39 787	27.0%	4 918	3.3%	3 151	2.1%	99 381	67.5%	147 237	1.0%
Steve Tshwete	41 559	54.0%	5 839	7.6%	2 927	3.8%	26 682	34.6%	77 007	0.5%
Tlokwe	56 892	32.1%	5 763	3.3%	5 035	2.8%	109 438	61.8%	177 128	1.2%
uMhlatuze	140 323	60.9%	16 619	7.2%	7 711	3.3%	65 734	28.5%	230 386	1.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2 010 603</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>497 250</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>461 680</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>12 081 682</b>	<b>80.3%</b>	<b>15 051 215</b>	<b>5.3%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

Table 8b: 19 Secondary cities debtors age analysis by customer group for the 3rd quarter ended 31 March 2013

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		31 - 60 Days		61 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total		Bad debt %
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	
<b>Customer Group</b>											
Government	137 478	20.6%	24 890	3.7%	22 303	3.3%	481 543	72.3%	666 214	4.4%	-
Business	759 845	36.7%	101 299	4.9%	75 102	3.6%	1 135 463	54.8%	2 071 710	13.8%	-
Households	917 452	8.6%	311 622	2.9%	306 377	2.9%	9 094 662	85.6%	10 630 113	70.6%	-
Other	195 828	11.6%	59 438	3.5%	57 897	3.4%	1 370 014	81.4%	1 683 177	11.2%	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>2 010 603</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>497 250</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>461 680</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>12 081 682</b>	<b>80.3%</b>	<b>15 051 215</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

## Collection rates

Table 9: National collection rates as at 31 March 2013

Description R thousands	2011/12	Budget year 2012/13					
	Audited Outcome	Original Budget	Adjusted Budget	Q1 Sept Actual	Q2 Dec Actual	Q3 Mar Actual	YTD Actual
<b>Collection Rate</b>	<b>83.30</b>	<b>92.34</b>	<b>92.46</b>	<b>85.87</b>	<b>98.86</b>	<b>90.10</b>	<b>91.27</b>
Property rates	142.68	86.34	89.05	72.34	96.96	92.10	85.55
Service charges	64.79	94.67	93.90	91.58	100.31	90.01	93.86
Service charges - electricity revenue	60.49	94.31	93.28	91.70	98.32	88.88	92.92
Service charges - water revenue	62.11	89.08	87.72	85.82	95.14	82.47	87.91
Service charges - sanitation revenue	57.05	87.36	88.36	71.72	92.12	89.09	82.76
Service charges - refuse revenue	66.39	96.87	94.86	71.96	84.84	84.83	80.04
Interest earned - outstanding debtors	51.20	71.49	74.57	59.89	66.50	69.69	65.51

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

## Aggregated municipal creditors age analysis

Table 10: Creditor Age Analysis for the 3rd quarter as at 31 March 2013

R thousands	0 - 30 Days		30 - 60 Days		60 - 90 Days		Over 90 Days		Total	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Eastern Cape	558 359	77.5%	21 841	3.0%	20 923	2.9%	119 216	16.5%	720 338	4.3%
Free State	308 046	18.1%	148 126	8.7%	129 737	7.6%	1 116 453	65.6%	1 702 362	10.1%
Gauteng	8 169 479	97.9%	(18 906)	-0.2%	16 426	0.2%	177 539	2.1%	8 344 539	49.7%
KwaZulu-Natal	1 921 498	66.9%	62 046	2.2%	116 392	4.1%	771 274	26.9%	2 871 209	17.1%
Limpopo	223 525	27.5%	40 784	5.0%	11 667	1.4%	535 851	66.0%	811 827	4.8%
Mpumalanga	275 438	29.3%	66 878	7.1%	36 775	3.9%	561 691	59.7%	940 783	5.6%
Northern Cape	88 076	39.5%	21 279	9.5%	7 870	3.5%	105 765	47.4%	222 991	1.3%
North West	140 613	22.6%	30 505	4.9%	33 596	5.4%	417 356	67.1%	622 071	3.7%
Western Cape	526 648	92.8%	7 581	1.3%	2 279	0.4%	31 114	5.5%	567 623	3.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>12 211 682</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>380 135</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>375 665</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>3 836 259</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>16 803 741</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Source: National Treasury Local Government Database

