Management Accounting (Costing)





Management Accounting

- Management Accounting Industrial revolution Production cost per unit Management activities
- Costs Direct and indirect
 Trading services
 Tariff calculation
- Costing methodology ABC Internal billing Departmental charges
- Prerequisites -

Policy Base cost and Formulas

2



Financial and Management Accounting

Accounting:

Recording Estimating Organising Summarising

Fin. Accounting:

Reporting - external Financial consequences Objective, verifiable Precise Summarised for reports GRAP complaint Mandatory

Man. Accounting:

Reporting - internal Financial decisions Relevance for purpose Timeline is required Segment reporting Not GRAP requirement Non-mandatory



Primary Transaction – Bulk merge from payroll March 2016

	Description	Project	Function	Item	Fund	Costing	Region	Amount
Dr	Employee related cost: March 2016	Municipal Running Cost	Asset Management	Basic Salary and Wages	Property Rates	Default	Administrative or Head Office	
								3 000,00
	Employee related cost: March 2016	Municipal Running Cost	Asset Management	Group Life Insurance	Property Rates	Default	Administrative or Head Office	
								75,00
	Employee related cost: March 2016	Municipal Running Cost	Asset Management	Medical	Property Rates	Default	Administrative or Head Office	
								210,00
	Employee related cost: March 2016	Municipal Running Cost	Asset Management	Pension	Property Rates	Default	Administrative or Head Office	
								270.00
	Employee related cost: March 2016	Municipal Running Cost	Asset Management	Unemployment Insurance	Property Rates	Default	Administrative or Head Office	
								30,00
Cr	Payment of Employee related cost: March 2016	Municipal Running Cost	Asset Management	Bank Account: Withdrawals	Property Rates	Default	Administrative or Head Office	
								-3 585,00
Bulk merge file from payroll system for the month of March 2016. (We pretend that all monies is immediately paid and do not use the clearing accounts						s		

for this example



Secondary Costing Transaction – Departmental charges at a %

Own

Allocation

	Descript	tion Projec	ctFuncti	on Iten	n <u></u> Fur	nd Costing	g Region	Amount	formula
Dr	Relocation of costs	Default	Community Halls and Facilities	Default	Default	Charges: Departmental Charges: Asset management	No regional Identifier	28,68	1%
	Relocation of costs	Default	Fire Fighting and Protection	Default	Default	Charges: Departmental Charges: Asset management	No regional Identifier	28,68	1%
	Relocation of costs	Default	Waste Management	Default	Default	Charges: Departmental Charges: Asset management	No regional Identifier	573,60	20%
	Relocation of costs	Default	Waste Water Management	Default	Default	Charges: Departmental Charges: Asset management	No regional Identifier	516,24	18%
	Relocation of costs	Default	Water	Default	Default	Charges: Departmental Charges: Asset management	No regional Identifier	860,40	30%
	Relocation of costs	Default	Electricity	Default	Default	Charges: Departmental Charges: Asset management	No regional Identifier	860,40	30%
Cr	Relocation of Costs	Default	Asset Management	Default	Default	Recoveries : Departmental Charges: Asset management	No regional Identifier	-2 868,00	

Departmental Charges at a % allocation



Costing Segment: Activity Based Costing





Types of Costs

- Direct Costs- can be traced directly to one output e.g. number of water kilolitres per household.
- Indirect Costs- in benefit a wide number of outputs e.g. maintenance costs incurred for a pool vehicle.
- Since indirect costs can not be traced and allocated directly to a single output, different methods can be used. One of the methods would be Activity Based Costing (ABC).
- The method requires an identification of activity pool and a cost driver for each activity.



Allocation of indirect costs





Activity Based Costing

- Tracing resource consumption and allocating costs to an activity based on the number of final outputs.
- Example: space used for office rental, kilometres used on a pool vehicle, number of attendees for training and number of events held.

Cost of Vehicle Maintenance per annum						
Usage Kilometre per Department (ki	Activity cost pools (R)					
Finance	5,000	Service	5,360			
Corporate Services	15,000	Depreciation	40,000			
Technical Services	5,000	Insurance	16,800			
Community Services	5,000	Licencing	300			
Planning and Development	5,000	Fuel	33,600			
Municipal Manager	5,000	Breakdown	8,000			
		Tyres	4,000			
	40,000	1	108,060			
Cost Driver:		-	-			
Cost per kilometer (R108060/40000km)- (Charge Out Rate	R2.7(0			



Costing Segment: Internal Billing





Internal Billing

Metered cost

The recovery of services and products that are consumed internally by other departments.

