

4th Quarter Ended 30 June 2014
CONDITIONAL GRANTS TRANSFERRED FROM NATIONAL DEPARTMENTS AND ACTUAL PAYMENTS MADE BY MUNICIPALITIES: PRELIMINARY RESULTS
 North West: Dr Kenneth Kaunda(DC40)

| | Division of revenue Act No. 3 of 2013 | Adjustment (Mid year) | Other Adjustments | Total Available 2013/14 | Year to date | | First Quarter | | Second Quarter | | Third Quarter | | Fourth Quarter | | YTD Expenditure | | % Changes from 3rd to 4th Q | | % Changes for the 4th Q | | Approved Roll Over | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | Approved payment schedule | Transferred to municipalities for direct grants | Actual expenditure National Department by 30 September 2013 | Actual expenditure by municipalities by 30 September 2013 | Actual expenditure National Department by 31 December 2013 | Actual expenditure by municipalities by 31 December 2013 | Actual expenditure National Department by 31 March 2014 | Actual expenditure by municipalities by 31 March 2014 | Actual expenditure National Department by 30 June 2014 | Actual expenditure by municipalities by 30 June 2014 | Actual expenditure National Department | Actual expenditure by municipalities | Actual expenditure National Department | Actual expenditure by municipalities | Exp as % of Allocation National Department | Exp as % of Allocation by municipalities | Total Available 2013/14 | YTD expenditure by municipalities |
| R thousands | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| National Treasury (Vote 10) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Government Financial Management Grant | 1 250 | - | - | 1 250 | 1 250 | 1 250 | 72 | 72 | 70 | 70 | 73 | 73 | 155 | 156 | 370 | 371 | 112.3% | 112.1% | 29.6% | 29.7% | - | - |
| Infrastructure Skills Development Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Integrated City Development Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Neighbourhood Development Partnership (Schedule 5B) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Neighbourhood Development Partnership (Schedule 6B) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total Vote | 1 250 | - | - | 1 250 | 1 250 | 1 250 | 72 | 72 | 70 | 70 | 73 | 73 | 155 | 156 | 370 | 371 | 112.3% | 112.1% | 29.6% | 29.7% | - | - |
| Cooperative Governance (Vote 3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Municipal Systems Improvement Grant | 890 | - | - | 890 | 890 | 890 | - | 122 | 178 | 65 | 56 | 56 | 9 | 543 | 243 | 786 | (83.9%) | 868.8% | 27.3% | 88.3% | - | - |
| Municipal Disaster Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Municipal Disaster Revocary Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total Vote | 890 | - | - | 890 | 890 | 890 | - | 122 | 178 | 65 | 56 | 56 | 9 | 543 | 243 | 786 | (83.9%) | 868.8% | 27.3% | 88.3% | - | - |
| Transport (Vote 37) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public Transport Infrastructure and Systems Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Public Transport Network Operations Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rural Road Assets Management Systems Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total Vote | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Public Works (Vote 6) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expanded Public Works Programme Integrated Grant (Municipality) | 1 000 | - | - | 1 000 | 1 000 | 1 000 | 47 | 120 | 173 | 166 | - | - | 66 | 139 | 286 | 425 | - | - | 28.6% | 42.5% | - | - |
| Sub-Total Vote | 1 000 | - | - | 1 000 | 1 000 | 1 000 | 47 | 120 | 173 | 166 | - | - | 66 | 139 | 286 | 425 | - | - | 28.6% | 42.5% | - | - |
| Energy (Vote 29) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated National Electrification Programme (Municipal) Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Integrated National Electrification Programme (Allocation in-kind) Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Backlogs in the Electrification of Clinics and Schools (Allocation in-kind) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Energy Efficiency and Demand Side Management (Municipal) Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Energy Efficiency and Demand Side Management (Eskom) Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total Vote | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Water Affairs (Vote 38) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Backlogs in Water and Sanitation at Clinics and Schools Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Regional Bulk Infrastructure Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Water Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy Grant (Schedule 5B) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Water Services Operating and Transfer Subsidy Grant (Schedule 6B) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Municipal Water Infrastructure Grant (Schedule 5B) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Municipal Water Infrastructure Grant (Schedule 6B) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total Vote | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sport and Recreation South Africa (Vote 19) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 Africa Cup of Nations Host City Operating Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2014 African Nations Championship Host City Operating Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total Vote | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Human Settlements (Vote 31) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural Households Infrastructure Grant (Schedule 5B) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rural Households Infrastructure Grant (Schedule 6B) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Municipal Human Settlements Capacity Grant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total Vote | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Total | 3 140 | - | - | 3 140 | 3 140 | 3 140 | 119 | 313 | 421 | 301 | 129 | 129 | 230 | 838 | 899 | 1 582 | 78.3% | 547.1% | 28.6% | 50.4% | - | - |
| Total | 3 140 | - | - | 3 140 | 3 140 | 3 140 | 119 | 313 | 421 | 301 | 129 | 129 | 230 | 838 | 899 | 1 582 | 78.3% | 547.1% | 28.6% | 50.4% | - | - |

Unallocated funds e.g. DBSA, ESKOM, and Neighbourhood Development Grant.
 Spending of these grants is done at National department level and therefore no reporting is required from municipalities.
 Sources: DoRA Monthly reports by the national transferring officer and Municipal sign-offs and electronic verification.
 All the figures are unaudited.
 In future provincial Treasuries will be required to provide the National Treasury with a payment schedule
 in the same format as the provincial payment schedule that correspond with the amount in Budget Statement 1 and 2.