# Conditional grants transfers, payments and expenditure as at 31 March 2013

Figures Finalised as at 2013/05/06



3rd Quarter Ended 31 March 2013

## CONDITIONAL GRANTS TRANSFERRED FROM NATIONAL DEPARTMENTS AND ACTUAL PAYMENTS MADE BY MUNICIPALITIES: PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Summary

	Division of revenue Act No. 5 of 2012	Adjustment (Mid year)	Other Adjustments	Total Available 2012/13	Year to date		First Quarter		Second Quarter		Third Quarter		YTD Expenditure		% Changes from 2nd to 3rd Q		% Changes for the 3rd Q		Approved Roll Over		
					Approved payment schedule	Transferred to municipalities for direct grants	Actual expenditure National Department by 30 September 2012	Actual expenditure by municipalities by 30 September 2012	Actual expenditure National Department by 31 December 2012	Actual expenditure by municipalities by 31 December 2012	Actual expenditure National Department by 31 March 2013	Actual expenditure by municipalities by 31 March 2013	Actual expenditure National Department	Actual expenditure by municipalities	Actual expenditure National Department	Actual expenditure by municipalities	Exp as % of Allocation National Department	Exp as % of Allocation by municipalities	Total Available 2012/13	YTD expenditure by municipalities	
<b>R thousands</b>																					
<b>National Treasury (Vote 10)</b>																					
Local Government Financial Management Grant	402 753	-	-	402 753	402 753	402 753	99 332	90 409	93 216	94 130	81 051	74 468	273 599	259 007	(13.1%)	(20.9%)	67.9%	64.3%	12 226	1 290	
Infrastructure Skills Development Grant	75 460	-	-	75 460	75 460	75 460	11 449	11 750	7 859	1 445	14 666	22 849	33 974	36 043	86.6%	1481.5%	45.0%	47.8%	4 077	-	
Neighbourhood Development Partnership (Schedule 6)	578 132	-	-	578 132	578 132	578 132	70 874	77 502	77 927	143 147	207 739	91 389	356 540	312 038	166.6%	(36.2%)	61.7%	54.0%	256 029	7 366	
Neighbourhood Development Partnership (Schedule 7)	80 000	-	-	80 000	80 000	80 000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Sub-Total Vote</b>	<b>1 136 345</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1 136 345</b>	<b>1 136 345</b>	<b>1 056 345</b>	<b>181 655</b>	<b>179 661</b>	<b>179 002</b>	<b>238 722</b>	<b>303 456</b>	<b>188 705</b>	<b>664 113</b>	<b>607 089</b>	<b>69.5%</b>	<b>(21.0%)</b>	<b>62.9%</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>272 332</b>	<b>8 656</b>	
<b>Cooperative Governance (Vote 3)</b>																					
Municipal Systems Improvement Grant	230 096	-	-	230 096	230 096	230 096	10 651	46 681	17 005	59 962	21 893	45 175	49 549	151 818	28.7%	(24.7%)	21.5%	66.0%	5 082	61	
Disaster Relief Funds	14 200	58 983	-	73 183	73 183	73 183	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11 335	-	
Internally Displaced People Management Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Sub-Total Vote</b>	<b>244 296</b>	<b>58 983</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>303 279</b>	<b>303 279</b>	<b>303 279</b>	<b>10 651</b>	<b>46 681</b>	<b>17 005</b>	<b>59 962</b>	<b>21 893</b>	<b>45 175</b>	<b>49 549</b>	<b>151 818</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>(24.7%)</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>50.1%</b>	<b>16 417</b>	<b>61</b>	
<b>Transport (Vote 37)</b>																					
Public Transport Infrastructure and Systems Grant	4 988 103	-	-	4 988 103	4 988 103	4 884 401	358 714	376 164	916 627	846 189	693 961	722 342	1 969 302	1 944 696	(24.3%)	(14.6%)	39.5%	39.0%	2 224 753	-	
Rural Transport Grant	37 295	-	-	37 295	37 295	37 295	10 902	6 336	10 561	12 944	7 626	6 884	29 089	26 164	(27.8%)	(46.8%)	78.0%	70.2%	13 303	-	
<b>Sub-Total Vote</b>	<b>5 025 398</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>5 025 398</b>	<b>5 025 398</b>	<b>4 921 696</b>	<b>369 616</b>	<b>382 500</b>	<b>927 188</b>	<b>859 132</b>	<b>701 587</b>	<b>729 227</b>	<b>1 998 391</b>	<b>1 970 859</b>	<b>(24.3%)</b>	<b>(15.1%)</b>	<b>39.8%</b>	<b>39.2%</b>	<b>2 238 056</b>	<b>-</b>	
<b>Public Works (Vote 6)</b>																					
Expanded Public Works Programme Integrated Grant (Municipality)	599 240	62 895	-	662 135	662 135	662 135	30 452	118 965	99 015	164 780	150 296	118 057	279 763	401 802	51.8%	(28.4%)	42.3%	60.7%	-	-	
<b>Sub-Total Vote</b>	<b>599 240</b>	<b>62 895</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>662 135</b>	<b>662 135</b>	<b>662 135</b>	<b>30 452</b>	<b>118 965</b>	<b>99 015</b>	<b>164 780</b>	<b>150 296</b>	<b>118 057</b>	<b>279 763</b>	<b>401 802</b>	<b>51.8%</b>	<b>(28.4%)</b>	<b>42.3%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	
<b>Energy (Vote 29)</b>																					
Integrated National Electrification Programme (Municipal) Grant	1 151 443	-	-	1 151 443	1 151 443	1 151 443	127 655	218 953	140 589	256 231	181 483	162 508	449 727	637 693	29.1%	(36.6%)	39.1%	55.4%	89 400	8 005	