Example: Electricity consumed by the Water department.

If invoiced, then the billing is considered to be a primary transaction – costing segment is not used

The costing segment is used to recover these costs in the absence of an invoice and will result in a secondary cost which is a below the line cost.

Below the line costs are not included in the AFS but are elements of management accounts



Internal Billing



Internal Billing



Costing Segment: Departmental Charges





Departmental Charges

The distribution of primary costs via a pro-rata approach. All distributed costs results in secondary ,below the line costs

Examples include the distribution of overheads like office rental, ICT costs and security costs. The cost pool can be apportioned by applying a percentage formula.

Cost Drivers

- Number of individuals per department
- Floor space per department
- Any other appropriate activity driver



Departmental Allocation



mSCOA Practical Examples





Gariep municipality's electricity department shares the building with the water distribution department. The rent is paid and allocated to the financial department. The water distribution department also shared the pool vehicle that was allocated to the electricity department but kept a log book.

- 1. The municipality paid rent of R16000.00 excl vat. It was established that the Water Management department only occupies 25% of the building and the pro- rata approach will be used to apportion the rent using the costing segment.
- As per the log book, a total of 30 000 km was travelled by the pool car. It was further established that the Water Management department used 10 000 km. Use the costing system to allocate the vehicle cost to the water section taking into consideration the following costs:
 - Depreciation R 40 000
 - Maintenance- R 15 000
 - ➢ Fuel- R 9000
- 3. The electricity department also issues a bill to the finance department for electricity services to the value of R16 000. Use the costing system to charge this service to the finance department.



Solution 1 The rent (R16000) is split by percentage: Water Distribution: 25% - R 4 000 Electricity: 75% - R 12 000

Solution 2: Cost Pool = 40 000 + 9 000 + 5 000 = 54 000 Cost driver = cost/total mileage = 54 000 / 30 000 KM = R1.80 per KM Cost to Water distribution: = 10 000 x R1.80 = R18 000.00

Solution 3 Electricity Cost billed to Water distribution= R 16 000



Solution 1

SEGMENT	FINANCE	ELECTRICITY	WATER DIST
PROJECT	DEFAULT	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
FUNCTION	FINANCE & ADMIN: CORE FUNCTION: FINANCE	ENERGY SOURCES: CORE: ELECTRICITY	WATER MANAG. : CORE: WATER DISTRIBUTION
FUND	NON FUNDING	NON FUNDING	NON FUNDING
ITEM	DEFAULT	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
COSTING	RECOVERIES: DEPARTMENTAL: OFFICE RENTALS	CHARGES: DEPARTMENTAL: OFFICE RENTALS	CHARGES: DEPARTMENTAL: OFFICE RENTALS
REGIONAL	DEFAULT	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
MSC	FINANCE DEPT.	TECH. SERVICES	TECH. SERVICES
AMOUNT	- R 16 000.00	R 12 000.00	R 4 000.00



Solution 2

SEGMENT	ELECTRICITY	WATER DIST
PROJECT	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
FUNCTION	ENERGY SOURCES: CORE: ELECTRICITY	WATER MANAG. : CORE: WATER DISTRIBUTION
FUND	NON FUNDING	NON FUNDING
ITEM	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
COSTING	RECOVERIES: ABC: VEHICLES: OPERATING	CHARGES: ABC: VEHICLES: OPERATING
REGIONAL	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
MSC	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
AMOUNT	- R 18 000.00	R 18 000.00



Solution 3

SEGMENT	ELECTRICITY	WATER DIST
PROJECT	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
FUNCTION	ENERGY SOURCES: CORE: ELECTRICITY	WATER MANAG. : CORE: WATER DISTRIBUTION
FUND	NON FUNDING	NON FUNDING
ITEM	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
COSTING	RECOVERIES: INTERNAL BILLIN ELECT. CONSUMPTION	CHARGES: INTERNAL BILLIN ELECT. CONSUMPTION
REGIONAL	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
MSC	DEFAULT	DEFAULT
AMOUNT	- R 16 000.00	R 16 000.00



Costing Segment: Tariff Setting





Need for Cost Accounting (Cost Reflective Tariffs)

- By only inflation adjusting tariffs there is no real growth in income
- □ Increased real growth in income is essential to:
 - Improve and sustain service delivery levels
 - Fund repairs and maintenance and renewal of infrastructure.
- □ Assists to realise the full revenue potential of the municipality
- Ensures that tariff services are adequately funded over the long term, resulting in an efficient, sustainable and reliable service
- □ Full cost recovery is the process of gathering and reporting information about the true cost (direct plus indirect costs) of providing a service by tracking and accumulating the total cost of the process to provide the service
- Planning to recover the full cost of services (cost-reflective tariffs) is a structured, transparent methodology of setting tariffs that is designed to take both financial sustainability and affordability into consideration
- □ Imperative that the full cost of rendering a particular service is known so that tariff decisions are taken with full knowledge of the cross-subsidy that will be required and provided from other revenue sources
- □ Improved budgeting Understanding demand and how costs are reflective of demand for a services allows municipalities to budget better
- □ Transparency Citizens need to understand the cost drivers and how tariffs are calculated
- Municipalities that apply full cost recovery are in general very efficient and provide a high level of service, contributing to customer satisfaction and thereby encouraging payment for services, resulting in investment for replacement and expansion of services.



Benefits of Implementing Cost Accounting – (Cost Reflective Tariffs)

- Provides a better understanding and consistent approach across municipalities with regard to the principles and techniques of cost allocation, and ability to benchmark costs against municipalities of a similar size
- Provides accurate and complete information on the real cost of managing a tariff service:
 - Increase the accuracy of cost information by identifying secondary costs and assigning it to specific municipal services
 - Asist with resource allocation for a particular service
 - Provide a basis for tariff setting to implement full cost recovery on tariff services
- □ Ensures that those who are using the services are paying the full cost of the service
- Provides transparency with regard to cross-subsidisation between consumer groups
- Managers will have a better understanding of their costs and will be able to predict future costs more precisely
- Promotes allocation of internal resources, ensuring that available resources are utilised optimally, and duplication of services can be identified
- Identification of and making informed decisions about potential inefficiencies and cost savings, as well as the types and levels of services provided
- □ Ensures correct pricing of services (and will result in consumers not abusing scarce resources)



Risks of Not Implementing Full Cost Recovery

- □ Inability to finance network expansions, or properly maintain existing infrastructure resulting in low service levels, which in turn will have a negative effect on the willingness of customers to pay
- □ Low levels of cost recovery from the user will result in insufficient income for the effective and efficient operation and management of the service
- Inability to further invest in the service, which can result in a possible loss of scarce resources such as water if the infrastructure is not maintained properly
- Potential for cross-subsidisation from property rates or other services
- A widening gap between full cost and current expenditure will result in huge tariff increases when rectified
- □ No transparency around tariff determination
- □ An increase in emergency repairs against scheduled or preventative maintenance
- □ An increased risk of more service outages, as preventative maintenance is not being done, with the accompanying inconvenience for customers and loss of revenue for the municipality
- A steady degradation of system infrastructure, resulting in a reduction of service level standards.
- Reduced ability to attract new business
- Can result in higher insurance costs, a lower credit rating and higher lending costs



Illustration of tariff calculation

EXAMPLE - DETERMINATION OF WATER TARIFF			
Municipality purchase 15 000 kl of water @ R2.50			
Non Revenue Water (Technical & Non-technical losses & Indigents) = 20%			
Tariffs should be set to budget for 10% surplus on cost			
	Units	Unit Cost	Cost
COST DRIVERS (Primary Cost)			
Purchase of water	15000	2.5	37 500
Salaries			5 000
Repairs & Maintenance			9 000
Operational expenditure			3 000
Total Operational Expenditure (Primary Cost)			54 500
COST DRIVERS (Secondary Cost)			
Finance (Billing)			3 500
IT Services			2 000
Total Operational Expenditure (Secondary Cost)			5 500
TOTAL COST			60 000
TARIFF CALCULATION			
Distribution losses & Indigent usage	15000	20%	3 000
Units Sold			12 000
Base line tariff to recover primary costs			4.54
Base line tariff to recover primary costs plus 10% surplus			5.00
Base line tariff to recover primary & secondary cost plus 10% surplus			5.50
Total operating revenue			66 000
TESTING OF TARIFF ADEQUACY			
Units sold	12000	5.50	66 000
Total operating expenditure			60 000
Surplus (cost plus 10%)			6 000
Surplus meets the guideline of cost plus 10%			10%

THANK YOU