National Electrification Programme (Allocation in-kind) Grant	1 879 368	-	-	1 879 368	1 879 368	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Backlogs in the Electrification of Clinics and Schools (Allocation in-kind)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Electricity Demand Side Management (Municipal) Grant	200 000	-	-	200 000	200 000	200 000	-	11 828	-	13 906	6 987	12 610	6 987	38 344	(9.3%)	-	3.5%	19.2%	47 029	4 337	
Electricity Demand Side Management (Eskom) Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Sub-Total Vote</b>	<b>3 230 811</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>3 230 811</b>	<b>3 230 811</b>	<b>1 351 443</b>	<b>127 655</b>	<b>230 781</b>	<b>140 589</b>	<b>270 138</b>	<b>188 470</b>	<b>175 118</b>	<b>456 714</b>	<b>676 037</b>	<b>34.1%</b>	<b>(35.2%)</b>	<b>33.8%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>136 429</b>	<b>12 342</b>	
<b>Water Affairs (Vote 38)</b>																					
Backlogs in Water and Sanitation at Clinics and Schools Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Implementation of Water Services Projects	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Regional Bulk Infrastructure Grant	2 516 641	6 460	-	2 523 101	2 523 101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Water Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy Grant (Schedule 6)	562 434	-	-	562 434	562 434	540 204	83 513	128 534	109 556	138 786	86 712	121 760	279 781	389 080	(20.9%)	(12.3%)	49.7%	69.2%	6 852	-	
Water Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy Grant (Schedule 7)	132 598	-	-	132 598	132 598	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Municipal Drought Relief Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	558	-	558	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Sub-Total Vote</b>	<b>3 211 673</b>	<b>6 460</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>3 218 133</b>	<b>3 218 133</b>	<b>540 204</b>	<b>83 513</b>	<b>128 534</b>	<b>109 556</b>	<b>138 786</b>	<b>86 712</b>	<b>122 318</b>	<b>279 781</b>	<b>389 638</b>	<b>(20.9%)</b>	<b>(11.9%)</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>69.3%</b>	<b>6 852</b>	<b>-</b>	
<b>Sport and Recreation South Africa (Vote 19)</b>																					
2013 Africa Cup of Nations Host City Operating Grant	-	123 111	-	123 111	123 111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Sub-Total Vote</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>123 111</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>123 111</b>	<b>123 111</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	
<b>Human Settlements (Vote 31)</b>																					
Rural Households Infrastructure Grant	479 500	(138 875)	-	340 625	340 625	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Sub-Total Vote</b>	<b>479 500</b>	<b>(138 875)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>340 625</b>	<b>340 625</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>13 927 263</b>	<b>112 574</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>14 039 837</b>	<b>14 039 837</b>	<b>8 835 102</b>	<b>803 542</b>	<b>1 087 121</b>	<b>1 472 355</b>	<b>1 731 520</b>	<b>1 452 414</b>	<b>1 378 601</b>	<b>3 728 311</b>	<b>4 197 242</b>	<b>(1.4%)</b>	<b>(20.4%)</b>	<b>41.0%</b>	<b>46.2%</b>	<b>2 670 086</b>	<b>21 059</b>	
<b>Cooperative Governance (Vote 3)</b>																					
Municipal Infrastructure Grant	13 881 633	-	-	13 881 633	13 881 633	13 879 161	2 321 958	2 152 464	2 562 017	2 796 419	1 986 252	2 181 643	6 870 227	7 130 526	(22.5%)	(22.0%)	49.5%	51.4%	1 928 302	50 600	
<b>Sub-Total Vote</b>	<b>13 881 633</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>13 881 633</b>	<b>13 881 633</b>	<b>13 879 161</b>	<b>2 321 958</b>	<b>2 152 464</b>	<b>2 562 017</b>	<b>2 796 419</b>	<b>1 986 252</b>	<b>2 181 643</b>	<b>6 870 227</b>	<b>7 130 526</b>	<b>(22.5%)</b>	<b>(22.0%)</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>1 928 302</b>	<b>50 600</b>	
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>13 881 633</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>13 881 633</b>	<b>13 881 633</b>	<b>13 879 161</b>	<b>2 321 958</b>	<b>2 152 464</b>	<b>2 562 017</b>	<b>2 796 419</b>	<b>1 986 252</b>	<b>2 181 643</b>	<b>6 870 227</b>	<b>7 130 526</b>	<b>(22.5%)</b>	<b>(22.0%)</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>51.4%</b>	<b>1 928 302</b>	<b>50 600</b>	
<b>Total</b>	<b>27 808 896</b>	<b>112 574</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>27 921 470</b>	<b>27 921 470</b>	<b>22 714 263</b>	<b>3 125 500</b>	<b>3 239 585</b>	<b>4 034 372</b>	<b>4 527 939</b>	<b>3 438 666</b>	<b>3 560 244</b>	<b>10 598 538</b>	<b>11 327 769</b>	<b>(14.8%)</b>	<b>(21.4%)</b>	<b>46.1%</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>4 598 388</b>	<b>71 659</b>	


## Borrowing instruments




Type	Balance (R'000)
ST - Bank Overdraft	62 469
ST - Other Short-Term Loans	789
ST - Marketable Bonds	2 415 000
ST - Non-Marketable Bonds	200
ST - Other Securities	1 627
LT - Long-Term Loans	29 600 328
LT - Instalment Credit	45 042
LT - Financial Leases	92 620
LT - Marketable Bonds	4 300 000
LT - Non-Marketable Bonds	6 805
LT - Other Securities	17 242
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>36 542 122</b>

Source	Balance (R'000)
General Public	2 922
Banks	21 147 191
Development Bank of SA	11 740 397
Infrastructure Finance Corporation	1 170 197
Public Investment Commissioners	36 914
Insur Comp and Priv Pens Fund	35 095
Municipal Pension Funds	96
Other Public Pension Funds	
Unit Trusts	
Internal Funds	639
Other Sources	2 408 671
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>36 542 122</b>

**External Borrowing Balance by security  
as at 31 Mar 2013**



**External Borrowing Balance raised for  
as at 31 Mar 2013**



Security	Balance (R'000)
Guarantees	233 673
Asset or Revenue Pledges	1 248 305
Bond Insurance	
Reserve or Sinking Funds	2 510 850
Other Securities	621 909
None	31 927 385
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>36 542 122</b>

Raised For	Balance (R'000)
Convert Existing Borrowing	2 756 665
Overdue Amounts Capitalised	76 207
Consolidation of Existing Borrowing	619 165
New Borrowing	33 074 338
Bridging Finance	15 747
Other	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>36 542 122</b>